LOS NGELES POLICE COMN SSION

BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS

RICHARD DROOYAN PRESIDENT

JOHN W. MACK VICE PRESIDENT

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN ROBERT M. SALTZMAN DEBRA WONG YANG

MARIA SILVA COMMISSION EXECUTIVE ASSITANT I



RICHARD M. TEFANK

ALEXANDER A. BUSTAMANTE INSPECTOR GENERAL

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
POLICE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
100 WEST FIRST STREET, SUITE 134
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-4112

(213) 236-1400 PHONE (213) 236-1410 FAX (213) 236-1440 TDD

BPC #12-0296

July 10, 2012

The Honorable City Council City of Los Angeles c/o City Clerk's Office

Dear Honorable Members:

RE: DNA MONTHLY REPORT FOR MAY 2012

At the regular meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners held Tuesday, July 10, 2012, the Board APPROVED the Department's report relative to the above matter.

This matter is being forwarded to you for approval.

Respectfully,

BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS

Maria Silva

MARIA SILVA

Commission Executive Assistant

Attachment

c: Chief of Police

BAC#12-0296

INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

RECEIVED

JUL 03 2012

July 5, 2012 8.6 POLICE COMMISSION

TO:

Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

FROM:

Chief of Police

RICHARD M. TEPANK

REVIEWED

DATE

SUBJECT:

DNA MONTHLY REPORT FOR MAY 2012

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

- 1. That the Board of Police Commissioners (Board) REVIEW and APPROVE this report.
- 2. That the Board TRANSMIT the attached DNA Monthly Report for May 2012, and the Progress Report on the City Controller's Office audit recommendations, to the Los Angeles City Council.

DISCUSSION

At the request of the Los Angeles City Council and the Public Safety Committee, this is the DNA Monthly Report for May 2012, and a progress report on the City Controller's Audit recommendations as it relates to the reduction of the backlog of the DNA Evidence Kits.

Should you have any questions, please contact Captain William P. Hayes, Commanding Officer, Robbery-Homicide Division, at (213) 486-6850.

Respectfully,

CHARLIE BECK Chief of Police

Attachments

BOARD OF
POLICE COMMISSIONERS
Approved (1) 1/2 1/2 2/2

Secretary-

INTRADEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

June 21, 2012 8.6

TO:

Chief of Police

FROM:

Chief of Detectives

SUBJECT:

DNA MONTHLY REPORT FOR MAY 2012

At the request of the Los Angeles City Council and the Public Safety Committee this is the DNA Monthly Report for May 2012, as it relates to the reduction of the backlog of the DNA Evidence Kits. The report will be posted and updated on a monthly basis on the Department's website.

Along with the monthly report is a progress report on the City Controller's Office audit recommendations as it relates to the backlog of the DNA Evidence Kits.

Should you have any questions, please contact Captain William P. Hayes, Commanding Officer, Robbery-Homicide Division, at (213) 486-6850.

