

September 6, 2012

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground Facilities" Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2 ("AGF Ordinance") – City Council Agenda 9/11/12

Dear Council Members,

We write as private citizens, recently returned to Los Angeles after an absence of more than 50 years, during which we were living and teaching in Oregon. We purchased a house near the end of 2011 and moved in early this year.

Between those dates, we were horrified to discover that AT&T planned a large (industrial-size might be a better description) cell installation in the public right of way at our address. We only discovered this when neighbors contacted us; we never were notified directly by AT&T until the review period had almost elapsed. That is one reason we strongly support the ordinance revision you are considering.

The size of the proposed AT&T installation provides another compelling reason. A large set of pole-mounted antennae, plus a 20'x20'x17' cooling chamber will sandwich the front end of our lot, pose a serious threat to two beautiful trees now located there, and radically alter the look and feel of our house from the inside and outside. All this suggests that an expanded definition of 'aesthetic considerations' would allow us to address the real impact of such a mega-installation in a semi-rural residential neighborhood. For that matter, we would like to be able to address the aesthetics of the new equipment proposed for the utility pole itself, currently excluded from council review or citizen complaint.

There are, we must add, numerous other issues raised by the AT&T proposal; we plan to enumerate them in our appeal. For now, however, we think it best to focus on those most closely related to the amendments you are considering. We also give the strongest possible support to the amendments proposed for your consideration by the Pacific Palisades Community Council, with special emphasis on their recommendation that all applicants be required to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certificate of compliance with FCC regulations. We have requested precisely such information from AT&T concerning the installation proposed at our address; to date, we have received no response.

The AT&T project at our address is currently 'on hold.' So, therefore, is our appeal. Nevertheless, we are concerned that a reactivated project could be reviewed under the current ordinance, even if the Council is in the process of revising it. Therefore we request that the project at our address, and any others that might be affected by a revision in the ordinance, be included in a temporary moratorium (ICO) on any AGF proposals before the Council while the new ordinance is being drafted and considered.

We hope to be able to present our concerns to the Council at your 9/11 meeting and voice in person our strong support for revision of the current ordinance.

Thank you for considering this letter.

Yours sincerely,

Richard L. Stein
Carole A. Stein

373 N. Kenter Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90049
rstein@uoregon.edu
424-208-3538

Dear Councilman Wesson:

In regards to the City Attorney Final Report on Placement of Cell Towers and Related Equipment, I wanted to voice my concerns to you when considering your vote:

1. The advance notice requirement should be extended so that the surrounding public has a chance to react, should they choose to do so.
2. The aesthetic provisions should be greatly enhanced to insure that any construction is in concert with the neighborhood's ambiance.
3. The utility pole exemption should be eliminated.
4. Permit duration limits should be imposed, limits that require expeditious action.

Thank you for your consideration of my concerns.

Very truly yours,

Steele Davidoff
1001 Kenfield Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90049



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Moratorium on Cell Towers

1 message

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Rabbi Morley Feinstein** <RabbiFeinstein@unisyn.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 9:18 AM
Subject: Moratorium on Cell Towers
To: bill.rosendaht@lacity.org, june.lagmay@lacity.org, Joaquin Macias <joaquin.macias@lacity.org>

My dear Councilperson and honored staff members:

I urge a moratorium on the placement of cell phone towers until the new
City of Los Angeles ordinance is enacted.

Thank you.

And Bill: feel better! We're praying here for your good health!
M



Rabbi Morley T. Feinstein

University Synagogue

UniSyn.org | Facebook.com/UniSynagogue | @UniSynagogue

P: 310-472-1255 | F: 310.476.3237

11960 Sunset Boulevard | Los Angeles, CA 90049

RE: Council File No. 09-2645

09/10/12

Honorable Councilmembers:

We support the Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council and communities across the City of Los Angeles pertaining to amendments to the City's Above Ground Facilities (AGF) Ordinance, Item #22 on the City Council Agenda for September 11, 2012, specifically:

We support the proposed amendments to the AGF Ordinance as set forth in the reports to the Council from the Public Works and PLUM committees;

We request additional, reasonable amendments to the AGF Ordinance including:


- Elimination of the utility pole exemption
- Expansion of the notice provisions
- Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions
- Imposition of permit duration limits

We request enactment of an Interim Control Ordinance (ICO) that would impose a temporary moratorium for a specific period of time on applications for permits and installation of wireless facilities in the public right of way while the Ordinance amendments are being drafted.

