

REPORT OF THE CHIEF LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

DATE: April 23, 2012

WAS# 12-04-0348

TO: Members of the Rules, Elections, and Intergovernmental Relations Committee

FROM: Gerry F. Miller 
Chief Legislative Analyst

REDISTRICTING PLAN FOR THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

SUMMARY

The Los Angeles Unified School District Redistricting Commission (Commission) has submitted a proposed plan pursuant to City Charter requirements recommending revisions to the boundaries of the districts of the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD). As instructed by your Committee, this office, in consultation with the City Attorney, has reviewed the plan submitted for consideration. This report provides a summary review of the Commission's proposed plan, including District-level population data.

In addition, the Rules, Elections, and Intergovernmental Relations Committee (Committee) requested that Council and Board members submit to the Chief Legislative Analyst (CLA) any adjustments to the Commission's proposed boundaries to allow for analysis and presentation to the Committee. The CLA has received and reviewed five proposed adjustments. Of these, one is a technical change where the Board Members of the Districts affected by the adjustment have endorsed the change (Recommendation 3). The remaining four items require consideration by this Committee.

After reviewing the Commission Plan and hearing record, the City Attorney identified potential concerns about the configuration of Board Districts 2 and 5. To address these concerns, an adjusted plan was developed which returns the District 5 north/south connection to the eastern border of the LAUSD and makes other changes in the northern areas of Districts 2 and 5.

Also before your Committee is an alternate LAUSD plan submitted by Council District 8. This proposed map is the same map submitted in connection with the Commission's minority report as discussed further in the Findings Section. While it increases the total population variance among the districts, individual variances are within acceptable boundaries. However, some concern over the proposed District 7 boundaries has been expressed. Should the Committee wish to proceed with this map, we would recommend instructing our office and the City Attorney to report back with alternatives that resolve any concerns.

As is explained below, the technical change proposed for Districts 3 and 4 and the Marshall High School adjustment can be done independently. However, the proposed adjustment for the 32nd Street and Ted Alexander schools would require other changes in the boundaries between

Districts 2 and 5. The "Realignment of Districts 2 and 5" proposal, submitted by Council District 10, would resolve City Attorney concerns relative to the proposed configuration of Districts 2 and 5, and incorporates both the Marshall High School and the 32nd Street and Ted Alexander School adjustments. Accordingly, we believe there are two options for the Committee, as reflected in the Recommendations of this report: *either* approve the District 3 and 4 Technical Adjustment and the Realignment of Districts 2 and 5, *or* approve the District 3 and 4 Technical Adjustment and the Minority Report map Av2 as submitted by Council District 10, with an instruction to this office and the City Attorney to report back with further adjustments to District 7 as needed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the City Council:

1. Ratify the data fields used by the Los Angeles Unified School District Redistricting Commission (Commission) in drawing its plan (Appendix A);
2. Note and File the transcripts and public input provided by the Commission;
3. Adopt the agreed-upon adjustment as noted in this report and detailed in Appendix B that would reinstate the currently existing north/south boundaries between Board Districts 3 and 4;
4. Adopt one of the following alternatives:
 - a. Realignment of Districts 2 and 5, including adjustments for Marshall High School and the 32nd Street and Ted Alexander Schools
 - or
 - b. Alternate LAUSD Plan (Map Av2), and instruct the Chief Legislative Analyst and the City Attorney to report back on alternatives to resolve concerns relative to the proposed District 7 boundaries.
5. Instruct the Bureau of Engineering to prepare the meets and bounds for the adjusted map and submit them to the City Attorney to support the necessary ordinance by May 25, 2012; and
6. Request the City Attorney to prepare and transmit the necessary ordinance no later than June 8, 2012.

FISCAL IMPACT

No General Funds are required to implement these actions.

BACKGROUND

Charter Reform in 1999 established that the LAUSD districts be reviewed and revised at least every ten years by an independent commission upon the release of decennial census data. The

Commission is required to prepare and present LAUSD district boundaries that conform with state and federal law and, where possible, keep neighborhoods and communities intact, use natural boundaries and streets, respect high school attendance areas, and be geographically compact.

With the release of the 2010 decennial census data in 2011, the City Council initiated the current redistricting process in compliance with the Charter. The 2011-2012 Commission held its first meeting on October 7, 2011, initiating a process that involved hiring staff, establishing a Commission office, holding public hearings, and preparing a proposed redistricting plan for the LAUSD.

As required by the City Administrative Code, the Commission submitted a final proposal by March 1, 2012 for Council consideration. It should be noted that the Council is not required to adopt the Commission's proposal. The Council may make adjustments and amendments, or adopt an entirely different plan. The Charter does require that the Council adopt a final plan by ordinance no later than June 30, 2012.

The redistricting ordinance will contain a detailed meets-and-bounds description of the final LAUSD Board District boundaries. Preparation of this document is a technical process that must be completed by the Bureau of Engineering to ensure that the boundaries as adopted are accurate for use in drawing voter precincts and other relevant program boundaries. Council must adopt a final map in a timely manner to ensure that the redistricting ordinance can be prepared, considered, and approved before the June 30, 2012 deadline.

