DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING 200 N. Spring Street, Room 525 Los Angeles, CA 90012-4801 AND 6262 VAN NUYS BLVD., SUITE 351 VAN NUYS, CA 91401 CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

> WILLIAM ROSCHEN PRESIDENT REGINA M. FRER VICE-PRESIDENT SEAN O. BURTON DIEGO CARDOSO ROBIN R. HUGHES FR. SPENCER T. KEZIOS RICARDO LARA CINDY MONTAÑEZ MICHAEL K. WOO JAMES WILLIAMS

COMMISSION EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT (213) 978-1300

June 19, 2009

CITY OF LOS ANGELE

CALIFORNIA



EXECUTIVE OFFICES

S. CAIL GOLDBERG, AICP DIRECTOR (213) 978-1271

VINCENT P. BERTONI, AICP DEPUTY DIRECTOR (213) 978-1272

JOHN M. DUGAN, AICP DEPUTY DIRECTOR (213) 978-1274

EVA YUAN-MCDANIEL DEPUTY DIRECTOR (213) 978-1273

FAX: (213) 978-1275

INFORMATION (213) 978-1270 www.planning.lacity.org

Honorable Members of the City Council c/o Office of the City Clerk Room 395, City Hall Mail Stop 160

Attention: Lauraine Braithwaite, Legislative Assistant

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING FOLLOW-UP REPORT ON THE COMPREHENSIVE FEE STUDY – CF 09-0969

On April 17, 2009, the Department of City Planning submitted its comprehensive fee study and fee recommendations to the Mayor and City Council. The report was heard at a joint Planning and Land Use Management (PLUM) and Budget and Finance Committee meeting on June 1, 2009. The two Committees made a decision to forward the item to City Council without recommendation. In addition, the Department was requested to provide additional input on various questions from members of the respective Committees.

The Department is hereby attaching three documents in response to the joint Committee request as follows: 1) List of responses to questions from the joint meeting; 2) Revised Attachment A from the original report adding estimated annual case volumes and fees without the Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) rate and; and 3) Sample Case Fee Calculations.

In considering this additional information, the Council should be aware that any reduction or contribution from the General Fund to offset the fees will result in less revenue to the Department. The original report estimated that the new fee schedule could result in additional annual revenues of \$7 – 8 million. In the 2009-10 Adopted Budget additional revenue in the amount of \$6 million was included in the Department's projection to account for a nine-month implementation period. If CAP rates are not included in the fees, total estimated annual revenue will be reduced to approximately \$6.7 million. A nine-month implementation period on that amount would be \$5 million.

ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA MAYOR Follow-up Report to Cc jrehensive Fee Study June 19, 2009 Page 2

In addition, while individual fee items may appear high, the report also recommended a multiple application policy which would charge fees as follows: 100% of the highest fee; 50% of the second highest fee; and 25% for every additional request. This policy will reduce individual fee amounts when multiple entitlement actions are required.

On June 11, 2009, Department staff met with staff from the CAO, City Attorney and Controller's Office to discuss options for creating a fund to segregate the Planning and Land Use fees. While the Department initially recommended an Enterprise Fund, we have determined that a Special Revenue Fund would serve the same purpose to set aside the fees specifically for use by the Department for case processing services.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact me at (213) 978-1271 or Deputy Director of Planning Eva Yuan-McDaniel of my staff at (213) 978-1273.

Sincerely,

A. Stil Goldberg

S. GAIL GOLDBERG, AICP Director of Planning

Attachments: Responses to Questions from Joint Committee Meeting Revised Attachment A - Proposed Fee Schedule Sample Case Fee Calculations City Planning Comprehensive Fee Study Results and Recommendations

cc: Raymond P. Ciranna, Interim City Administrative Officer Gerry Miller, Chief Legislative Analyst



RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS FROM JOINT PLUM AND BUDGET AND FINANCE MEETING OF JUNE 1, 2009

Why didn't we raise the fees earlier? (Rosendahl)

The City has increased the entitlement application fees by 20% in Fiscal Year 2008-09 and by 15% in Fiscal Year 2007-08. Before that time, the fees were increased by 5% each year in Fiscal Years 2003-04, 2004-05 and 2005-06. Even with these increases, the fee study has shown that the City is still subsidizing planning applications by as much as 60%.

How do we compare with other cities? (Reyes)

In the recent fee analysis, the City of Los Angeles was compared with seven western cities and six regional cities/agencies. In the western cities analysis, the City's current fees are comparable; however, the proposed fees will be higher. In the regional cities analysis, some jurisdictions have higher fees (Santa Clarita and Pasadena), but most of the other cities have lower fees compared to both the current and proposed fees.