I request that this letter be filed in Council File No. 09-2645.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Gabriele Andrade and David Andrade

Handwritten signatures of Gabriele Andrade and David Andrade. The signature of Gabriele Andrade is on the left, and the signature of David Andrade is on the right, overlapping the first one.

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 6:20 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.
Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),
Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Concern re sensitive patients

Dr. Jim Blechman, MD
Santa Monica, California

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)
Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is

only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Debbie Sachs
Pacific Palisades, California

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)
Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A.

Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Jane Hepburn
Pacific Palisades, California

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)
Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is

only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Tom Costain
Pacific Palisades, California

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)
Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is

only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Shanti Kanter
Los Angeles, California

From: **Mahi Lashgari** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 5:07 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIGI)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across

the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for).

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

As I am very concerned about the health and welfare of my community, I do not wish to have any cell towers in close proximity of homes, schools, playgrounds, grocery stores etc.

Mahi Lashgari
Culver City, California

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **david tengbom** <mail@change.org>

Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 4:02 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

david tengbom
113, California

From: **tanya calderon** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 3:38 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.laqmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across

the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

tanya calderon
studio city, California

From: **Tiiu Leek Jacobson** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 2:52 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across

the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Tiiu Leek Jacobson
Los Angeles, California

From: **the current guidelines have not kept up with techn graham** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 2:39 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across

the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

the current guidelines have not kept up with techn graham
Pacific Palisades, California

From: **Amy Budden** <mail@change.org>
Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 2:34 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)
Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across

the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Amy Budden
Pacific Palisades, California

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **meg elliott** <mail@change.org>

Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 2:35 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance; for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

meg elliott
pacific palisades, California

----- Forwarded message -----

- Forwarded message -----

From: **Rosalind Nieman** <mail@change.org>

Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 2:36 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall

200 North Spring Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on

private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Rosalind Nieman
Pacific Palisades, California

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Amy Budden** <mail@change.org>

Date: Fri, Sep 7, 2012 at 12:03 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-on the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012 we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:
Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for).

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Amy Budden
Pacific Palisades, California

Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:32 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dawn Houston** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 5:13 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Dawn Houston
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:32 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Laurie Linvill** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 5:44 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall

200 North Spring Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential

zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Laurie Linvill
Sherman Oaks, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:32 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robert Heinz** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 5:45 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Robert Heinz
Malibu, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:33 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Carol Greco** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 6:08 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscalino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Concerns re EMF exposure

Carol Greco
Santa Monica, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:33 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tom Danco** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 6:27 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President
Pro Tempore
Hon. Paul Kregorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon
Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino
Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas
Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander
Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke (Application number: 5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for).

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Do not want cellular towers in our neighborhood. In the Highlands in the Palisades

Tom Danco
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:33 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **david taft** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 7:01 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

david taft

pac pal, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:33 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gretchen Gesell** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 7:04 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated

future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.
Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),
Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Gesell
Valley Village, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:34 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Caryn Greenhut** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 7:21 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl

Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Caryn Greenhut
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To

respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:34 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Zoe Bathie** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 7:47 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Zoe Bathie
Playa Del Rey, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:34 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lauren Sullivan** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 8:06 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as

needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Lauren Sullivan
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:35 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **emily dorsett** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 9:08 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

emily dorsett
los angeles , California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:35 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stanley Steelmon** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:06 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to

the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

This is important to me because they put up a cell tower on the curb of my neighbors property while he was away on vacation. No notification to him, nor the neighborhood. I resent the fact that a cell phone company has no restrictions or requirements. Two schools are within 4 blocks of us. This would never happen in Europe! They have requirements as they realize the toxicity of these towers.

Stanley Steelmon
Sherman Oaks, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:35 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Shannon Gleason** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:12 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Shannon Gleason
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click [here](#)

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:35 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ninel Portnaya** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:47 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Ninel Portnaya
Sherman Oaks, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:36 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **James Min** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 10:49 PM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice – a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC

requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

James Min
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-to-amend-agf-ordinance-lamc-sec-63-03-2-council-meeting-9-11>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:36 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **maria kolligian** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 11:25 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council

City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

maria kolligian
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wtf-s>. To respond, click [here](#)

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:36 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Maria Fisk** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sun, Sep 9, 2012 at 6:25 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the

street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Maria Fisk
Granada Hills, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wt1-s>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:36 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Trevor Neilson** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sun, Sep 9, 2012 at 7:09 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunication's facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for).

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Trevor Neilson
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wti-s>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:36 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Amanda Paul** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sun, Sep 9, 2012 at 8:46 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Because I have friends who live there and I don't want their children to be affected.