KIRK J. ALBANESE, Deputy Chief

Chief of Detectives

Attachments

Los Angeles Police Department

Sexual Assault Evidence Kit (SAEK) Progress Report: May 31, 2012

Category	2011 YTD	2011 May	2012 YTD	2012 May
SAEK RECEIVED				
Total SAEK, Analysis In-Process or Pending a Request for Serology/DNA Analysis (RSDA)	N/A	635	N/A	*454
Total SAEK, Booked by LAPD	524	105	555	109
PENDING ANALYSIS REQUEST				
Total SAEK-RSDA Pending	2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		30	30
Total SAEK-RSDA Pending, ≥ 30 Days from Booking			15	3
ANALYSIS IN-PROCESS				
Total SAEK-Analysis Pending Completion – ALL			N/A	*424
Analysis Pending Completion – Internal	1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		N/A	*247
Analysis Pending Completion – Outsource	N/A	79	N/A	*177
Internal Analysis Initiated – Internal			695	97
Analysis Contracted Out – Outsource	551	79	337	117
				L
•	oraz/IDNIA A			
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo	gy/DNA A		SDA)	
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days	gy/DNA A		SDA) N/A	46
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days	gy/DNA A		SDA) N/A N/A	46
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days	gy/DNA A		SDA) N/A N/A N/A	46 9 0
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days	gy/DNA A		SDA) N/A N/A	46
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days	gy/DNA A		SDA) N/A N/A N/A	46 9 0
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days	gy/DNA A		SDA) N/A N/A N/A	46 9 0
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE		nalysis (R	SDA) N/A N/A N/A N/A	46 9 0 0
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE Total SAEK Analysis Completed - ALL	**756	nalysis (R	SDA) N/A N/A N/A N/A	46 9 0 0
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE Total SAEK Analysis Completed - ALL SAEK Analysis Complete - Internal	**756 21	nalysis (R	SDA) N/A N/A N/A N/A	46 9 0 0 0 118 39
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE Total SAEK Analysis Completed - ALL SAEK Analysis Complete - Internal SAEK Analysis Complete - Outsource SAEK Unsuitable for Testing (Cat 4, Suspect Kit, Etc.)	**756 21 **673	**85 1 **84	SDA) N/A N/A	46 9 0 0 0 118 39 79
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE Total SAEK Analysis Completed - ALL SAEK Analysis Complete - Internal SAEK Analysis Complete - Outsource SAEK Unsuitable for Testing (Cat 4, Suspect Kit, Etc.) SAEK TESTING RESULTS	**756 21 **673	**85 1 **84	SDA) N/A N/A	46 9 0 0 0 118 39 79
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE Total SAEK Analysis Completed - ALL SAEK Analysis Complete - Internal SAEK Analysis Complete - Outsource	**756 21 **673 113	**85 1 **84	SDA) N/A N/A	46 9 0 0 118 39 79 18
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE Total SAEK Analysis Completed - ALL SAEK Analysis Complete - Internal SAEK Analysis Complete - Outsource SAEK Unsuitable for Testing (Cat 4, Suspect Kit, Etc.) SAEK TESTING RESULTS	**756 21 **673 113	**85 1 **84	SDA) N/A N/A	46 9 0 0 118 39 79 18
Total No. of Days SAEK in Analysis since Request for Serolo Total Backlog: ≥ 90 Days ≥ 120 Days ≥ 150 Days ≥ 180 Days ANALYSIS COMPLETE Total SAEK Analysis Completed - ALL SAEK Analysis Complete - Internal SAEK Analysis Complete - Outsource SAEK Unsuitable for Testing (Cat 4, Suspect Kit, Etc.) SAEK TESTING RESULTS DNA Profiles Uploaded to CODIS	**756 21 **673 113	**85 1 **84 18	SDA) N/A N/A	46 9 0 0 118 39 79 18

Notes:

Shaded areas were not tabulated, as data was not available from previous year.

^{*} Includes additional 228 "retest" SAEKs, including 12 SAEKs submitted in May.

^{**} SAEK in 2011were tabulated as complete, based on a sub-contractor analysis report.

Overview of May 2012 Activities June 19, 2012

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) Sexual Assault Evidence Kits (SAEK) Progress Report provides significant information about the status of the SAEK backlog elimination project. The Serology/DNA unit (SDU) is responsible for processing and conducting analysis for biological evidence unrelated to sexual assaults, as well as additional evidence related to sexual assaults. This report (formatted in the new version, effective January 2012) is a reflection of the productivity associated with the SAEKs.

The May 2012 Progress Report shows a total of 454 SAEKs in progress or pending a request. Of these 454 SAEKs, 30 are pending submission of a request to RHD (3 of these were booked over 30 days ago). The number of kits where testing has not yet been completed is 424, which includes all cases in progress whether done in-house or sent out. It does not include those that have been requested but are not yet assigned. The SDU workflow is such that at any given moment in time, some accumulated cases are not yet assigned, but previous assigned work is continually in progress.

The Department previously completed a review of all cases that were screened but not DNA typed. Although no DNA typing was performed, these cases were deemed "complete" by the procedural standards in place through late 2008. As a result of the review, 209 cases had a total of 229 SAEKs, 38 SAEKs were handled as priority due to involving a stranger or having statute of limitation issues. These SAEKs were submitted in March 2012 (included in March 2012 report). Additionally, 178 SAEKs showed potential evidentiary value and were submitted for analysis in April 2012 (included in April 2012 report). This month, the last thirteen cases of the 229 SAEKs were reviewed and 12 SAEKs were submitted for testing (included in the May 2012 report) with one case (one SAEK) deemed unfounded. All of the identified re-test cases of evidentiary value have been submitted for testing under current analysis request procedures.

