

JUNE LAGMAY
CITY CLERK

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK
ELECTION DIVISION

SPACE 300
555 RAMIREZ STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
(213) 978-0444
FAX (213) 978-0376

ARLEEN P. TAYLOR
CHIEF OF ELECTIONS

December 27, 2010

The Los Angeles City Council
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR
ELYSIAN VALLEY LIGHTING IMPROVEMENT PHASE 3 LIGHTING DISTRICT
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 10-2269

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Elysian Valley Lighting Improvement Phase 3 Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballots delivered to my office by the final hearing date of December 17, 2010.

The proposed assessment district failed, because it received a weighted majority of "no" ballots as defined in Article XIII D (Proposition 218) of the State Constitution. As a result, the City may not impose an assessment for the improvement and maintenance of lighting in the proposed district. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

In accordance with Council policy adopted on December 19, 1997 (CF 97-0843) and the report of the Board of Public Works adopted by the Council on May 9, 1998:

1. FIND that a majority protest exists in the Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District and therefore the proposed assessment cannot be enacted.
2. ADOPT the abandoning Ordinance attached to the Council File.
3. INSTRUCT the Director, Bureau of Street Lighting, to assure that the streetlights are not installed or are removed from service if previously installed, in accordance with Council policy.

Sincerely,


JUNE LAGMAY
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting



STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) SS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, JUNE LAGMAY, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that 42 ballots were submitted on or before December 17, 2010, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Elysian Valley Lighting Improvement Phase 3 Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 10-2269. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this 27th day of December 2010.

Sincerely,


JUNE LAGMAY
City Clerk

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Elysian Valley Lighting Improvement Phase 3 Lighting District**

Election # 3699

Final Public Hearing December 17, 2010

Council File # 10-2269

Ballot Tally

Assmnt No.	County APN	Owner	Assessment Weight	Ballot Vote Y/N	Weighted Vote		No Vote/ Challenged
					Yes	No	
1	5442-013-001	CHAIRES TRST	\$105.10		Not Cast	Not Cast	
2	5442-013-002	PUCKETT FMLY TRST	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25	
3	5442-013-003	LARIOS	\$88.72	Y	\$ 88.72		
4	5442-013-004	VALENZUELA	\$68.25	Y	\$ 68.25		
5	5442-013-005	LEON	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
6	5442-013-900	CITY OF LA	\$863.36		Not Cast	Not Cast	
7	5442-012-010	CRUZ	\$150.15	Y	\$ 150.15		
8	5442-012-011	RIZELITA	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
9	5442-012-012	PRECIADO	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
10	5442-012-013	ACEVEDO	\$88.72	N		\$ 88.72	
11	5442-012-014	MAINA	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25	
12	5442-012-015	NAVA	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
13	5442-012-017	MENDOZA	\$127.97		Not Cast	Not Cast	
14	5442-012-008	CHAVEZ	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
15	5442-012-007	VAZQUEZ	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
16	5442-012-006	WONG TRST	\$68.25	Y	\$ 68.25		
17	5442-012-005	ORDONEZ	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
18	5442-012-004	GETTS TRST	\$255.94	N		\$ 255.94	
19	5442-012-002	LIGHTHOUSE MGMT GRP LLC	\$88.72	N		\$ 88.72	
20	5442-012-001	ADE	\$75.08		Not Cast	Not Cast	
21	5442-013-008	MENJIVAR	\$68.25	Y	\$ 68.25		
22	5442-013-007	TRIGUEROS	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25	
23	5442-013-006	MEJIA	\$68.25	Y	\$ 68.25		
24	5442-011-032	PUCKETT FMLY TRST	\$105.10		Not Cast	Not Cast	Challenged
25	5442-011-031	PUCKETT FMLY TRST	\$88.72	N		\$ 88.72	
26	5442-011-030	PUCKETT FMLY TRST	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25	
27	5442-011-029	GUMAN LLC	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
28	5442-011-037	SANCHEZ	\$102.38		Not Cast	Not Cast	
29	5442-011-036	SANCHEZ	\$102.38		Not Cast	Not Cast	
30	5442-011-026	GIANOPOULOS	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25	
31	5442-011-025	HERRERA	\$102.38		Not Cast	Not Cast	
32	5442-011-024	GOMEZ	\$88.72		Not Cast	Not Cast	
33	5442-011-023	RAMIREZ	\$88.72		Not Cast	Not Cast	
34	5442-011-008	SAHAGUN	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
35	5442-011-007	QUINONES	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	
36	5442-011-006	GUTIERREZ	\$102.38		Not Cast	Not Cast	
37	5442-011-005	GUITIERREZ	\$88.72	Y	\$ 88.72		
38	5442-011-004	GOMEZ	\$88.72		Not Cast	Not Cast	
39	5442-011-033	RAMIREZ	\$75.08		Not Cast	Not Cast	
40	5442-011-022	MENDOZA	\$75.08	N		\$ 75.08	
41	5442-011-021	MEDINA TRST	\$95.55		Not Cast	Not Cast	
42	5442-011-020	MILLER	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast	