Should we be charging the CAP overhead rate on the fees like we charge for federal grants? (Parks)

This is ultimately a policy decision by the City Council. The CAP 30 overhead rate used in the Fee Study was 41.56%, which is the Citywide Central Services rate for City Planning. A revised fee scheduled is attached showing what the fees would be without the CAP rate.

What was the thought process for the density bonus fees? (Reyes)

All fees were calculated based on the amount of staff time required to process applications, plus benefits and overheads. It is less expensive to process density bonus applications that are on-menu compared to those requests for off-menu services.

Did we increase the budget to include these fees? (Greuel)

Yes, revenues for the Planning Department in the amount of \$6 million were added to the 2009-10 adopted budget to account for these fee increases.

I have concerns over the following fee charges: (Greuel)

Fee	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Fee without CAP
Certified Farmer's Market	586	2,641	2,035
Relief from Fence Height	794	4,525	3,488
Service of Alcohol in a Small			
Restaurant	229	6,040	4,656
Development Agreement	4,074	29,690	23,098
Coastal Development Permit for			
SFD Residential with exceptions	1,285	7,057	5,440
Historic Preservation Overlay	1,361	136,656	107,384

Mobile Home Impact Report	2,484	13,992	10,906
Affordable Housing (Density			
Bonus – On Menu)	1,278	7,115	5,530

All fees were calculated based on the amount of staff time required to process applications, plus benefits and overheads. It is ultimately a policy decision by the City Council to subsidize any specific fee items.

While individual fee items may appear high, the Department's report recommends discounting multiple entitlements as follows: 100% for the highest fee; 50% for the second highest; and 25% for every additional application. This discount will substantially reduce individual fee amounts if they are submitted in combination with other entitlements (see question and attachment below).

Can you pick certain categories and provide examples? (Greuel)

See Sample Case Fee Calculations attachment showing a cost comparison of three cases at the current fees, proposed fees without the CAP, and full cost recovery proposed fees.

Will these fees prohibit lower income residents from filing permits? Should we look at a concept like Lifeline to provide a discount? (Parks)

The City's entitlement fee structure has never been based on income or other categories. However, City Planning is recommending a 50% subsidy to homeowners of <u>single family dwellings</u> and additional subsidies for certain historic applications and appeals. Subsidizing entitlements based on income or other category could be made by the City Council as a policy decision.

How will this impact non-profits and for-profits to build affordable housing? (Rosendahl)

Planning application fees are only a small portion of the costs for developers building affordable housing regardless of whether they are being built for-profit or for a non-profit entity.

Explain the difference between surcharges and fees. (Rosendahl)

Fees are charges for services provided by a government agency to a public citizen or group normally set on a per unit/per project basis. Surcharges are generally assessed as a percentage of fees or some other metric, such as valuation, and are meant to recover costs from entire groups who receive overall benefits from services rather than individual clients.

Are there any fees proposed by the consultant that the Department doesn't agree with? (Rosendahl)

The Department concurs with all the fee recommendations from the consultant since our staff was instrumental in providing the time estimates. After the final report was received, the Department recommended subsidizing fees for single family dwelling applications, certain historic resources applications, and appeals.

Why is the Department down by 100 staff? (Rosendahl)

Over the past couple of years, the Department has been fortunate in acquiring new positions raising our position authorities to just over 400. However, due to the Managed Hiring Policy, combined with attrition, the Department has been unable to fill the 100+ vacancies, leaving us with a 25% vacancy rate.

Are you applying or receiving any Economic Stimulus funding? (Rosendahl)

The Department has received approval for stimulus funding for the SurveyLA match in the amount of \$300,000 and for the Mixed Income CEQA work in the amount of \$400,000.

Provide a report with case type and volume by Council District. (Rosendahl)

The revised Attachment A provides estimated annual volumes by case type. The Department does not have the capacity to break these out by Council District.

