- CITY OLERK'S OFFICE 2009 NOV -5 PM 12: 03 CITY OLERK
- Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date: {**Pending**}

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

The City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability of tree roots damage repair onto current property owners. I am opposed to the Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC, <u>as written</u>. It is short-sighted.

I am a resident of Athens on the Hill a community developed circa, 1910. My reasons for opposition are not limited to the following:

- (1) Most of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old, an obvious indication they were not planted by current property owners;
- (2) Many sidewalks, curbs and driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- (3) Community residents, currently and over past years, reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and requested repairs;
- (4) Complainants, invariably, are/were then informed of a "rotation cycle" in effect and given some distant, future date for repairs;
- (5) Property owners, who wish to do so, cannot remove offending trees to prevent recurrence of a buckled sidewalk; a City Ordinance prohibits it;
- <u>and</u>,
- (6) Approximately sixty-five percent (65%) of the residents of this community are senior citizens on fixed incomes who would be required to make repairs.

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment. Anything other, would be excessive and punitive.

Very truly yours, arbotte (1. Willio: ILIDANS Name----(sign & print) S. HOOVER ST (Address) (city and zip code) Date:

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date: {**Pending**}

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

The City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability of tree roots damage repair onto current property owners. I am opposed to the Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC, <u>as written</u>. It is short-sighted.

I am a resident of Athens on the Hill a community developed circa, 1910. My reasons for opposition are not limited to the following:

- (1) Most of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old, an obvious indication they were not planted by current property owners;
- (2) Many sidewalks, curbs and driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- (3) Community residents, currently and over past years, reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and requested repairs;
- (4) Complainants, invariably, are/were then informed of a "rotation cycle" in effect and given some distant, future date for repairs;
- (5) Property owners, who wish to do so, cannot remove offending trees to prevent recurrence of a buckled sidewalk; a City Ordinance prohibits it;
- and,
- (6) Approximately sixty-five percent (65%) of the residents of this community are senior citizens on fixed incomes who would be required to make repairs.

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment. Anything other, would be excessive and punitive.

Very truly yours,

LEWIN D. FEWILKENSme----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) Date:

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date: {**Pending**}

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

The City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability of tree roots damage repair onto current property owners. I am opposed to the Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC, <u>as written</u>. It is short-sighted.

I am a resident of Athens on the Hill a community developed circa, 1910. My reasons for opposition are not limited to the following:

- (1) Most of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old, an obvious indication they were not planted by current property owners;
- (2) Many sidewalks, curbs and driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- (3) Community residents, currently and over past years, reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and requested repairs;
- (4) Complainants, invariably, are/were then informed of a "rotation cycle" in effect and given some distant, future date for repairs;
- (5) Property owners, who wish to do so, cannot remove offending trees to prevent recurrence of a buckled sidewalk; a City Ordinance prohibits it;
- and,
- Approximately sixty-five percent (65%) of the residents of this community are senior citizens on fixed incomes who would be required to make repairs.

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment. Anything other, would be excessive and punitive.

Very truly yours.	
PHEROLAN BILBEDGE	_Name(sign & print)
609 LACONA BL,	(Address)
L.A. 90044	(city and zip code)
Date: OCT. 21, 2009	
cc: Committee Members: 'Huizar; Alarcon; Smith	

÷.

Councilwoman J. Hahn - 15th District Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date: {**Pending**}

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

The City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability of tree roots damage repair onto current property owners. I am opposed to the Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC, <u>as written</u>. It is short-sighted.

I am a resident of Athens on the Hill a community developed circa, 1910. My reasons for opposition are not limited to the following:

- (1) Most of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old, an obvious indication they were not planted by current property owners;
- (2) Many sidewalks, curbs and driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- (3) Community residents, currently and over past years, reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and requested repairs;
- (4) Complainants, invariably, are/were then informed of a "rotation cycle" in effect and given some distant, future date for repairs;
- (5) Property owners, who wish to do so, cannot remove offending trees to prevent recurrence of a buckled sidewalk; a City Ordinance prohibits it;
- and,
- (6) Approximately sixty-five percent (65%) of the residents of this community are senior citizens on fixed incomes who would be required to make repairs.

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment. Anything other, would be excessive and punitive.

Very truly yours,

FRED WILLIAMS Name----(sign & print) ODVE (Address) 6004 AC (city and zip code) Date: 6~ 21б

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date: {**Pending**}

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

The City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability of tree roots damage repair onto current property owners. I am opposed to the Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC, <u>as written</u>. It is short-sighted.

