



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:14 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kate Crossley** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:02 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Kate Crossley
Tujunga, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:14 PM

From: karen hopkins <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:13 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

technology over life and life style. It's getting more and more unconscious.

karen hopkins

pac pal , California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:15 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jennifer Irwin** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:19 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Irwin

Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Valerie Belt** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:21 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Valerie Belt
, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Forwarded message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kaitlin Richie** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:38 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Kaitlin Richie
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agi-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Yalda tehranian** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:43 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIGI)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Yalda tehranian

Pacific palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Susan Orenstein** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:44 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Susan Orenstein
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:17 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Skip Schoolnik** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:49 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice – a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Skip Schoolnik
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

6/10/2012

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:18 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Alain Gourrier** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:59 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Alain Gourrier
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:18 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Chris Van Hook** <mail@change.org>

Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 10:07 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Because cell phone companies should not have more power and importance than peoples health, their neighborhoods, their environment, and the beauty of nature. They must be regulated!

Chris Van Hook
pacific palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:18 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ann Kelly** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 10:19 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

I'm upset that the City lets cell phone companies erect poles right next to homes where people have to live and sleep. Cell towers have health risks; they should be farther from homes. And there is NO reason at all to let them be so hideously ugly! It doesn't cost that much to beautify them.

Ann Kelly
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To

respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:19 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mark Dubrow** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 10:20 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Mark Dubrow
, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

TRANSLATED

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:19 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mark Dubrow** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 10:20 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Mark Dubrow
, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:19 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lisa Steele** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 10:43 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same),

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Lisa Steele

Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-famc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:20 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **James Budge** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 10:53 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Violates freedom of choice concerning potentially dangerous, noisy and ugly industrial structures in environmentally inappropriate residential or public areas.

James Budge
Santa Monica, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:20 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fred C** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 11:21 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Fred C

Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:20 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Karen Howard** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 11:51 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Besides the unsightly aspects of cell towers, I strongly believe their placement and appearance need to be regulated. Los Angeles City Council - I URGE you to provide regulation for cell phone towers. I strongly urge the reality of radiation emission and health factors to be considered - - this is our life, our health, our children's life and health. Open your minds to the cold hard truth, Los Angeles City Council !!!

Karen Howard
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:21 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Manuel Wong** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 11:59 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Manuel Wong
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

4 messages

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:21 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jill Harte** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 12:18 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

I have a child and I do not want her exposed to this kind of radiation.

Jill Harte

West Hollywood, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:21 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

Janelle Pollock

Huntington Beach, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/>

[petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11](#). To respond, [click here](#)



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:21 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Janelle Pollock** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 12:56 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Janelle Pollock
Huntington Beach, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:22 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

O T
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/>

[petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11](#). To respond, [click here](#)



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

2 messages

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **O T** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 1:06 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

O T

Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:22 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

Kelsey Van Hook
Washington, District Of Columbia

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, [click here](#)



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

3 messages

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kelsey Van Hook** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 4:49 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Van Hook
Washington, District Of Columbia

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Salus Per Aquam Karen Saldanha** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 6:00 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC

requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Salus Per Aquam Karen Saldanha
Corona, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Andrea Streiber** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 5:59 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Andrea Sreiber
Subotica, Serbia

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:23 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jessica Sklar** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 6:51 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the

street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice – a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Jessica Sklar
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:23 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Agnes Huff** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 6:56 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall

200 North Spring Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Agnes Huff
Canoga Park, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:23 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **candace moore** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 7:09 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at 002 Mount Palisades Park, Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for).

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

candace moore
santa monica, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)



Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

09/10/2012

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:23 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **candace moore** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 7:09 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an eyesore and blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

candace moore
santa monica, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here



Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:23 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Laura Dicterow** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 7:49 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Laura Dicterow
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)



Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Change.org

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 1:17 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Adele Carter** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:49 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012.

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

We don't want a cell tower on our street for a number of reasons. We are satisfied with our cell reception as is. We don't want another eye-sore on our street, we already have too many poles and wires. We don't want our property values to drop. We are nearing retirement age and counting on income from our home. We do not want the noise from the machine that comes with the tower, nor the machine sitting in the middle of our nice street, making it look like an industrial area. Although no one is allowed to talk about it, there is much said about harmful, unregulated radiation from the equipment; nor is it monitored for OUR safety. The cell tower serves the cell phone company -- not the residents, who have made it clear to AT & T that they do NOT want the cell tower. All the laws favor the large, corporate cell company; and sell the property owners down the river.

Adele Carter
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here