

**From:** John Soneff [mailto:[mail@change.org](mailto:mail@change.org)]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 16, 2012 01:26 PM

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

-----  
Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 ( on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012 )we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke( Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti  
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar  
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz  
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian  
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks  
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry  
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl  
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council  
City Hall  
200 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,  
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke( Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:  
Elimination of the utility pole exemption  
Expansion of the notice provisions  
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions  
Imposition of permit duration limits  
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).  
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.  
Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

John Soneff  
Pacific Palisades, California

---