I am a resident of Athens on the Hill a community developed circa, 1910. My reasons for opposition are not limited to the following:

- (1) Most of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old, an obvious indication they were not planted by current property owners;
- (2) Many sidewalks, curbs and driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- (3) Community residents, currently and over past years, reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and requested repairs;
- (4) Complainants, invariably, are/were then informed of a "rotation cycle" in effect and given some distant, future date for repairs;
- (5) Property owners, who wish to do so, cannot remove offending trees to prevent recurrence of a buckled sidewalk; a City Ordinance prohibits it;
- and,
- (6) Approximately sixty-five percent (65%) of the residents of this community are senior citizens on fixed incomes who would be required to make repairs.

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment. Anything other, would be excessive and punitive.

Very truly yours,

ctson Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) Date:

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date: {**Pending**}

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

The City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability of tree roots damage repair onto current property owners. I am opposed to the Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC, <u>as written</u>. It is short-sighted.

I am a resident of Athens on the Hill a community developed circa, 1910. My reasons for opposition are not limited to the following:

- (1) Most of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old, an obvious indication they were not planted by current property owners;
- (2) Many sidewalks, curbs and driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- (3) Community residents, currently and over past years, reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and requested repairs;
- (4) Complainants, invariably, are/were then informed of a "rotation cycle" in effect and given some distant, future date for repairs;
- (5) Property owners, who wish to do so, cannot remove offending trees to prevent recurrence of a buckled sidewalk; a City Ordinance prohibits it;
- and,
- (6) Approximately sixty-five percent (65%) of the residents of this community are senior citizens on fixed incomes who would be required to make repairs.

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment. Anything other, would be excessive and punitive.

Very truly yours, W. DAVIS Name----(sign & print) HENS (Address) 9 (city and zip code) 105 ANGO 105 00 44 109 Date: 1B

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date: {**Pending**}

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

The City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability of tree roots damage repair onto current property owners. I am opposed to the Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC, <u>as written</u>. It is short-sighted.

I am a resident of Athens on the Hill a community developed circa, 1910. My reasons for opposition are not limited to the following:

- (1) Most of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old, an obvious indication they were not planted by current property owners;
- (2) Many sidewalks, curbs and driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- (3) Community residents, currently and over past years, reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and requested repairs;
- (4) Complainants, invariably, are/were then informed of a "rotation cycle" in effect and given some distant, future date for repairs;
- (5) Property owners, who wish to do so, cannot remove offending trees to prevent recurrence of a buckled sidewalk; a City Ordinance prohibits it;
- and,
- (6) Approximately sixty-five percent (65%) of the residents of this community are senior citizens on fixed incomes who would be required to make repairs.

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment. Anything other, would be excessive and punitive.

Very truly yours. Name----(sign & print) 4 th (Address) 90044 (city and zip code) Date: -0

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- $\frac{1}{Most}$ of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$. $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; **and**,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85%
 "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly vours Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) Date: cc: Committee Members: Huizar; Alarcon; Smith

Councilwoman J. Hahn - 15th District Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (H-O-1
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85%
 "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

Jeffer 12109 n	yTh Denlo	egolite -JeFt	- CRY TLesgett	CName(sign & print) (Address)
LA	CA	90644		(city and zip code)
Date:	10/	12/09		
cc: Committ	ee Membe	ers: Huizar; Alarcon;	Smith	
Councily	voman I I	John - 15th District		

Councilwoman J. Hahn - 15th District Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- **Most** of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (β, ϕ, β)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs;
 and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

husa	heapy	LISA Leggett	Name(sign & print)
12109	metho	an LA J. CA 900	i 4 (Address)
LA	1 cA	90040	(city and zip code)
Date:	10/1	2109	
an Committ	as Mansharry	Thursday Aleman Consith	

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- . Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.O.H
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours, Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) Date: //

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; A.O.H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

Charles Edans	Name(sign & print)
- B38	(Address)
P_{M} , Q_{A} , $q_{DO}q_{H}$	(city and zip code)
Date:10/11/09	
cc: Committee Members: Huizar; Alarcon; Smith	

Councilwoman J. Hahn - 15th District Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- -Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.O. H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

amet Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) 09-Date: (SAT. il.

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.O.H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; **and**,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

FURIN Name----(sign & print) Athens Blud (Address) 90044 (city and zip code) Date: 09 10

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; A. O. H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; **and**,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours, THORNTON Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) Date: í.

