505-1052 02 310 225-9507

09-0234-51

8

MAN

Scared, the legislature passing, under the cover of darkness, the hasty and flawed SBX2 11 with retroactive immunity for all sitting judges.

By November 9, 2011 Plaintiff had established tenancy "at will" in City Hall Park. No proper eviction notices or proper eviction process were under consideration or being discussed with Plaintiff. Plaintiffs feared state and federal officials would be negligent in defending their tent/home rights just as Carmen Trutanich, Kamala Harris and Eric Holder had been negligent in defending homeowner rights; or, eliminating the unconstitutional payments to Los Angeles Judges.

Plaintiffs sought declaratory relief from the Superior Court. However, no declaratory relief is possible from the Superior Court of Los Angeles. The Superior Courts are under a cloud of massive Blential judicial corruption where most judgments are void due to unconstitutional payments to mounty, judges by the County of Los Angeles. RICO charges are known to be in preparation against the Superior Court of Los Angeles;"the California General, of the California Judges Attorney Association and the California State Bar. Plaintiffs intended to join those efforts or initiate their own claim. Plaintiffs expected the Federal Courts and officials to vigorously prosecute the RICO allegations to guarantee and enforce the citizens and the protesters' rights.

Plaintiff sought a Temporary Restraining Order against LAPD and Charlie Beck for clandestine attempts to terminate "said

1) Into and belief - to pennig Ettlin

occupation" without the <u>required notice</u>. The Mayor and the City Attorney would hold out the "threat of force" during their good-faith discussions with Occupy Los Angeles. Plaintiffs sought a permanent injunction against such force by LAPD.

Plaintiff sought protection from the California courts because they would nominally have jurisdiction. However, Plaintiff believed that Federal injunctions and protections were necessary because no relief, civil or criminal, was likely in State Court due to the illegal and unconstitutional judicial payments to Superior Court Judges. Further, Eric Holder was named as one of the Federal Defendants due to his violation of Misprision of Felony statutes, his refusal to stop unconstitutional county the payments in California, This refusal to order the California Attorney General to investigate said payments and/oc his own subsequent denial of due process rights to all Californians.

Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights were at risk as well as the destruction of property that would likely result from any forcible removal of the First Amendment protesters in City Hall Park. Those rights were, indeed, subsequently violated.

The Courts have determined that the tents used by the homeless and others are subject to the same protections as homes. The threatened use of force by the LAPD as directed by other officials seriously threatened the protesters' tent/homes, as well as violating the Jones Agreement which further protected the tent/homes of the homeless in Los Angeles (Jones v. City of Los Angeles, 444 F.3d 1118 (9th Cir. 2006)). Any violation of the Jones Agreement also made the actions a Federal issue. The Mayor and the LAPD did in fact ignore the Jones Agreement and removed the tent/homes of homeless Plaintiffs, C.R. Legal and Mario Nitrini III, from the sidewalk.

The Federal Courts, in *Metropolitan Council, Inc. v. Safir*, also determined that a complete ban of sleeping on a sidewalk is prohibited. The City of Los Angeles ignored all these precedents in order to pursue an illegal eviction of the Occupy Los Angeles protesters.

Plaintiffs in the extant case filed on November 9, 2011 in Los Angeles Superior Court at the first hint of illegal actions by the Defendants. They were not the only protesters to seek protection from the courts. On November 28, 2011, illegal actions had been announced and were imminent prompting two other groups of protesters associated with Occupy Los Angeles to file additional civil suits in Federal Court. Katherine Knox-Davies et al v. City of Los Angeles et al., case number CV11-9792-GHK-(JCx) only addressed 1<sup>st</sup> amendment violations and sought no damages. Geneva Reese et al v. City of Los Angeles et al, number CV11-9790-GHK-(JC), case sought protections for 1st, 4th, 8th, and 14th Amendment rights. Plaintiff's case, Occupy Los Angeles v. City of Los Angeles et al, case number CV12-0297 GHK-(JCx) seeks protections for 1<sup>st</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment rights but adds charges of racketeering and negligence among city and state Defendants and racketeering and negligence among Federal Defendants.

# COALITION FOR ECONOMIC SURVIVAL (C.E.S.) Tenants' Rights Clinic

### KNOW YOUR TENANTS' RIGHTS

- $\sqrt{}$  Assistance on Evictions
- $\sqrt{1}$  Help on Getting Repairs
- ✓ RENT CONTROL INFORMATION
- ✓ TENANT ORGANIZING ASSISTANCE & MORE

## WEDNESDAY EVENINGS 7:00 p.m.

AND

## SATURDAY MORNINGS

### 10:00 A.M.

YOU MUST ARRIVE BY 7 PM OR 10 AM TO ENSURE GETTING ASSISTANCE WE CAN'T GUARANTEE YOU WILL BE SEEN IF YOU ARRIVE LATE

Held at

# PLUMMER PARK

(IN THE COMMUNITY BUILDING) 7377 SANTA MONICA BLVD. (BETWEEN FAIRFAX AVE & LA BREA AT MARTEL AVE.)

