



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Tue, Sep 11, 2012 at 11:57 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mary Johansson** <mail@change.org>
Date: Tue, Sep 11, 2012 at 11:53 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption

Expansion of the notice provisions

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions

Imposition of permit duration limits

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Health and safety concerns for my children and my family

Mary Johansson
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wireless-telecommunications-facilities>. To respond, click [here](#)



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Tue, Sep 11, 2012 at 12:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ashok Mukhey** <mail@change.org>
Date: Tue, Sep 11, 2012 at 12:15 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption

Expansion of the notice provisions

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions

Imposition of permit duration limits

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Cell tower regulation is sorely needed. An L.A. city ordinance is needed to ban cell towers near (within 1500 feet) of schools, playgrounds, parks, hospitals and nursing homes. The health effects of long-term exposure to radiation from cell towers (i.e., non-thermal effects) needs further study since the FCC regulations do not take non-thermal effects into account. Children, the sick and the elderly are the most vulnerable. Cell phone companies should be made to sustain the burden of proof that cell towers are safe before placing them near places where children or the elderly spend significant time. All effected persons (including students and their parents) should be given advance notice of a proposed cell tower, not just the land owner and adjacent properties.

Ashok Mukhey
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wireless-telecommunications-facilities>. To respond, click [here](#)



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Tue, Sep 11, 2012 at 12:32 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Alexandra Leviloff** <mail@change.org>
Date: Tue, Sep 11, 2012 at 12:30 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption

Expansion of the notice provisions

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions

Imposition of permit duration limits

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Leviloff
Santa Monica, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wireless-telecommunications-facilities>. To respond, click [here](#)

From: **Mariam Astani** <mail@change.org>
Date: Tue, Sep 11, 2012 at 1:17 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption
Expansion of the notice provisions
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions
Imposition of permit duration limits
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

I am a RESIDENT with 2 children going to schools where they had initially planned tower sites.

Mariam Astani
Los Angeles, California