

McQUISTON ASSOCIATES

6212 Yucca St, Los Angeles, CA 90028-5223

(323) 464-6792 FAX same

consultants to technical management

April 4, 2011

CF10-1832 ITEM 2. PLUM 4/5/11 M. Espinosa

STATEMENT of J.H. McQUISTON on CD10-CD5 MOTION to VIRTUALLY-DESTROY CITY'S RESIDENTIAL-ZONING

Honorable Chairman and Members of the Committee:

323-464-6792

In Philip Anaya v City of Los Angeles, BS 099892 (2006) entering Mandamus per Topanga Assn v County of Los Angeles (11 C3d 506(en banc)), the City of Los Angeles without appeal consented to the Anava Court's order not to violate nor repeat any violation of the law regarding property-zoning restrictions:

"** * [N]or shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation." U.S. Const. Amt V.

"Variance' [defined legally] is a departure from current zoning." Black's Law Dictionary.

"A variance shall not be granted for a parcel of property which authorizes a use or activity which is not otherwise expressly authorized by the zone regulations governing the parcel or property." §65906, Calif. Government Code.

"ISlection 831 of the Civil Code provides: "An owner of land bounded by a road or street is presumed to own to the center of the way, but the contrary may be shown." * * * [S]ection 1112 of the Civil Code provides: "A transfer of land, bounded by a highway, passes the title of the person whose estate is transferred to the soil of the highway in front to the center thereof, unless a different intent appears from the grant." * * * A dedication is legally equivalent to the granting of an easement." Jones v Deeter, 152 Cal App 3rd (2 Dist 1984).

The Easement-form granting street-dedication to the City of Los Angeles merely grants the right to passover and doesn't grant the right to use the property in the easement for any other purpose, such as establishing a commercial use such as a produce or other market on an R-zoned property.

The City may not grant another non-property-owner the right to use its easement for a use not specificallyauthorized in the City's zoning code. The City also may not grant someone the use of another owner's property, without the Amendment-V-mandated payment to the property-owner.

The City may not subdivide another's property, to appropriate the City's easement, without just compensation per Amendment V to the owner of the easement-property.

CD5 in this Committee heretofore accused the owner of a world-renowned residential-property of criminal intent because the owner maintained at his residential-property a genetically-significant preserve of the species camellia. The result of CD5's attack was forced-sale and destruction of this unique biologically-important preserve and its plants' extinction, with substantial economic loss to the world and to the property-owner.

CONCLUSION

The Motion must be declared in contempt, unfeasible, dangerous, fiscally- and legally-irresponsible.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMIL Queston