

please file with city clerk in council file 10-0982 (Protest)

# PROTEST

-----Original Message-----

From: Sofia Speth <sofiagspeth@yahoo.com>

To: Mayor@lacity.org

Cc: Bill.Rosendahl@lacity.org; P.Koretz@lacity.org

Sent: Tue, Oct 5, 2010 1:38 am

Subject: Protest Against Proposed City Ordinance to Allow More Dogs per Household

It is extremely important that the proposed City Ordinance to allow more dogs per household (Council file 10-0982) **be tabled indefinitely** in order to consider the unintended and highly negative impacts to the City of Los Angeles.

This City Ordinance, if approved, will impact our urban environment in enormous and highly negative ways.

This City Ordinance, if approved, will impact our City budget by increasing the need for additional funds to administer it, which we certainly cannot afford.

Please think before you act. Study the negative environmental impact and increased costs to our City.

Thank you for considering my protest.

Sofia G. Speth  
4874 W. 2nd Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90036  
(323) 934-1901  
[sofiagspeth@yahoo.com](mailto:sofiagspeth@yahoo.com)

2010 OCT - 6 AM 10: 19  
RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
CITY CLERK  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ VVV  
DEPUTY

*pls*

PLEASE FILE WITH CITY CLERK IN COUNCIL FILE NO. 10-0982 - PROTEST

-----Original Message-----

From: Devin Galaudet <devin@intheknowtraveler.com>

To: amy93@aol.com

Sent: Tue, Oct 5, 2010 12:55 pm

Subject: Here is what I sent

**PROTEST**

Dear Mr. Koretz and Mr. Rosendahl,

It has come to my attention that there is a consideration of making it legal to allow an average family within city limits to have up to five dogs, raising it from three.

I would appreciate to know who might be helped by this increase? As it stands there are too many unwanted dogs and too many irresponsible dog owners to make sense making a change like this. My main concern is the safety issues associated with giving dog owners to bite off more than they can chew (pardon the pun). Managing three dogs is difficult. Managing five is a public nuisance and a problem waiting to happen. Five dogs are a pack and more dangerous in a group -- on a leash or not. They require too much supervision. A loose gate allows five into populated areas with children and no simple way of wrangling them. The choice is asking for trouble.

This does not even take into consideration the amount of dog owners who allow dogs to bark at all hours, do not pick up after their dogs or abandon them in the streets. As, I am sure you know, too many strays and over populated animal sanctuaries.

Simply put, the consideration is selfish and puts non-dog owners, tax-payers, and voters to carry the burden of having to deal with even more dogs in a major city.

In the end, five dogs in a crowded city is completely unnecessary. Of course, this one is easy, do not pass the ordinance.

Sincerely,  
Devin Galaudet  
Editor In The Know Traveler  
[devin@intheknowtraveler.com](mailto:devin@intheknowtraveler.com)

BY VM  
DEPUTY

CITY CLERK

2010 OCT -6 AM 10: 20

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

PLS

-----Original Message-----

From: Lenore Sachs <lenoresachs@sbcglobal.net>  
To: Amy Galaudet <amyg93@aol.com>  
Sent: Tue, Oct 5, 2010 2:56 pm  
Subject: Fw: 5 dogs per household legislation:

**PROTEST**

**Amy, I just sent this off to Paul Koretz. Hope it helps. I also intend to call his office on Robertson Blvd. Lenore**

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Lenore Sachs <lenoresachs@sbcglobal.net>  
**To:** Paul.Koretz@lacity.org  
**Sent:** Tue, October 5, 2010 12:54:30 PM  
**Subject:** 5 dogs per household legislation:

Dear Councilman Koretz, I am writing to you to express my opposition to the proposed legislation that would permit up to 5 dogs in households in the 5th District. Consider this scenario: Because there are all kinds of reasons your neighbor might use to justify either a constantly barking dog or one that messes up the easement in front of your home twice daily while it's being walked by its owner, before you can convince that owner to stop the barking and to clean up the daily mess, it takes determination, time and energy (yours), plus the intervention of the Animal Services Unit. Multiply that by 5 and you have a neighborhood disaster. The present limit of 3 dogs per household seems to be reasonable, but when you increase the number to 5, you cross the line into the realm of unreasonableness. One way for you to resolve this is to ask yourself if you would be agreeable about accepting this (the new rules allowing 5 dogs) if it were your neighbor keeping 5 dogs. Your honest answer to this question should be the one you use to cast a vote.

Respectfully,

Lenore Levine Sachs  
6628 West 6th Street  
Los Angeles, California 90048

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2010 OCT -6 AM 10:20  
CITY CLERK  
BY VM  
DEPUTY

pls