Amanda Paul
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wtf-s>. To respond, click [here](#)

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:37 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Barbara Kohn** <mail@change.org>

Date: Sun, Sep 9, 2012 at 9:12 AM

Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice – a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC

requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Barbara Kohn
, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wtf-s>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:37 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Leigh Spencer** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sun, Sep 9, 2012 at 9:13 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall

200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Leigh Spencer
Los Angeles, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wtf-s>. To respond, click here



Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Fwd: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

44 messages

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Maggie Neilson** <mail@change.org>
Date: Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 11:35 AM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,
Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption
Expansion of the notice provisions
Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions
Imposition of permit duration limits
Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.
Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones
Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements
Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).
Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations while the Ordinance is being drafted
Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Maggie Neilson
Pacific Palisades, California

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <http://www.change.org/petitions/los-angeles-city-council-vote-for-regulation-of-wireless-telecommunications-facilities>. To respond, click here

June Lagmay <june.lagmay@lacity.org>
To: Brian Walters <brian.walters@lacity.org>

Mon, Sep 10, 2012 at 12:32 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sandy Bardas** <mail@change.org>
Date: Sat, Sep 8, 2012 at 4:44 PM
Subject: Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance CF09-2645/AGF, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings,

I just signed the following petition addressed to: Los Angeles City Council.

Vote to Amend AGF Ordinance, LAMC Sec. 63.03.2

Without amendments to the AGF ordinance CF09-2645 (on the agenda @ the Los Angeles City Council meeting on 9/11/2012)we may not be able to stop AT&T from putting a 45 foot tower cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number: 5-12-146.)

Sept. 7, 2012.

Hon. Herb J. Wesson, Jr., President Hon. Eric Garcetti
Hon. Ed P. Reyes, President Pro Tempore Hon. Jose Huizar
Hon. Tom LaBonge, Assistant President Hon. Paul Koretz
Pro Tempore Hon. Paul Krekorian
Hon. Richard Alarcon Hon. Bernard C. Parks
Hon. Joe Buscaino Hon. Jan Perry
Hon. Jose Cardenas Hon. Bill Rosendahl
Hon. Mitchell Englander Hon. Dennis P. Zine

Los Angeles City Council
City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Council File No. 09-2645/Proposed Amendments to "Above Ground

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

Please vote to amend the AGF ordinance so that we can stop AT&T from putting a cell phone tower on one of our most beautiful bluffs.

AT&T has applied to the California Coastal Commission to put a 45 foot tower at 302 Mt. Holyoke(Application number:5-12-146.) Please vote to amend the regulations so that we can keep the cell tower out of the park where many of our children play and all of us enjoy a spectacular view of the Pacific Ocean

Placing an industrial commercial wireless telecommunications facility at: 302 Mount Holyoke Ave., Pacific Palisades is completely incompatible with the use and purpose of the family homes in our R1 zoned neighborhood. Further, this cell tower is right beside a park where children play. It would create an aesthetic blight since it would be located next to Palisades Park (a public park) and in a coastal zone. It would be detrimental to the health of our families, the value of our property, the reputation of our local public schools, and the character of our neighborhoods.

Please vote to provide regulation for the following:

Elimination of the utility pole exemption (BIG!)

Expansion of the notice provisions (to be determined, but currently limited to "adjoining/abutting/across the street" property owners/occupants)

Enhancement of the aesthetic provisions (to be determined, but certainly any enhancement would be an improvement since there are virtually no such provisions related to towers in the current ordinance!)

Imposition of permit duration limits (again to be determined; currently there are none)

Expansion of the right of appeal to "all aggrieved persons" (i.e., consistent with appeal rights under L.A. Zoning Code for CUPs/private property installations; currently, appeal of AGF permits in the PROW is only afforded to the limited number of owners/occupants who received notice -- a highly restrictive provision which stands alone among California cities).

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the area or community served by the proposed facility.

Provision for public comment and/or a hearing prior to permit issuance, for all proposed installations in residential zones (currently no such hearings are provided for),

Requirement for the applicant to provide an RF emissions analysis and/or a certification of compliance with FCC requirements (again, no such provision in current ordinance),

Requirement for the applicant to map all existing wireless facilities and to project anticipated future needs in the community served by the proposed facility (same).

Provide a temporary moratorium on PROW installations (not on private property installations) while the Ordinance is being drafted

Requirement for the applicant to bear the expense of an independent expert to assist the City, as needed, with analysis of technical issues such as coverage gap claims, RF emissions and alternative location feasibility.

Sincerely,

Sandy Bardas