43	5442-011-019	SOCORRO	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
44	5442-011-018	ALATORRE TRST	\$68.25	Y	\$	68.25	
45	5442-011-017	NISHIKAWA TRST	\$68.25	N			\$ 68.25
46	5442-011-016	WHITE TRST	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
47	5442-011-015	DE LOS MONTEROS	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
48	5442-011-014	SERGIO GUITIERREZ ET AL	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
49	5442-011-034	WONG	\$255.94			Not Cast	Not Cast
50	5442-011-011	MONTANO TRST	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
51	5442-011-010	HENRIQUEZ	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
52	5442-011-009	PUCKETT FMLY TRST	\$68.25	N			\$ 68.25
53	5442-008-033	YU TRST	\$75.08			Not Cast	Not Cast
54	5442-008-032	TONG	\$68.25	N			\$ 68.25
55	5442-008-016	WAN	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
56	5442-008-015	YU	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
57	5442-008-014	CARINGAL	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
58	5442-008-013	DELEON	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
59	5442-008-012	GUTIERREZ	\$110.91	N			\$ 110.91
60	5442-008-011	JIMENEZ	\$68.25	N			\$ 68.25
61	5442-008-010	ENDER	\$102.38	N			\$ 102.38
62	5442-008-009	AQUINO	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
63	5442-008-030	LAM	\$75.08			Not Cast	Not Cast
64	5442-008-028	PANOSSIAN	\$105.10	Y	\$	105.10	
65	5442-008-027	ROJAS	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
66	5442-008-026	TAPIA	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
67	5442-008-025	GARCIA	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
68	5442-008-035	GUZMAN	\$85.31			Not Cast	Not Cast
69	5442-008-022	OCAMPO	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
70	5442-008-021	MELARA	\$68.25	Y	\$	68.25	
71	5442-008-020	DINH	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
72	5442-008-019	ESCOBEDO	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
73	5442-008-018	GARLINGTON	\$97.60	Y	\$	97.60	
74	5442-007-010	BLAKE AVE LOFTS LLC	\$93.84	Y	\$	93.84	
75	5442-007-009	BLAKE AVE LOFTS LLC	\$17.06	Y	\$	17.06	
76	5442-007-008	BUI	\$68.25	Y	\$	68.25	
77	5442-007-007	REGALADO	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
78	5442-007-006	OCAMPO	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
79	5442-007-005	VILLARREAL TRST	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
80	5442-007-004	DONALDSON	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
81	5442-007-003	SAUCEDA FMLY TRUST	\$88.72			Not Cast	Not Cast
82	5442-007-002	TOO	\$88.72	N			\$ 88.72
83	5442-007-020	WOOD	\$75.08			Not Cast	Not Cast
84	5442-007-019	RODRIGUEZ	\$97.60	Y	\$	97.60	
85	5442-007-018	HERRERA	\$68.25			Not Cast	Not Cast
86	5442-007-017	BUNUAN	\$102.38			Not Cast	Not Cast
87	5442-007-016	ALVARADO	\$85.31			Not Cast	Not Cast
88	5442-007-024	RABIE	\$42.66			Not Cast	Not Cast
89	5442-007-023	RABIE	\$34.12			Not Cast	Not Cast
90	5442-007-022	RABIE	\$46.92			Not Cast	Not Cast
91	5442-006-023	METROPUBLIC STORAGE	\$262.76			Not Cast	Not Cast
92	5442-006-020	METROPUBLIC STORAGE FUND	\$9.38			Not Cast	Not Cast
93	5442-006-021	METROPUBLIC STORAGE FUND	\$8.53			Not Cast	Not Cast
94	5442-006-022	METROPUBLIC STORAGE FUND	\$9.38			Not Cast	Not Cast

95	5442-014-002	GALLEGOS	\$78.83		Not Cast	Not Cast
96	5442-014-003	RODRIGUEZ	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
97	5442-014-004	PEREZ	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25
98	5442-014-005	CASTILLO TRST	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
99	5442-014-006	GALLEGOS	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25
100	5442-014-007	GUTIERREZ TRST	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
101	5442-014-008	VILLACORTA	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
102	5442-014-009	LARIOS	\$68.25	Y	\$ 68.25	
103	5442-014-010	BERUMEN	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
104	5442-014-011	MALO TRST	\$68.25	N		\$ 68.25
105	5442-014-012	BRANCATO TRST	\$68.25	Y	\$ 68.25	
106	5442-014-013	HERNANDEZ	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
107	5442-014-014	MENDEZ	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
108	5442-014-015	ASCENCIO	\$88.72	N		\$ 88.72
109	5442-014-016	ACEVEDO	\$88.72		Not Cast	Not Cast
110	5442-015-028	ESCUTIA	\$68.25	Y	\$ 68.25	
111	5442-015-027	AGUILAR	\$68.25		Not Cast	Not Cast
112	5442-015-026	ESCOBAR	\$95.55		Not Cast	Not Cast
113	5442-015-033	MORENO	\$88.72		Not Cast	Not Cast
114	5442-015-023	RODRIGUEZ TRST	\$51.19	Y	\$ 51.19	
115	5442-015-022	RODRIGUEZ TRST	\$88.72	Y	\$ 88.72	
116	5442-015-031	COLLAZO	\$95.55		Not Cast	Not Cast

		TOTAL	\$10,157.06		\$1,561.20	\$1,806.91
116		TOTAL VOTES		41	20	21
						1

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Elysian Valley Lighting Improvement Phase 3 Lighting District**

Election # 3699

Final Public Hearing December 17, 2010

Council File # 10-2269

Voting/Ballot Summary			
Vote	Weighted Total	Assessment Percent of Votes Cast	Number of Ballots
Yes	\$1,561.20	46.35%	20
No	\$1,806.91	53.65%	21
No Vote			0
Challenged			1

Assessment District Summary			
	Total	Voted	Percent
Parcels	116	41	35%
Assessment	\$10,157.06	\$3,368.11	33%