ATTACHMENT A

City of Los Angeles, CA Department of City Planning Master Summary Schedule

NEW	STUDY		Code	Average Current Fee/	Subsidized	Full Cost Recovery Fee	Proposed Fee Amount	Estimated Annual
NO.	FEE NO.	Fee Name	Section	Unit	Fees & Notes	Amount	w/out CAP	Volume
Section 2	No. of the second s	LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS	たちを見てい					
	1	GPA (Stand Alone without ZC or Annexation)*		Ļ	delete			r
-	2	Zone Change - SFD/MF Residential (up to 49 Units)	12.32 F	12,608	reduced	11,734	9,121	36
2	e	Zone Change - SFD/MF Residential (addl fee for each 50 units over 49)	12.32 F	6,688	reduced	5,747	4,462	2
e	4	Zone Change - Non-residential (≤ 49,999 s.f.)	12.32 F	12,695	reduced	11.737	9,124	4
4	5	Zone Change - Non-residential (≥ 50.000 s.f.)	12.32 F	12,695		16.440	12.783	
5	9		12.32 F	2.399		11.123		2
	7	Amandment of Council's Instructions: Removal of T Class (each)	12.32 H +	2 179		4 264		¢
>	-	Supplemental Use District: Establishment (Including, but not limited to, O, S, G, RPD, K,	5	21.4		1041	2000	>
7	6	CA, POD, CDO, MU, FH, RFA, and SN Districts)	12.32 S	'n	New	134,608	104,744	-
œ	10	Supplemental Use District: Change or Removal (Including, but not limited to, O, S, G, RPD, K, CA, POD, CDO, MU, FH, RFA, and SN Districts)	12.32 S	1,626		66,289	52,843	ı
6	11	Building Line (Establishment, Change or Removal)	12.32 R	3.217		8,833	6.866	2
¢,	ç	Zona Baundon (Jina Adiustmont (zooch)	12.30 H + K	010 0		E 476		ų
2:	2 9		2	210'0		0/4/0		
11	13	Approval of Iransfer of Floor Area Plan (each)	14.5.1 - 14	14,549		16,292	12,6/U	1
12	14	General Plan Amendment and Zone Change (S399 Units., 499K S.f (commind), 249K S.f. (mixed use))	11.5.8	17,578		23,884	18,574	18
13	15	General Plan Amendment and Zone Change (≥400 d.u., 500K s.f (comm/ind), 250K s.f. ((mixed use))	11.5.8	22.823		32.116	24.979	
	15	Annexation, General Plan Amendment, and Zone Change, (≤399 d.u., 499K s.f (commind) 240K e.f. (mixed use))	14 5 0	A 702		16 267		
r	2	Admerstion, General Plan Amendment, and Zone Change. (2400 d.u.: 500K s.f	0'0'11	CO I'L		100-01		
15	17	(comm/ind), 250K s.f. (mixed use])	11.5.8	ī	New	62,566	48,675	
16	18	Land Use Determinations by City Planning Commission (each)	12.24.1	4,121		11,060	8,597	
		SPECIFIC PLAN APPROVALS						
17	19	Project Permit Compliance and other Specific Plan Reviews (SPP or SPR)	11.5.7 C	1,187		3,808		411
18		Project Permit Compliance and other Specific Plan Reviews (Single Family)	11.5.7 C	1,187	50% subsidy	1,904		
19	20	Project Permit With DRB	11.5.7 C	1,187		5,395		25
20		Project Permit With DRB (Single Family)	11.5.7 C	1,187	50% subsidy	2,698		
17	17	UKB - Freiminiary DRR - Draiminary (Sindla Family)	16.50 E3	1903 1003	50% subsidy	3,044	1 182	
23	22	Project Permit Modification (each)	11.5.7 D	594	(pipapo al po	3,308		83
24	23	Project Permit Adjustment (each)	11.5.7 E	2,395		4,901		2
25	24	Specific Plan Exception (each)	11.5.7 F	3,847		14,932	11,611	25
26	25	Specific Plan Amendment (each)	11.5.7	17,570		40,560	31,555	~
27	26	Specific Plan Interpretation (each)	11.5.7	4,300	reduced	2,994	2,323	1
the Public		CUP's and OTHER SIMILAR QUASI JUDICIAL APPROVALS	and the second			and the second		ないというという
28	27	Conditional Use by APC or CPC (each)	12.24 U + 12.24 V	4,490		13,224	10,281	13
29	28	Child Care (≤ 50 children in the R3 Zone. or Large Family Davcare)	12.24 X, 24 & 25	1.280		4,601	3,547	,
30	20		12 24 W	4,490		5.358		166

