## FRANK T. MARTINEZ

City Clerk

KAREN E. KALFAYAN Executive Officer

When making inquiries relative to this matter refer to File No.

06-0600-S1

## CITY OF LOS ANGELES



Office of the CITY CLERK Council and Public Services Room 395, City Hall Los Angeles, CA 90012 Council File Information - (213) 978-1044 General Information - (213) 978-1133 Fax: (213) 978-1040

CLAUDIA M. DUNN Chief, Council and Public Services Division

July 10, 2006

Councilmember Greuel Councilmember Garcetti Councilmember Weiss

RE: RECEIVE AND FILE MOTION RELATIVE TO MECHANISMS TO EARMARK THE GENERAL FUND SAVINGS GENERATED THROUGH THE INCREASED TRASH COLLECTION FEE SOLELY AND EXCLUSIVELY FOR PUBLIC SAFETY AND FOR HIRING ADDITIONAL POLICE OFFICERS

At the meeting of the Council held <u>July 7, 2006</u>, the following action was taken:

Attached report adopted	X
Attached motion (-) adopted	
Attached resolution adopted	
FORTHWITH	
Mayor concurred	
Motion adopted to approve communication recommendation(s)	
Motion adopted to approve committee report recommendation(s)	
Findings adopted	
Negative Declaration adopted	
Categorically exempt	
Generally exempt	

Rach & Martin

City Clerk et







## TO THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Your

**BUDGET AND FINANCE** Committee

reports as follows:

Public Comments: Yes No \_\_\_\_\_

BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT relative to mechanisms to earmark the General Fund savings generated through the increased trash collection fee solely and exclusively for public safety and for hiring additional police officers.

Recommendation for Council action:

RECEIVE and FILE the Motion (Greuel - Garcetti - Weiss - Parks, et al.) relative to mechanisms that could be used to earmark the General Fund savings generated through the increased trash collection fee solely and exclusively for public safety and for hiring additional police officers, inasmuch as the 2006-07 Adopted Budget includes a new Financial Policy on Police Hiring that affirms that the City's highest funding priority will be to provide sufficient resources in direct support of expanding the Los Angeles Police Department to the level of 10,000 officers by Fiscal Year 2010-11, and no further Council action is required.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Not applicable

Community Impact Statement: On April 20, 2006, the Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council voted to adamantly and vigorously oppose the Mayor's proposed trash collection hike of 100% (from \$11 to \$22), especially after an 83% hike in two states last year (first from \$6 per month to \$10 and then to \$11). This is not a proper way to tax and to raise funding for police, and in fact is far and away more than is needed to put 1,000 new police on the streets. It is an attempt to get completely discretionary funds for the City. LAPD can't even fill its current training slots at the academy and increased funding is not going to improve that situation.

## **SUMMARY**

At its meeting of June 26, 2006, the Budget and Finance Committee considered Motion (Greuel - Garcetti - Weiss - Parks, et al.) relative to mechanisms that could be used to earmark the General Fund savings generated through the increased trash collection fee solely and exclusively for public safety and for hiring additional police officers. The Committee recommended to receive and file the Motion inasmuch as the 2006-07 Adopted Budget includes a new Financial Policy on Police Hiring that affirms that the City's highest funding priority will be to provide sufficient resources in direct support of expanding the Los Angeles Police Department to the level of 10,000 officers by Fiscal Year 2010-11, and no further Council action is required. This matter is now forwarded to the Council for its consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

**BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE** 

MEMBER VOTE
PARKS: YES
GREUEL: YES
SMITH: YES
ROSENDAHL: YES
HUIZAR: ABSENT

LB #060600.1 6/29/06 ADOPTED

JUL 0 7 2006

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

General Chile Greecel De Pell