

PROTEST

January 2008

Ccl Hog - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,



RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23
CITY CLERK
BY M. S. DEPUTY
For [signature]

January 2008

PROTEST

Ccl Hng - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.


I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,



BY *MW* DEPUTY

CITY CLERK

2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Page 1

PROTEST

January 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Ccl Hng FEB 01 2008

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administered, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,

RAMOS, Frank
Frank Ramos

BY MD CITY CLERK
DEPUTY
2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
Faked

January 2008

PROTEST

Ccl Hng - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully, *Rosario Villasenor*

BY *ME*
CITY CLERK
DEPUTY

2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

Faxed

PROTEST

January 2008

Ccl Hrg - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,

Abel A Rodriguez

RECEIVED
CITY CLERKS OFFICE
2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23
CITY CLERK
BY *MAL*
DEPUTY
Faxed

PROTEST

January 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Ccl Hrg -
FEB 01 2008

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,

Jaine Martinez

BY ma DEPUTY

CITY CLERK

2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

RECEIVED

Edwards

PROTEST

January 2008

Cal Hwy - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administered, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,

Sorge Serrano

BY *Mc* DEPUTY
CITY CLERK
2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
Faxed

PROTEST

January 2008

Cal Hrg - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,



BY mc
CITY CLERK
DEPUTY

2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Faxed

January 2008

PROTEST

Cal Hwy - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.


I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,



BY AC CITY CLERK
DEPUTY

2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Faked
RECEIVED

January 2008

PROTEST

Cal Hrg - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,



Faked
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2008 JAN 31 PH 1:23
CITY CLERK
BY Mc
DEPUTY

PROTEST

January 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Ccl Hrg - FEB 01 2008

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.

I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,

PABLO TORIX

Filed
RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2008 JAN 31 PM 1:23
BY *ma* DEPUTY
CITY CLERK

PROTEST

January 2008

Ccl Hrg - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.


I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administered, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,



BY MC DEPUTY
CITY CLERK
2008 JAN 31 PM 1:22
RECEIVED
CITY CLERKS OFFICE
Faxed

PROTEST

January 2008

Ccl Hrg - FEB 01 2008

Oppose Los Angeles Ordinance (Council file # 07-1212)
Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Microchipping of dogs and cats

Honorable Los Angeles City Mayor and Council Members:

I OPPOSE the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-chipping of Pet Dogs and Cats in any form that mandates sterilization of any pet animal of any age through local ordinance or law (City, County or State).

The people of California vigorously opposed AB1634 when it was presented to the State Assembly and Senate and I think that it is an insult to all of California's registered voters when Animal Rights Activists attempt to pass similar measures City by City and County by County. Animal Rights is not about the welfare of animals but the elimination of pets and all animal use.

If Santa Cruz is any example of what happens when broad scope mandatory pet sterilization is passed, this will be a terrible financial burden to the city of Los Angeles. Since Santa Cruz enacted similar ordinances their animal licensing compliance has declined and their Animal Control budget has increased. Costs of enforcement escalated into millions of dollars.


I oppose the Los Angeles Ordinance for Mandatory Spay/Neuter/Micro-Chipping of Dogs and Cats because I believe that **No Government** should mandate serious, potentially life threatening surgical procedures namely the sterilization for either animals or humans.

Medical procedures performed on either humans or animals should never be mandated by any government.

To quote Lyndon Johnson, 36th President of the United States, "You should not examine legislation in the light of the benefit it will convey if properly administrated, but in the light of the wrong it would do and the harm it would cause if improperly administered."

Accordingly, as a registered voter and tax paying citizen, I respectfully ask that this ordinance either be withdrawn or defeated. I ask that you vote NO on any ordinance that mandates the sterilization and Micro-Chipping of any pet dog or cat.

Respectfully,



BY mc
CITY CLERK
DEPUTY

2008 JAN 31 PM 1:22

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Fax-ed