run date: 6/16/2009

ATTACHMENT A

City of Los Angeles, CA Department of City Planning Master Summary Schedule

NEW FEE NO.	STUDY FEE NO.	Fee Name	Code Section	Average Current Fee/ Unit	Subsidized Fees & Notes	Full Cost Recovery Fee Amount	Proposed Fee Amount w/out CAP	Estimated Annual Volume
31	30	Conditional Use by ZA (Alcohol (on- or off-site sales) Entertainment (dance halls, hostess dance halls, massage partors))	12.24 W1 + 12.24W18	6,474	reduced	6,459	4,979	228
32	31	Adult Entertainment Business Exception (Within 500 feet of another Adult Entertainment)		401		5,317	4,099	4
33	32	Reasonable Accommodation Determination (each)	12.22 A27	1	New	3,311	2,570	1
34	33	Variances (AII)	12.27	5,879		6,448	4,970	140
35	34	Adjustments by ZA (All except SFD (including, but not limited to, reduced parking for theaters or historic buildings, adaptive reuse, open storage for autos, or live/work))	12 28 A	5.398	reduced	5.370	4.139	48
36	35	Additistments by 7A (SED (nolicy))	12 28 A	1 423	50% subsidy	2,6,6	2 070	
37	36	Slight Modification by ZA (each)	12 28 B2	215		3.941	3.038	9
38	37	ZA Interpretation of Yard or Use Regulations (ZAI) (Yards and hillsides)	12.21 A2	397		5,831	4,495	14
39	38	ZA Determinations under 12.24 X (unless listed separately) (each)	12.24 X	4,326		6,093	4,698	129
	00	Relief from Fence Height Limitation (Fences not to exceed 8 feet in the required front, side, or rear yard in the A and R zones (X7) or Not to exceed 8 feet in the front yard of a	12.24 X7 +	POL.		101 1	007 0	ç
41	11	group or rous (xo) / Certified Farmer's Market (each)	12 24 YG	134		4,323 7 6.41	2,400	77
42	42	Service of Alcohol in a Small Restaurant (≤ 50 seats)	12.24 X2	229		6.040	4.656	
43	43	Approval to Erect Amateur Radio Antenna (each)	12.24 X3	530		2.592	1.998	,
44	44	Coastal Development Permit - SFD/MF Residential	12.20.2	1,285		7,057	5,440	21
45		Coastal Development Permit - SFD Residential (no exceptions)	12.20.2	1,285	50% subsidy	3,529	2,720	Ľ
46	48	Coastal Development Permit - Non-residential	12.20.2	10,643	reduced	7,798	6,011	г
47	50	Coastal Development Permit - Exemption Determination (each)	12.20.2.1	108		684	527	258
48	51	Coastal Development Permit - Approval in Concept (each)	12.20.2	340		703	542	53
49	52	Coastal Development Permit - Amendment (Residential/Single-family or Multi-family and Non-residential))	12.20.2.1Q + 12.20.2 O	217		6,456	4,976	L
50		Coastal Development Permit - Amendment (Residential Single-family - no exceptions)	12.20.2.1Q + 12.20.2 O	217	50% subsidy	3.228	2,488	,
	53	Coastal Development Permit - Amendment (Non-residential)	12.20.2.1Q + 12.20.2 O	1,280	Combine with Fee 49	6,456	4,976	
51	56	Mello Compliance Review (each)*			New	828	638	
52	57	Public Benefits - Alternative Compliance Proposal (each)	14.00 B	4,490		16.938	13,171	-
53	58	Eldercare Facility Unified Permit Application (each)	14.3.1	7,266	reduced	6,369	4,909	
		DENSITY BONUS						1
54	59	Application for Density Bonus (Request for one or more Incentives included in the Menu of Incentives)	f 12.22 A25 (e)	1.278		7.115	5,530	11
55	60	Application for Density Bonus (Request for an Incentive not included in the Menu of Incentives)	12.22 A25 (e)	4,490		23.287	18,109	
56	61	Application for Density Increase in excess of that permitted by Section 12.22 A.25 (each)	12.24 U25 + 14.00 A2	4,490		20,718	16,114	1
57	62	Site Plan Review - (Residential Projects of 50 or more dwelling units)	16.05	1.278		7.806	6.064	5
58	63	Site Plan Review - (All Other)	16.05	2,398		7,925	6,156	12
1			111001	0000	Standard Bar	010 1	000	14 14 1 - 2 M
59	64	Conditions of Approval for Oil Drilling (each)	13.01 H	3,269		7,650	5,896	

