Jim McQuiston

**™** 

McQUISTON ASSOCIATES

6212 Yucca St, Los Angeles, CA 90028-5223

(323) 464-6792 FAX same

consultants to technical management

August 30, 2009

CF08-2020 ITEM 50, Council 9/1/09 M. Kostrencich

## STATEMENT of J.H. McQUISTON on AMENDING BILLBOARD ORDINANCE

Honorable President and Members of the Council:

**Courts decree that the power of a City to regulate zoning is derived from the City's Police Power.** The police power flows from the City's mandate to maintain the Public Safety. But Courts caution that **Cities must not improperly exercise their police power, because it may instead become an "Inverse Condemnation**".

Regulating billboards is permissible if a public safety basis is provable by the City. Otherwise, the regulation may be determined by a Court of Law to be an Inverse Condemnation requiring "consideration" to be paid to the injured owner of the property which the City "took".

In reviewing the proposed Ordinance and its many proposed amending Motions, I cannot find a reasonable foundation based on Public Safety therein, because the non-uniform application therein specifically negates any reasonable public-safety basis for the proscriptions therein.

If a use proscription is based on "public safety", then all existing uses must also be declared unsafe. "Grandfathering" defeats the Ordinance *per se*. It must not be allowed.

If "on-site" use does not jeopardize public safety, then "off-site" use will not jeopardize public safety either.

If the application of the Ordinance is not based on "public safety", then if existing uses may be continued, every future use must be permitted, per Equal Protection Constitutional requirements, or else the *City must pay Inverse Condemnation fees to those denied the use.* 

This Council will be well-advised to avoid the cost the City will incur if this Ordinance and/or amending Motions are enacted. Look instead to the future consequences of a Council action. Send it back for rework.

The City's prior failure to assay properly the impact of its prior enactment now **makes it difficult to proceed with an Ordinance to correct its prior errors.** But it is not impossible to achieve an appropriate amendment.

The City must follow a more-reasonable Policy, based on a *reasonable exercise of its Police Power*. Now is the time to avoid *inevitable court actions and adverse judgments* which the present proposals will cause.

Respectfully submitted,

JAM Que ton

J. H. McQuiston

c: Interested parties