The SDU is continuing to decrease the time required to complete casework (including the mandatory technical and administrative reviews) without sacrificing quality. Of the 424 cases in progress, there are 46 cases not complete over 90 days from the date of request, and only 9 of these are over 120 days. There are no incomplete cases at or beyond 150 days from the date of request. There were an additional 109 new SAEK's collected in May 2012.

The Department has continued its efforts on the development of a comprehensive master database system to track the number and status of SAEKs booked into LAPD custody. A Request for Proposal (RFP) has been written for the development of a new Evidence and Property Information Management System (EPIMS). The RFP has been submitted to the City Attorney's Office for review. With over 1400 technical requirements, the City Attorney's Office will take a minimum of 30 days to complete their review. Upon completion of the review and the Police Commission's approval, the RFP can be released. The Department anticipates that the proposal process, vendor selection and contract negotiations will take up to six months. From this point, a project this complex is estimated to take up to 12 months for implementation.

Report on the Controller's Office, 2nd FOLLOW-UP AUDIT Recommendations May 31, 2012

BACKGROUND

On November 10, 2011, the Office of the Controller issued the 2nd Follow-Up Audit of the Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Grant Program. The Audit identified several findings and recommendations. The Los Angeles Police Department (Department) was asked to prepare a response and include information regarding the steps the Department has taken or intends to take to address the recommendations and to clarify information.

The following numbered items have been completed from the Controller's Office 2nd Follow Up Audit recommendations.

Recommendation No. 1

Ensure that any sexual assault evidence kits that will be analyzed or that require a technical review are included in the SAEK Progress Reports.

Recommendation No. 3

Ensure reconciling differences between Departmental records are properly accounted for and explained in the SAEK Progress Report in order to accurately reflect the number and processing status of SAEKs.

Recommendation No. 4

Review those DNA cases where a request for testing is pending and determine the proper disposition.

Recommendation No. 5

Formalize follow-up procedures to ensure DNA testing requests are made within 30 days of the date of the offense.

Recommendation No. 6

Ensure the protocol for requesting DNA testing is followed in order to accurately track the status of rape kits.

Recommendation No. 7

The LAPD should implement protocols to review the unfounded cases received subsequent to December 8, 2008, to ensure that any cases where DNA analysis should be performed are identified.

Recommendation No. 8

The LAPD should verify the data queries used to compile the SAEK Progress Reports to minimize any reporting differences.

Report on the Controller's Office, 2nd FOLLOW-UP AUDIT Recommendations May 31, 2012

Recommendation No. 9

The LAPD should re-assess its resource needs (staffing and funding) to ensure the appropriate level of resources is available and maintained to prevent any future rape kit backlogs from occurring.

Recommendation No. 10

Communicate to Policymakers any change to originally estimated funding levels that were designated for a specific purpose.

The following numbered items remain and are an ongoing effort to reconcile from the Controller's Office 2nd Follow-Up Audit recommendations.

Recommendation No. 2

Identify revisions that are necessary to various Departmental records (e.g., APIMS or SAEK Master List, etc.) to ensure the reported number and status of SAEKs is accurate.

Response:

The completion of this recommendation involves the development of a master database (Recommendation #11) and the ongoing development of the interim system Robbery-Homicide Division (RHD)/ Incident Tracking System (ITS).

The Department submitted a total of 228 SAEKs as re-tests and have tabulated the amount in the May 2012 monthly DNA report. This month, 12 additional SAEKs were submitted for re-test. The submittal of the re-tests will be processed under the current analysis standards and most recent DNA protocols. The analysis completion and progress of all SAEKs will be observed in the revised SAEK Monthly Report.

Recommendation No. 11

The LAPD should establish a formalized plan or timeline with interim milestones to track its progress in developing a comprehensive master database for sexual assault evidence kits.

Response:

A Request for Proposal (RFP) has been written for the development of a new Evidence and Property Information Management System (EPIMS). The RFP has been submitted to the City Attorney's Office for review. With over 1400 technical requirements, the City Attorney's Office will take a minimum of 30 days to complete their review. Upon completion of the review and the Police Commission's approval, the RFP can be released. The Department anticipates that the proposal process, vendor selection and contract negotiations will take up to six months. From this point, a project this complex is estimated to take up to 12 months for implementation.