run date: 6/16/2009

ATTACHMENT A

City of Los Angeles, CA Department of City Planning Master Summary Schedule

ш	nt Annual CAP Volume	2,035 -	6,903 1	4,436			260 -	260 -	376 75	88 24	1,327 -	664 -	546 144	1,026 1	155 150	2.431 2.28	,			23,098 2	0	0		0,904 10	7,923 7	8,773 3	2,393 -	7,786 1	1 1 1	100
-	w/ou																	The second					-							
Full Cost Recovery	Amount	2,640	8,878	5.754	4,428	access and	338	338	487	115	1,722	861	708	1,331	199	3.124	1 562			29,690	0	0		0,930	10,165	11,257	3,073	9,989	010 11	
	Subsidized Fees & Notes						reduced	reduced	New	reduced	New	50% subsidy	New	New	reduced		50% subsidy	(delete	delete					New			
Average	Current Fee/ Unit	1,258	2,750	1.280	607		586	4,490	•	282	•		,	,	294	745	745			4,074	T	I		GL1,1	3,256	3,256		1,115	2 266	HC/C
-	Section	13.03	12.24 M	12.24 J, L, M	12.32 H	本書	14	14		16.10					13					12.32			17.50 -	- 10.71	17.13	17.13	17.01 - 17.13	17.50 - 17.58	- 10.11	0.1
		Surface Mining Permits (each)	Modification of Existing CUP by APC or CPC (each)	Modification or Review by ZA (Plan Approval Applications, and, for example, non-conforming oil wells, landscaping nonconforming in the A and R Zones, shared parking, and parking near transit. Existing CUP or Variance)	Clarification of Q or D Limitations (each)	ADMINISTRATIVE CLEARANCES	Public Benefit Projects (SIGN OFF FOR BY-RIGHT PROJECT Shelter for Homeless with \$ 30 beds in the R3, M1, M2, or M3 Zones; or Shelter for Homeless in ≤ 6 trailers by a church, religious institution, or philanthropic institution)	Public Benefit Projects (All Other Public Benefit Projects)	RIVER Clearance (each)*	Green Building Program Application (Includes staff time only. Consultant costs are a pass through and will be calculated separately.)	Miscellaneous Clearances - ZA Decision (each)	Miscellaneous Clearances - ZA Decision (SFD - no exceptions)	Time Extension (All (except maps))	Letters of Correction, Modification, or Clarification of a ZA's, Director's, or DAA's Determination (each)	Supplemental Use District Sign-Off (Pedestrian Oriented District/ Transit Oriented District Community Design Overlay RPD)	Miscellaneous Clearances - CPB (For example, ICO and Director's Determination Conditions)	Miscellaneous Clearances - CPB (For example, ICO and Director's Determination Conditions) (Sincle Family - no excentions)	OTHER	delete	Development Agreement Application - Initial (each)	Change of or Addl Hearing Scheduling (each)*	Cancellation of Hearing (each)*	PARCEL AND LENIATIVE SUBDIVISION MAPS	SED (KE ZU OF IESS RESTICTIVE ZONE) (UP to 4 1015)	SFD (RE 20 or less restrictive zone) (5 to 10 lots)	SFD (RE 20 or less restrictive zone) (11-49 lots)	SFD (RE 20 or less restrictive zone) (add'l fee for each 50 lots over 49)*	SFD (RE 40 or more restrictive zone) (up to 4 lots)		
	FEE NO.	65	99	67	68	12.2	69	70	71	72	73		75	76	77	79			80	81	82	83		8	86	87	88	89		2
NEW	NO.	60	61	62	63		64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74			75				9/	17	78	79	80	10	1.X