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- - Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.O.H)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours, Name----(sign & print) Address) nlo city and zip code) Date: 10

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree, roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (2.0.1)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85%
 "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,	N. Nyuge
The JAMES D. GLAZE	Name(sign & print)
HA CA 900HU	(Address) (city and zip code)
Date: 10/11/09	

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (P.o.H.)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,	
Mary De Lacking	Name(sign & print)
- Over processor	(sign & print)
MARY A. Tackson	(Address)
12221 3. MENILO AVE. L. H. CA. 90044	(city and zip code)
Date: 10-11-2009	
cc: Committee Members: Huizer: Alereon: Smith	

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- <u>Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself</u>; $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H})$
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs;
 and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours, ederion Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code)

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{H})$
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs;
 and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours, Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code)

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.O.H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85%
 "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours, HERMAN ZIMMERMAN	
Olerman annore	Name(sign & print)
804 123 24ST	(Address)
LOS ANGELES	(city and zip code)
Date: $10 - 1 - 09$	

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- -Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.o.H)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85%
 "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,	
T PHO IL SUM	
The K Hamilton Keelthas	Name(sign & print)
12310 S. Mento Au	(Address)
L.A. CA. 90044	(city and zip code)
Date: 10/11/09	
cc: Committee Members: Huizar; Alarcon; Smith	
co. committee memoris. maizar, marcon, simu	

Councilwoman J. Hahn - 15th District Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due 0 to tree roots: (A.S.H.
- -Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself;
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation ø cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

	Alet	ASHLIF RIBERS	Name(sign & print)
	. ,	12/12 Mento HUE	(Address)
	·	IA IA GOULY	(city and zip code)
Date:		10/10/66	
0	- X / TT. '	A1 / / / / · · · 1	

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; $(\underline{A}, \underline{o}, \underline{H})$
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; **and**,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

	Bune Surius PA	Name(sign & print)
	2112 KIENW NE	(Address)
	CACA GODYY	(city and zip code)
Date:	10/10/09	
~		

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (R. O. H.)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

- Alèra	aln R. A	vías ¢	Cindy Arias	azi- R.C.	Name(sign & print)
<u> </u>	2123 Menlo	ANE -	1		_(Address)
	Analis	G0044			(city and zip code)
Date:	0	10/10/0	1		
cc: Comm	ittee Membe	rs: Huizar	Alarcon; Sm	uith	_
Counci	lwoman J. F	- Tahn - 15th	District		

Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A. O. H.)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; <u>and</u>,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

GGS Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) Date:

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; Q.O.H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; <u>and</u>,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85%
 "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

Jodette M. SpannName-(sign & print) erm (Address) 90044 (city and zip code) And Date:

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- <u>Most</u> of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A. O. H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; <u>and</u>,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

Jon K. Bri Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) 05 Angeles Date:

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- -Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A, D, H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs;
 and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

Jonathon Lewis & Jours THAN	Name(sign & print)
1660 W. HAST ST	(Address)
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90044	(city and zip code)
Date: 09/30/09	
cc: Committee Members: Huizar; Alarcon; Smith	
Councilwoman J. Hahn - 15th District	

Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.O.H.)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; <u>and</u>,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours. Name----(sign & print) ON ENI (Address) (city and zip code) Date: 0

cc: Committee Members: Huizar; Alarcon; Smith Councilwoman J. Hahn - 15th District Harbor Gateway North Neighborhood Council

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- - Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; (A.O.M.)
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; <u>and</u>,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

almu Parker	Name(sign & print)
12224 S. menes ove	- (Address)
R.A. CRU, 90044	(city and zip code)
Date: 9-30-191	

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; A. O. H.
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs;
 and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours,

Robert HUNT	Robert	Hunt	Name(sign & print)
647 W-121st ST.			(Address)
1 A. 900 U.U.			(city and zin code)

> Re: Council File No. 05-1853 Ordinance to Amend Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 {Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC)} Hearing date:

TO THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

I am aware of the budget crisis. However, through neither fault nor complicity of property owners the City of Los Angeles is attempting to shift burden, responsibility and liability onto this Stakeholder group. The Amendment to Subsection (e) of Section 62.104 of the LAMC is outrageous and punitive and should be re-drafted or "killed" in Committee. This letter is to apprise you of my opposition to the Ordinance, as written.

I am a resident of an old-established community, Athens on the Hill, which was developed circa 1910. Several of my reasons for opposition are as follows:

- Some of the trees in this community are approximately 100 years old;
- Numerous sidewalks, curbs, and, driveways are severely damaged due to tree roots;
- Most of the trees were planted by the City of Los Angeles, itself; $(A \circ \mathcal{H})$
- Residents have over the past years phoned/reported damage done by tree roots to sidewalks, etc., and have requested repairs;
- Complainants, more often than not, were then informed of a "rotation cycle" they are on and given some distant, future date for repairs; and,
- The residents of this community are approximately 65%-85% "seniors."

I request that your recommendation to full City Council is a "No Vote" on the Amendment.

Very truly yours. A Bala Name----(sign & print) (Address) (city and zip code) Date: