

1-3-12

City Clerk, City of L.A.

200 No Spring St, # 395

Los Angeles, Ca 90012

10-1947

Att: "Proposed Sewer <sup>Service</sup> charge"

**PROTEST**

CITY CLERK

2012 JAN - 5 PM 3:38

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Gentlemen:

We object to the drastic percentage increase  
you propose! As operators of apts of "moderate rents",  
we must contend with water <sup>rate</sup> increases, et... to what  
limit? Please, sharpen your pencils!

		APN
① 135 No Hayworth Ave. L.A. 90048	18 units	
② 101 No Hayworth Ave	8 units	5511002015
③ 173 So Hayworth Ave	17 units	
④ 153 So Hayworth Ave	17 units	
⑤ 163 So Hayworth Ave	6 units	5511024014
⑥ 135 No Small Drive	26 units	
⑦ 123 So Small Drive	26 units	
⑧ 1533 So Beverly Drive	3 units	4306014101 4306014103

Yours truly

*[Signature]*

Representing the various ownerships of the above.

Thank you for your consideration!

310 788 0707

Jose & Evelyn Ruano  
7857 Peachtree Avenue  
Panorama City, CA 91402  
(818) 582-3712

January 3, 2012

# PROTEST

City Clerk  
200 North Spring Street, Room 395  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

#10-1947

Re: Address of Account/Parcel: 7857 Peachtree Avenue, Panorama City, CA 91402  
APN: 2214-007-013  
**Proposed Sewer Service Charge**

Dear Clerk:

We object to the proposed rate changes of the sewer service. We feel we pay too much as it is with the current rates. We reject this increase because we feel enough is enough.

Please consider our written comments.

Sincerely,



Jose M. Ruano



Evelyn Y. Ruano

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2012 JAN -4 PM 2:11  
BY \_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK  
DEPUTY

03 January 2012

Comments - Proposed Sewer Service Charge

#10-1947

City Clerk  
200 North Spring St. Room 395  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

**PROTEST**

These rate hike amounts are outrageous! I am opposed to this proposal. LIVE WITHIN YOUR CURRENT MEANS (just like the rest of us have to do).

Local government is once again trying to get around the publics' desire for no new tax increases by imposing higher fees (which of course are taxes). By going through the process of proposing and getting increases approved by the rubber-stamp authority, the utility thinks they are staying under the radar of public scrutiny; but they are not.

All the justifications provided in the explanation pamphlet, that was mailed in mid-December last year, amount to nothing more than babble that shows the utility's inability to manage their finances.

If I could attend the hearing in person (not possible because of the travel distance from the Valley), that would be my vote: NO; OPPOSED.



Mark Wilcox  
8053 Lena Ave.  
West Hills, CA 91304

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2012 JAN -4 PM 2:11  
BY \_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK  
DEPUTY

# 10-1947

Jan 3, 2012

8947 S. DENKER AV  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90047

"Proposed Sewer Service Charge"  
I PROTEST.

Charlyne Mack

**PROTEST**

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2012 JAN -4 PM 2:11  
BY \_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK  
DEPUTY

# PROTEST

3 JAN 2012

DEAR LA DWP,  
CF.# 10-1947

WE PROTEST THE  
PROPOSED SEWER SERVICE CHARGE.

AT A TIME WHEN SO MANY  
PEOPLE CONTINUE TO STRUGGLE  
WITH THIS RECESSION, IT IS MORE  
THAN JUST ILL-TIMED: IT IS  
HEARTLESS.

SINCERELY,

CHARLES A. Smith  
JENNIFER WILLIAMS

4531 LEMP AVE.  
No. HOLLYWOOD, CA  
91602

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

2012 JAN -4 PM 2:11

CITY CLERK

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY

10-1947

# We protest the Proposed Sewer Service Charge

Diane Eicher *Diane Eicher*  
12121 Aneta St  
Culver City, CA 90230

**PROTEST**

Gary Thorne *Gary P. Thorne*  
12121 Aneta St  
Culver City, CA 90230

*77 percent over 10 years? Shame on you!*

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
2012 JAN -3 AM 11:57  
BY \_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK  
DEPUTY