ATTACHMENT A

City of Los Angeles, CA Department of City Planning Master Summary Schedule

STUDY FEE NO.	Fee Name	Code Section	Average Current Fee/ Unit	Subsidized Fees & Notes	Recovery Fee Amount	Fee Amount w/out CAP	Estimated Annual Volume
92	SFD (RE 40 or more restrictive zone) (add" fee for each 50 lots over 49)*	17.01 - 17.13		New	3.867	3.012	
93	Multi-Family (<50 units)	17.01 - 17.13	992		10,416	8,117	41
94	Multi-Family (50-99 units)	17.01 - 17.13	2,657		13,856	10,798	2
95	Multi-Family (≥ 100 units)	17.01 - 17.13	2.657		17.611	13.724	3
96	COMM / IND (with building) (<50K FAR s.f.)	12.95.3	2,732		12,552	9,783	6
97		12.95.3	5,327		14,309	11,153	1
98	COMM / IND (with building) (≥100K FAR s.f <250K FAR s.f.)	12.95.3	5,327		16,065	12,522	2
6	COMM / IND (with building) (≥250K FAR s.f.)	12.95.3	5,327		17,822	13,892	1
100		12.95.3	2,732		11,498	8,962	9
101	COMM / IND (without building) (≥1 acre to <5 acres)	12.95.3	5,327		12,552	9,783	9
102	COMM / IND (without building) (≥5 acres)	12.95.3	5,327		13,605	10,605	E
103	Review or Revision to Tentative Maps (Prior to Hearing)*	17	1	New	889	693	r
104	Review or Revision to Tentative Maps (After Hearing)*	17	1	New	1,374	1,071	1
105	Phasing of Map (each)*	17	1	New	545	425	1
106	Condo Conversion MF (<50 units)	12.95.2 + 12.95.3	1,984		16,109	12,556	20
107	Condo Conversion MF (50-99 units)	12.95.2 + 12.95.3	2,657		19,530	15,258	-
108	Condo Conversion MF (≥ 100 units)	12.95.2 + 12.95.3	5,002		22,161	17,274	0
109	Condo Conv. COMM / IND (with building)(<50K FAR s.f)	12.95.2 + 12.95.3	5,464		13,430	10,468	9
110	Condo Conv. COMM / IND (with building) (≥50K FAR s.f <100K FAR s.f.)	12.95.2 + 12.95.3	10,654		14,309	11,153	2
111	(≥100K FAR s.	12.95.2 + 12.95.3	10,654		15,187	11,838	
112	~	12.95.2 + 12.95.3	10,654		16,065	12,522	
113	S	17.52	1,652		13,492	10,516	1
114	Mountain Fire Dist. SFD (RE 20 or less restrictive) (5 - 10 lots)	17.52	4,884		14,897	11,611	
115	Mountain Fire Dist. SFD (RE 20 or less restrictive) (11-49 lots)	17.52	4,884		16,478	12,844	
116	Mountain Fire Dist. SFD (RE 20 or less restrictive) (add'l fee for each 50 lots over 49)*	17.52	10	New	6,096	4,752	1
117	Mountain Fire Dist. SFD (RE 40 or more restrictive) - (up to 4 lots)	17.52	1,450		15,424	12,022	
118	Mountain Fire Dist. SFD (RE 40 or more restrictive) - (5 - 10 lots)	17.52	4,233		16,478	12,844	
119	Mountain Fire Dist. SFD (RE 40 or more restrictive) - (11 to 49 lots)	17.52	4,233		18,586	14,487	
120	Mountain Fire Dist. SFD (RE 40 or more restrictive) - (add'l fee for each 50 lots over 49)*	0.2-		New	6,354	4,952	
121	Hillside Grading Plan Review (≥60K sq.ft.)	91.7006.8.2	e		12,201	9,510	+
122	Time Extension (Maps)	17.06	384		793	618	56
123	Parcel Map Exemption (Lot Line Adj.) (each)	17.5	1,048		3,507	2,734	80
124	*	17.5		New	3,729	2,907	r
125	Certificate of Compliance (each)		931		3,683	2,871	20
126	FRIVALE STREET MAPS	18 - 18 12	7 370		45 404	1000	V .

run date: 6/16/2009

ATTACHMENT A

City of Los Angeles, CA Department of City Planning Master Summary Schedule

NEW				Average		Recovery	Fee	Estimated
PEE.	STUDY FEE NO.	Fee Name	Code Section	Current Fee/ Unit	Subsidized Fees & Notes	Fee Amount	Amount w/out CAP	Annual Volume
118	127	Very High Fire Hazard Private Street Map (each)	18 - 18.12	11,055		22,415	-	1
119	128	Deemed to be Approved Private Street (each)*	18 - 18.12	ſ	New	3,787		1
	2 × × 12	LAND DIVISION - OTHER		のないのないので				area in the
120	129	Temporary Subdivision Direction Sign (First sign)	17	845		1,052	820	P
121	130	Temporary Subdivision Direction Sign (Each additional sign)	17	425	reduced	383	299	ı
122	131	Mobile Home Park Impact Report (each)	17.04	2,484		13,992	10,906	ı
123	132	delete						
		Landscape Plan Approval (incl. water mgmt approval) As Part of a Discretionary Approval						
124	133	(each)	12.40	169		647	504	144
125	134	Reversion to Acreage (each)*	17.10 A	Ŧ	New	8,876	6,919	1
	a state		17.14 +					
126	135	Modifications to Maps (each)	17.59	1,229		8,052	6,276	1
			12.33 A +			0.000		
127	136	Quimby Fee Calculation (per instance) (each)*	21.71		New	2/6	215	
128	137	Advisory Agency (AA) Public Discussion Meeting (each)*	17.03		New	1,734	1,351	
- veral	The second	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW	and the second	手が見たいに	ALL STREET, SAL	and the market	Service Service	
129	138	EAF - Initial Study to ND/MND		923		2,280	1,774	685
130		Publication Fee for ND/MND		i	New	946	946	-
131	139	MND -Expanded (per add'l study)*		-	New	1,953	1,520	,
132	142	Categorical Exemption (each)		79		81	63	1,260
133	143	Reconsideration Request (each)		146		703	548	139
	Contraction of the second	HISTORIC RESOURCES	a line of					State of the
134	144	Mills Act - Application (Single-Family Dwelling)		200	88% subsidy	250	250	19
135		Mills Act - Application (Commercial)		200		1,142	1,142	
					\$1/\$1,000			
136	145	Mills Act - Contract Execution (Single-Family Dwelling)		243	valuation	variable	>	
137	146	Mills Act - Contract Execution (Commercial)		243		1,866		1
138	147	Valuation Exemption Processing (each)*			New	609	473	30
	148	Contract Compliance Periodic Inspection (each)*	12.20.3		delete	0	0	
	149	Historic Cultural Monument Application (each)*	12.20.3		delete	0	0	99
		Historic Cultural Monument Application (each)* (Owner Initiated)	12.20.3		delete	0	0	
139	150	Historic Preservation Overlay: Establishment, Change, or Removal (each)	12.20.3	1,361		136,656	107,384	2
		HPOZ Preservation Plan (Stand Alone application of Preservation plan, not as part of						
140	151	establishment, change or removal of the original HPOZ)*	12.20.3	r	New	30,998	24,	
141	152	HPOZ Certificate of Appropriateness (not involving new construction or additions)	12.20.3	294	90% subsidy	472	367	47
		HPOZ Certificate of Appropriateness or Compatability (new additions or construction up to			and the second			
142	153	750 sq ft)	12.20.3	294	85% subsidy	708	490	13
!		HPOZ Certificate of Appropriateness or Compatability (new additions or construction over						
143		(1) S0 Sq ft)	12.20.3	284	(bisdus %c)	1,181	1,181	
	154	HPOZ Conforming Work (Board sign-off)*	12.20.3	r	delete	0	0	612
	155	HPOZ Conforming Work (Staff sign-off)*	12.20.3		delete	0	0	
	156	HR Building Permit Clearance - (Admin. Review)*	12.20.3	1	delete	0		
144	157	HR Building Permit Clearance - (Larger Project)*	12.20.3	.1	66% subsidy	784	60	8
	158	HR Building Permit Clearance - (Minor Project)*	12.20.3		delete	0	0	
	159	Demolition Review - (Minor Historic Significance)*	12.20.3	•	delete	0	0	
	160	Demolition Review - (Major Historic Significance)*	12.20.3		delete	0		
145	173	APC/DEM Case (Historic) - demolition of main structure	12.20.3	294		4,317		-
146		APC/DEM Case (Historic) - demolition of accessory structure	12.20.3	294	50% subsidy	2.159	1.680	-

run date: 6/16/2009

ATTACHMENT A

City of Los Angeles, CA Department of City Planning Master Summary Schedule

STUDY FEE NO.	Code	Average Current Fee/ Unit			Proposed Fee Amount w/out CAP	Estimated Annual Volume
MODIFICATIONS/DISCONTINUANCE OF USE (REVOCATIONS)	STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF		S. Strategics	-	State State	10
169 Imposition of Conditions	12.27.1	3,914		26,680	21,025	80
170 Reconsideration	12.27.1	746		29,866	23,536	-
171 Plan Approval for RV Case	12.27.1	2,398		35,027	27,603	80
172 Parcel Map Violations (IMPOSITION OF COND.)		1	delete			
	Fee MODIFICATIONS/DISCONTINUANCE OF Imposition of Conditions Reconsideration Plan Approval for RV Case Plan Approval for RV Case Parcel Map Violations (IMPOSITION OF CC	Fee Name MODIFICATIONS/DISCONTINUANCE OF USE (REVOCATIONS) Imposition of Conditions Reconsideration Plan Approval for RV Case Parcel Map Violations (IMPOSITION OF COND.)	Rodifications/Discontinuance Fee Name Code Code Section Imposition of Conditions Imposition of Conditions 12.27.1 12.27.1 Reconsideration 12.27.1 12.27.1 12.27.1 Plan Approval for RV Case 12.27.1 12.27.1 Parcel Map Violations (IMPOSITION OF COND.) 12.27.1 12.27.1	Fee Name Code Current Feel MODIFICATIONS/DISCONTINUANCE OF USE (REVOCATIONS) Section Unit Imposition of Conditions 12.27.1 3,914 Reconsideration 12.27.1 746 Plan Approval for RV Case 12.27.1 2,398 Parcel Map Violations (IMPOSITION OF COND.) 12.27.1 2,398	Fee Name Code Current Fee/ Subsidized MODIFICATIONS/DISCONTINUANCE OF USE (REVOCATIONS) Section Unit Fees & Notes Imposition of Conditions 12.27.1 3,914 1 Reconsideration 12.27.1 746 1 Plan Approval for RV Case 12.27.1 2,398 1 Parcel Map Violations (IMPOSITION OF COND.) 1 2 1 2	Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Amount MODIFICATIONS/DISCONTINUANCE OF USE (REVOCATIONS) Section Unit Fees & Notes Amount Imposition of Conditions 12.27.1 3,914 26,680 Reconsideration 12.27.1 746 29,866 Plan Approval for RV Case 12.27.1 2,393 35,027 Parcel Map Violations (IMPOSITION OF COND.) 12.27.1 2,398 35,027

NOTES:

- **

Denotes new fee items added or resulting from fee restructuring Amendments / Additions to Approved Plans or Vested Regulations will be charged at 25% of the original application fee Multiple Application permits will be charged as follows: 100% of the first application (highest fee), 50% of the second application (second highest fee), 25% of each additional application ***

S LANS	APPEALS/SUPPORTING ACTIVITY FEES		Contraction of the	日日のかいというとない		Contraction of the	
150	Appeal by Applicant (85% of the application fee)				variable	variable	255
151	Appeal by Aggrieved Parties within legal notice area		74	subsidized	150	150	i
152	Appeal by Aggrieved Parties outside of the legal notice area		74	subsidized	500	500	i
153	Building and Safety Appeal	12.26 K	368		7,933	7,933	6
154	General Plan Maintenance Fee (3% on all permits and applications)						
155	Historic Resources Fee (1% on all permits and applications - to sunset on 6/30/12)						
							6,266

MPLE CASE FEE CALCULATION

			Full Cost
Case Type	Current Fee	Proposed Fee without CAP Includes multiple a	Recovery Proposed Fee pplication policy
Sample Case #1			
Project Permit with Design Review Board (Single Family)	e 1,187	2,097	2,698
Project Permit Compliance with Specific Plan	1,107	2,097	2,090
Review	1,187	740	952
Categorical Exemption	79	63	81
Subtot	al 2,453	2,900	3,731
OSS Surcharge - 2%	50	58	75
Development Surcharge - 6%	147	174	224
Operating Surcharge - 7%	172	203	261
General Plan Maintenance Surcharge - 3%		87	112
Historic Resources Surcharge - 1%		29	37
TOTA Percentage Increas	• •	\$ 3,451 22%	\$
T			
Sample Case #2 Zone Variance (ZV)	5,879	4,970	6,448
Zone Variance (2V) Zone Variance (3 Combination Permits)	5,079	4,970	6,448
Zoning Administration Interpretation (ZAI)	397	1,124	1,458
Environmental Assessment Finding (EAF)	923	1,774	2,280
Subtota		12,838	16,634
OSS Surcharge - 2%	244	257	333
Development Surcharge - 6%	732	770	998
Operating Surcharge - 7%	854	899	1,164
General Plan Maintenance Surcharge - 3%		385	499
Historic Resources Surcharge - 1%		128	166
Publication Fee for ND/MND		946	946
TOTA Percentage Increas		\$ 16,223 16%	\$ 20,740 48%
Sample Case #3			
Zone Variance (ZV)	5,879	2,485	3,224
Zoning Administration Adjustment	1,667	1,035	1,343
Site Plan Review	1,278	6,064	7,806
MND - Reconsideration Request	146	548	703
Subtot	al 8,970	10,132	13,076
OSS Surcharge - 2%	180	203	262
Development Surcharge - 6%	539	608	785
Operating Surcharge - 7%	628	709	915
General Plan Maintenance Surcharge - 3%		304	392
Historic Resources Surcharge - 1%		101	131
Publication Fee for ND/MND		946	946
Expediting Fee	6,500	6,500	6,500
TOTA Percentage Increas		\$ 19,503 16%	\$ 23,006 37%
	~	10 /8	5170