



Fwd: Council File No. 09-2645

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:41 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Marica De La Lastra** <marica.delalastra@dreamworks.com>

Date: Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:36 PM

Subject: Council File No. 09-2645

To: councilmember.reyes@lacity.org, councilmember.english@lacity.org, ccouncilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, paul.kortez@lacity.org, bill.rosendahl@lacity.org, councilmember.labonge@lacity.org, councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.perry@lacity.org, councilmember.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.garcetti@lacity.org, councilmember.alarcon@lacity.org, councilmember.cardenas@lacity.org, councilmember.zine@lacity.org, councilmember.parks@lacity.org, june.lagmay@lacity.org

Honorable Councilmembers:

I support the Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council and communities across the City of Los Angeles pertaining to amendments to the City's Above Ground Facilities (AGF) Ordinance, Item #22 on the City Council Agenda for September 11, 2012, specifically:

-- support the proposed amendments to the AGF Ordinance as set forth in the reports to the Council from the Public Works and PLUM committees;

-- request additional, reasonable amendments to the AGF Ordinance including:

- Elimination of the utility pole exemption
- Expansion of the notice provisions
- Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions
- Imposition of permit duration limits

-- request enactment of an Interim Control Ordinance (ICO) that would impose a temporary moratorium for a specific period of time on applications for permits and installation of wireless facilities in the public right of way while the Ordinance amendments are being drafted.

I request that this letter be filed in Council File No. 09-2645.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marica de la Lastra



Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Nahtahna Cabanes** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 8:16 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

A cell tower went up 200 feet from my bedroom window. I was never notified. T-Mobile never asked my permission to radiate my home.

Nahtahna Cabanes
Sherman Oaks, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cindy Cleghorn** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 8:22 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),
Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

AGFs are huge cell towers that emit constant noise. They are aesthetically ugly, placed anywhere in front of a residence. Surrounding neighbors and public should have a voice in the placement of these towers in the public right of way.

Cindy Cleghorn
Tujunga, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sheri Weitz** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 8:48 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz

Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Sheri Weitz
Westchester, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:22 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **betty murphy** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 9:54 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned

neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

betty murphy
long beach, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:23 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **al landry** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:03 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the

community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

al landry
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:23 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Monika Cozlin** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:10 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Monika Cozlin
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dominique Ridley** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:26 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)
Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Dominique Ridley
Manhattan Beach, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:24 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Katie Rosenfeld** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:51 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar

Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Katie Rosenfeld
Santa Monica, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:25 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **nancy gilchrist** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:03 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific

Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

there ALREADY is a T Mobile Cell tower in our lovely neighborhood, and I have to walk by there EVERYDAY!!! get it out!

nancy gilchrist
sherman oaks, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:25 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robert Wolterstorff** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:04 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),
Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Phone companies should not have the final approval.

Robert Wolterstorff
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:26 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Angela Flynn** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:15 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry

Phone companies should not have the final approval.

Robert Wolterstorff
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:38 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Karen Dawn** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 12:14 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Karen Dawn
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:38 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Arielle Bernstein** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 12:35 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated

future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.
Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),
Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Arielle Bernstein
Playa Del Rey, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:38 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Nicole Prager** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 12:49 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl

Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Nicole Prager
pacific palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To

respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:38 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stephanie Kim-West** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 1:12 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Kim-West
South Pasadena, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:39 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **sara klenoff** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 1:30 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting

on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President
Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore
Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President
Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore
Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon
Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino
Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas
Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander
Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall

200 North Spring Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and

alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

sara klenoff
pacific palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:39 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jen Carter** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 1:42 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Please protect our children.

Jen Carter
Santa Monica, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:39 PM

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **larry sadler** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 2:29 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

I have a child...

Larry Sadler
Sunland, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:39 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Nikki Young** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 2:47 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian

Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Nikki Young
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:40 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **PAULA EDWARDS** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 3:01 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be

detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

PAULA EDWARDS
pp, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:40 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Andrew Whitelaw** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 3:16 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall

200 North Spring Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Andrew Whitelaw
Venice, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:40 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tom Nieman** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 4:41 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke (Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

So we don't find out in 20yrs that cell towers are the cigarettes of the 21st century.

Tom Nieman
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Angela Flynn
Aptos, California

petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:26 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **catherine eaton** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:20 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

catherine eaton
pacific palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:27 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **beth rosenblatt** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:23 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President
Pro Tempore
Hon. Richard Alarcon
Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino
Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas
Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander
Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

this is critical for all of us because one may go up 3 houses down from where i live!!

beth rosenblatt
pacific palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:27 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **sarah murdoch** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:26 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

sarah murdoch
pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:27 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gaye Nelson** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:29 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning

Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Gaye Nelson
Sherman Oaks, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Loren Brauner** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:32 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz

Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall

200 North Spring Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

There are plenty of safe places to put towers but lots of research that this kind of radiation even though its non ionizing is very dangerous in continuous or even modest doses. It is not compatible with residential zoning or schools.

Loren Brauner
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **christiane albertson** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:35 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

christiane albertson
valencia, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Keith Holyoak** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:57 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC

requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

My 2 young children play in the park at this location almost every day.

Keith Holyoak
Swarthmore Ave, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christy Desai** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 12:10 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Christy Desai
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:29 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **laura kalb** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 12:12 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

laura kalb
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 2:35 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robert Wolterstorff** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:04 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,