ONE-ON-ONE INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING NO CHARGE, BUT A DONATION IS REQUESTED

FOR MORE INFORMATION: COALITION FOR ECONOMIC SURVIVAL (CES) PHONE: (213) 252-4411 - EMAIL: CONTACTCES@EARTHLINK.NET WEB SITE: WWW.CESINACTION.ORG

No Information Will BE Provided Over the Phone or By Email. You Must Come to the Clinic to Receive Assistance



City of Monrovia Department of Community Services **MONROVIA PUBLIC LIBRARY** 321 South Myrtle Avenue . 626.256.8274 www.monroviapubliclibrary.org

# **Stay Out of Court**

### Saturday, June 2

**1:00 - 3:00 p.m.** Library Community Room

### FREE

To sign-up call or stop by the Adult Reference Desk, 626-256-8274, or sign up online at monroviapubliclibrary.org

Walk-ins welcome



- Divorce
- Credit card debt
- Medical debt
- Neighbor issues
- Workplace issues
- Landlord or tenant problems



This valuable public service program partners *LA Law Library* with the *Center for Conflict Resolution at Loyola Law School* to present an overview of various ways to use mediation to stay out of court.

Avoid expensive legal fees with timely advice from those who know the system.



With the situation deteriorating, a group of stakeholders has	ing Go teams!
resistant strain of staph infection.	well the Kings, Clippers and Lakers are far-
reverse. There has also been a scary rise in disease, including two	however, we're pleased and excited by how
been achieved in the neighborhood in recent years has started to	going long enough so that Downtown hosts
Almost unmediately the sidewalks grew cluttered. The number of encamments has increased and some of the progress that had	Only time will tell if any squad can keep it
instead personal property.	piay eight iewet regular season games than normal
Skid Row inhabitants to claim that what appears to be detrifus is	last fall caused the Lakers and Clippers to
the city minimum supper taking apparently availouned items from the streets, even if they looked like trash. It is easy for	even better considering that the NBA lockout
Items from being removed unless they could be stored for 90 days.	This is all very good for Downtown, It's
as showers inside area shelters. Gutierrez's ruling prevented any	raurants and bars. Farking lots nil up. It's
and other city workers while the homeless accessed services such	rive early or linger late and visit local res-
Gutterrez in lavor of a group of homeless individuals who such	snacks, drinks and souvenirs. Many will ar-
some of the fallout from a June 2011 decision by Judge Philip	Not only do the rans purchase game tick- ets, once inside the arena they spring for
Los Angeles Downtown News last week reported once again on	the area in and around L.A. Live,
say the crisis has already arrived.	than 320,000 fans will have filtered through
plored, Skid Row is in danger of tripping into crisis mode. Some	people (roughly Staples' capacity), then more
aggressively, especially considering that the decision hurt many	home games. If each one drew about 19,000
problem via the judicial system. Yes, that path needs to be pursued	teams had played a total of 17 postseason
tinue to wait while elected officials and others try to solve the	city coffers. By Sunday, May 20, the three
Nor can the people who live and do business in the area con-	mean additional patrons for local businesses,
in the community cannot be rectified by law enforcement alone.	Perhaps more importantly, these games
Los Angeles was a responsible and important step — the problems	country.
	will show off the area to viewers across the
It's a troubling situation, and should be drawing more resources	nity and all those overhead blimp shots
response from City Hall.	eras will spend plenty of time in the com-
along with their offer of a temporary solution, are generating little	that Downtown Los Angeles gets another ex-
area business owners are complaining that their requests for help.	The attention lavished on the teams means
is no muck or simple solution to the worsening situation some	There are a couple other reasons to cheer:
issued a ruling that would become the first in a distress-	lift a, giant foarn lunger while knocking back a dr'huik.
T carly a year has passed since a U.S. District Court judge	Clayton Kershaw), gives another reason to
	the best players in baseball (Matt Kemp and
	<i>we</i> in first place in their division, with two of
	eer for both basketball tearns. The fact that
	eam, providing said fan can manage to
	This is pretty much a local sports fan's

tried to find a solution. Last week's Downtown News story reportstorage facility. The effort would involve getting the Port of Los ed how the Weingart Center, which provides an array of services Angeles to loan shipping containers so that items would not be left to the homeless, is offering a parking lot that it owns for use as a

in Skid Row Sidewalks

take seized iterns. This step would help. est challenge in clearing sidewalks is that they don't have a place to ground that has been lost. Local LAPD officials say that their great. long-term problem, it is a creative move to regain some of the This is a reasonable offer, and even if it does not solve every

out in the open.

edge that this is a contentious and complicated issue, which we statement from Villariagosa's office said, in part, "We acknowldoesn't come dose to addressing the situation on the streets. A Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa, City Councilman José Huizar and from an area business group have been made to the offices of must resolve in a thoughtful and responsible manner." City Attorney Carmen Trutanich. The closest thing to a response The problem is, the city has yet to respond to the offer. Calls

expedience — remember, Gutierrez's decision was issued 11 months ago — takes precedent. This seems like one of those occasions. Everyone wants to be thoughtful and responsible, but sometimes

sleeping on the streets in the area is increasing. are landing in Skid Row. This is partly why the number of people than before, and some of those who come out without a safety ne ing the challenge. People are being released from lockups earlier additional responsibilities for immates to the counties, is heightenrecently enacted state prison realignment plan, which is shifting was the only big stone producing ripples in the water. It's not: The Perhaps a slow approach would be tolerable if the judicial ruling

business and the residents, including those on the streets. eration with the private sector leaders who are trying to improve the area. Skid Row needs help now. Further delays are bad for the streets. We need action by the city and a greater level of coopright step at the right time. However, the community cannot af ford to wait while trash piles higher and more people wind up or The dedication of more police officers to Downtown was the

1.

Name & Address:		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CASENUMBER	
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS	

#### TO: DEFENDANT(S):

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within \_\_\_\_\_\_ days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached  $\Box$  complaint  $\Box$  \_\_\_\_\_\_ amended complaint  $\Box$  \_\_\_\_\_\_ counterclaim  $\Box$  cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, whose address is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Clerk, U.S. District Court

Dated:

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Deputy Clerk

(Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].