From: **Rabbi Morley Feinstein**<<u>RabbiFeinstein@unisyn.org</u>> Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:18 AM Subject: Moratorium on Cell Towers To: <u>bill.rosendahl@lacity.org</u>, june.lagmay@lacity.org, Joaquin Macias <joaquin.macias@lacity.org>

My dear Councilperson and honored staff members: I urge a moratorium on the placement of cell phone towers until the new City of Los Angeles ordinance is enacted. Thank you. And Bill: feel better! We're praying here for your good health!

And Bill: feel better! We're praying here for your good health! M



Rabbi Morley T. Feinstein University Synagogue <u>UniSyn.org</u> | <u>Facebook.com/UniSynagogue</u> | <u>@UniSynagogue</u> P: <u>310-472-1255</u> | F: <u>310.476.3237</u> 11960 Sunset Boulevard | Los Angeles, CA 90049 From: **Steele Davidoff** <<u>steele.davidoff@gmail.com</u>> Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 10:57 AM Subject: CF 09-2645 To: june.lagmay@lacity.org Cc: billrosendahl@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Wesson:

In regards to the City Attorney Final Report on Placement of Cell Towers and Related Equipment, I wanted to voice my concerns to you when considering your vote:

1. The advance notice requirement should be extended so that the surrounding public has a chance to react, should they choose to do so.

2. The aesthetic provisions should be greatly enhanced to insure that any construction is in concert with the neighborhood's ambiance.

3. The utility pole exemption should be <u>eliminated</u>.

4. Permit duration limits should be imposed, limits that require expeditious action.

Thank you for your consideration of my concerns.

Very truly yours,

Steele Davidoff 1001 Kenfield Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90049

## From: **Amy Budden** <<u>mail@change.org</u>> Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 12:03 PM Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2 To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

-----

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-on the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012 we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke( Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council City Hall 200 North Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke( Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public

park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following: Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!) Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants) Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!) Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none) Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities). Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility. Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for), Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance), Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same). Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being draftedRequirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims. RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Amy Budden Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <a href="http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-">http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-</a>

sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11. To respond, click here

From: Eric Garen [mailto:eric.garen@verizon.net]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 12:38 PM
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
Cc: bil.rosendahl@lacity.org <bil.rosendahl@lacity.org>
Subject: For Councilmember Wesson Re CF 09-2645 >> A different viewpoint than many emails you'll
get on this

Hello Councilmembers Wesson and Rosendahl,

I received a notice from the Brentwood Community Council broadly describing this ordinance concerning cell towers, and urging recipients to contact you to urge the passage of the ordinance and to impose a moratorium until it is enacted.

## I have a different viewpoint.

I have absolutely <u>no</u> concern about cell towers in my Brentwood neighborhood. I fail to see any difference between them and all the utility poles that line the streets in our neighborhood.

I DO HAVE A CONCERN about the rotten cell phone reception in this area.

I therefore want to <u>ENCOURAGE the installation of MORE cell sites</u> (including smaller but much needed localized sites) in order to improve reception throughout our neighborhood.

Not knowing anything about the proposed ordinance, I cannot comment on whether it will or won't hinder the installation of more cell sites. But I urge you NOT to impose a moratorium on the installation of sites prior to its passage.

And... If the ordinance will hinder the installation of cell sites, then I would be opposed to its passage.

Sincerely,

Eric Garen 140 N. Bristol Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90049

## From: **Amy Budden** <<u>mail@change.org</u>> Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 2:34 PM Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2 To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

-----

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012 )we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke( Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council City Hall 200 North Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke( Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public

park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following: Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!) Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants) Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!) Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none) Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities). Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility. Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for), Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance), Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same). Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being draftedRequirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims. RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Amy Budden Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <u>http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-</u>

sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11. To respond, click here

From: Sheri A Saperstein [mailto:sherisaperstein@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, September 07, 2012 12:18 PM
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
Cc: Bill.Rosendahl@lacity.org <Bill.Rosendahl@lacity.org>
Subject: Re: CF 09-2645 City Attorney Final Report on Placement of Cell Towers and Related
Equipment

Dear Councilmember Wesson,

I urge City Council to swiftly enact the City Attorney's recommended "Above Ground Facility Ordinance," and also to place a temporary moratorium on all cell phone tower-related placement activity until the new ordinance is in effect.

Specifically and in addition, I urge:

- \* expansion of the notice requirement
- \* enhancement of the aesthetic provisions
- \* elimination of the utility pole exemption
- \* Imposition of permit duration limits

Although my wording is boilerplate, please understand that this issue of great importance to me. I have considered each word, and it is important to me that each action mentioned be taken.

Our civic landscape is too important a shared resource to be damaged by unnecessarily irresponsible commercial activity. I use a cell phone, and do want cell phone towers to exist. But they don't need to degrade our landscape.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Sheri A. Saperstein 500 So. Barrington Ave., #6 Los Angeles, CA 90049