



John White <john.white@lacity.org>

FW: Proposed New Limits for Dogs and Cats in the City of Los Angeles - Council File 10-0982

1 message

Jane Bulmer <JBulmer@newregency.com>
To: john.white@lacity.org

Wed, Dec 1, 2010 at 12:44 PM

Please place in Council file 10-0982.

From: Jane Bulmer
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2010 12:29 PM
To: 'councilmember.reyes@lacity.org'
Subject: Proposed New Limits for Dogs and Cats in the City of Los Angeles - Council File 10-0982

Dear Councilmember Reyes:

As a constituent, I am asking that you vote in favor of the proposed new limits for dogs and cats in the City of Los Angeles.

Increasing limits will allow more animals to be adopted from the shelter; will prevent as many animals from being surrendered and will generate additional licensing income for the City of Los Angeles.

The many places that have higher limits have not experienced negative impact as the results of such limits.

All animals deserve a chance to find a loving home, and if there are people willing to adopt multiple pets, there should be nothing that prohibits that.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Jane Bulmer

12/2/2010

City of Los Angeles Mail - FW: Propose...

14259 Califa St.

Van Nuys, CA 91401



John White <john.white@lacity.org>

Please vote in favor of new limits of cats and dogs that can be adopted by an individual.

1 message

DENIZ KUCUKKOYLU <denizkk@hotmail.com>

Wed, Dec 1, 2010 at 8:43 AM

To: councilmember.rosendahl@lacity.org, john.white@lacity.org, councilmember.reyes@lacity.org, councilmember.hahn@lacity.org, councilmember.garcetti@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.parks@lacity.org, councilmember.alarcon@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.perry@lacity.org, councilmember.carnedas@lacity.org

Dear Councilman,

This will encourage adoptions and save more animals and also ease the situation in shelters. Please increase the limit of cats and dogs that can be owned and support the file 10-0982.

Best,

Deniz Alpada



John White <john.white@lacity.org>

Support for File 10-0982

1 message

Kevin Krell <kevin@worldtrad.org>

Wed, Dec 1, 2010 at 4:23 AM

To: john.white@lacity.org

Please add this email to File 10-0982 as my support for raising the dog and cat limits to 5. Such more reasonable restrictions would assist in placement of animals from the shelters, including those surrendered due to foreclosures, or caretaker hardships (hospitalization, death).

Kevin Krell
10615 Bradbury Rd
Los Angeles, CA 90064



John White <john.white@lacity.org>

SUPPORT for Council File 10-0982

1 message

bobandladyjane <bobandladyjane@roadrunner.com>

Wed, Dec 1, 2010 at 3:18 AM

To: John.White@lacity.org

RE: SUPPORT for Council File 10-0982 (Number of Cats/Dogs Owned by Residents)

Honorable Council Members:

We are asking that you vote in favor of the proposed new limits for dogs and cats in the

City of Los Angeles (Council File 10-0982). Specifically, on November 25th our Neighborhood

Council overwhelmingly voted to support amending LAMC 53.00 to increase the limit to 5

indoor cats and 5 dogs for residents of Los Angeles. We also are in agreement with the

rationale provided in the Nov 15th letter from LAAS' General Manager, Brenda Barnette,

entitled "CF 10-0982 Number of Cats/Dogs Owned by Residents, Report from LAAS to Public Safety."

Increasing limits will allow more animals to be adopted from our North Central shelter, will

prevent as many animals from being surrendered and will generate additional licensing income

for the City of Los Angeles. Our stakeholders in Northeast LA supporting this motion include

Trap-Neuter-Return (TNR) organizations such as FAST (www.FASTtrappers.org), who over

the past year has helped over 350 homeless community-owned cats get neutered.

The many areas which have higher limits or no limits at all, including the counties of Riverside,

San Diego, and Santa Barbara, and the City of Santa Monica, have not experienced a negative

12/2/2010

City of Los Angeles Mail - SUPPORT for...

impact as the result of such limits or lack thereof.

Sincerely,

Bob and Jane Adler
