


# Quickspay and Consulting



W. Marvin Mackie, D.V.M.  
450 Arcadia Drive  
San Pedro, CA 90731  
Ph: (310) 547-4750  
Fx: (310) 547-5989  
[www.Quickspay.com](http://www.Quickspay.com)

October 11, 2010

Councilmember Janice Hahn  
Fifteenth District  
Los Angeles City Council  
200 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles CA 90012

Honorable Councilmember Hahn:

**RE: CF NO. 10-0982 – (PROTEST) OPPOSITION TO INCREASING DOG/CAT LIMITS IN LOS ANGELES**

As a veterinarian who owned two spay/neuter clinics serving the West Los Angeles and Harbor areas of Los Angeles and the animals of all City shelters, I must express my concern and sincere opposition to the effort to allow every resident/household in Los Angeles to own ten (10) animals—five dogs and/or five cats.

After more than 40 years in veterinary practice in this area, I am familiar with the many issues that arise for owners who attempt to maintain large numbers of animals in the average household—especially mixing canines and felines. Properly caring for pets is a major responsibility and expense which can easily become overwhelming, especially in our current economic milieu. Maintaining a large number of animals requires precautions and experience. The City's sanction of ten animals may cause less-experienced owners to believe this official permission automatically indicates this is a safe practice and would result in tragedies to both the animals and humans, especially children.

Because of my expertise and long experience in efforts to reduce pet overpopulation, I can assure the City Council that many pet owners are still not aware of the age at which spay/neuter should be performed in order to avoid unwanted litters and more homeless animals ending up in our overburdened shelters. The "oops" factor would be prevalent. Sterilization is often delayed because it is considered an "elective," especially when the cost is multiplied over such a large number of pets. Eighty-five percent of pet ownership comes from sources other than animal shelters or humane groups that, by law, must provide only sterilized pets.

I believe any increased animal limits should not be approved at this time. We have not yet had enough opportunity to evaluate the effects of the 2008 spay/neuter ordinance on owned animals. I urge you not to approve the risk of adding to our animal control problem at this time. The unintended negative consequences of increasing dog & cat limits are too numerous to list in this short plea.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "W M Mackie DVM". The signature is fluid and cursive, with "W M" at the top, followed by "Mackie" and "DVM" below it.

W. Marvin Mackie, DVM

cc: Eric Garcetti, Council President and all Council members  
John White, Deputy City Clerk (Office of the City Clerk)



Mr. & Mrs. Sam Praw  
1533 S. Beverly Dr.  
Los Angeles, CA 90035-3003

10/11/60

T. A. City Clerk  
John White  
100 No Spring St  
Los Angeles, Ca 90012

Re: Motion  
CF 10-0982

Dear Sir:

To increase the allowable limit on pets is a very wrong thing to propose.

As a resident I can't but be annoyed by the dog & cat fees on our lawn and pathway.

Most pet owners don't follow the leash law, or don't care to clean up their dog, and so many dogs, they "wo"!

As you're walking and you'll not believe the a 10-11-10f !

Furthermore, as a resident, so many people leave their pets unattended all day - so they whine and bark!

Is this fair! (to the pets and to the neighbors)  
"No" "No" NO"

Respectfully Sam Praw