Required Population Adjustments

The primary consideration in redistricting is the U.S. Constitutional requirement for the principle of one-person, one-vote. To apply that principle to LAUSD redistricting, it is necessary to determine the ideal size of a Board District. The U.S. Census Bureau reports that the area covered by the LAUSD has a population of 4,541,134. The City Charter requires the creation of 7 LAUSD districts. Thus, the ideal population for each district is 648,733.

The following table provides population figures for LAUSD Board Districts in their current configuration, as well as the percent deviation from an ideal population of 648,733 people. Based on the 2010 Census data, changes to the LAUSD Board District boundaries are necessary to create a population balance between the districts.

Population of Existing Board Districts		
District	Population	% Deviation
1	646,591	-0.3%
2	615,000	-5.2%
3	706,563	8.9%
4	672,189	3.6%
5	617,916	-4.8%
6	628,704	-3.1%
7	656,372	1.2%

THE COMMISSION PLAN

The plan submitted by the Commission was based upon analysis of socio-demographic data, neighborhood, community, high school attendance area geographic information, public testimony (both verbal and written), and public debate among the Commissioners. The Commission received and reviewed plan proposals from the public and public interest groups. The transcripts of the hearings and meetings, written testimony, and proposed district plans provide a record of the concerns and ideas of those living in LAUSD boundaries with regard to individual Board District boundaries. The Commission has submitted the transcripts, written comments, and proposed plans to the City Council as part of its report, to support its final record of the recommended plan's adoption.

To support its work effort, the Commission used a data set that contained a wide range of information for use in the redistricting process (Appendix A). Data was compiled from the U.S. Census Bureau, the Los Angeles Unified School District, the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder, the Los Angeles Metropolitan Transit Authority, and many other City service departments, agencies, and districts. Base layers included census blocks and census tracts, and additional layers included existing district lines, high school attendance areas, and transportation corridors and areas.

Public Outreach and Participation

The Commission held eleven meetings dedicated to hearing public testimony regarding redistricting. It also held 13 additional business meetings to consider matters before the Commission, during which opportunity for public testimony was offered. The Commission also worked with various grassroots organizations and communications firms to provide outreach to the seven Board Districts and various communities of interest in LAUSD's boundaries.

Initial Draft Maps

The Commission held seven hearings (one in each existing Board district) to hear from the public on what factors and criteria the Commission should take into account when drawing proposed maps, and to hear from residents and stakeholders on how to maintain the integrity of defined communities of interest. The Commission also held a meeting to hear from self-identified groups and organizations on issues related to communities of interest in the LAUSD. The Commission then created three ad-hoc regional committees to generate district boundaries for Districts 3 and 6, Districts 2, 5, and 7, and Districts 1 and 4. Using the information from public testimony, those committees prepared maps which were then sent to an ad hoc reconciliation committee, which created two draft maps to present to the Commission. A third map submitted by MALDEF/NALEO was also presented to the Commission.

These maps were presented to the full Commission at a meeting on February 15th, at that meeting the Commission approved those maps for the purpose of obtaining additional public comment.

Final Map and Report Approval

After approval of the three draft maps, the Commission held three meetings to hear public comment on the prepared draft maps. Afterwards, the Commission was presented with five additional maps to reflect public testimony and Commissioner concerns. On February 23rd the Commission adopted its final recommended map by a vote of 14-1.

The Commission's report, *2012 Report and Recommendations of the City of Los Angeles Redistricting Commission for the Los Angeles Unified School District*, dated March 1st, was considered by the Commission at its meeting on February 29th. The report describes the process by which the Commission conducted its work, provides a review of the recommended plan, identifies major issues considered by the Commission, and presents detailed maps and data describing the proposed districts. The Commission voted to submit this report to the City Council for consideration by a vote of 13-1, with 1 abstention.

In addition to the main report, Commissioner Mark Lewis submitted a minority report which was signed onto by Commissioners Jimmie Woods Gray and Dermot Givens. The report expresses concern that the final map adopted by the Commission was not seen by the public or the majority of the Commissioners prior to the morning of the day it was adopted. The report also notes concerns that the proposed map fails to keep neighborhoods and communities intact, does not conform to high school attendance zones, and lacks geographic compactness in district five. Finally, the report states that some commission meetings conflicted with the Brown Act, that the commission operated under a rushed time-frame, that many commissioners failed to attend all Commission meetings, that transcripts of meetings were not uniformly provided to commissioners, that the Commission's website included an unworkable mapping program, and that the Commission's staff provided poor support to the commission.

The Minority Report recommends that the City adopt Map Av2, which is attached to the report. This map makes significant changes to the adopted map in districts 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7. Council District 8 submitted a proposal which also recommends adoption of Map Av2; Map Av2 is discussed in more detail further in this report.

District by District Review

The following information was provided by the LAUSD Redistricting Commission in its report.

The Commission adopted a plan that has a total deviation from ideal population of no more than 3.4%, with individual population variances ranging from -1.4% to 1.9%. For local governments and municipalities, some deviation from the ideal population for districts has been allowed by the courts. Recent decisions by the courts, however, have required that any action to deviate from the ideal requires explanation of that deviation. The Commission has explained that they have deviated from the ideal equal population among all districts by no more than 3.4% in order to follow traditional redistricting criteria, including compliance with the Voting Rights Act, preserving political subdivisions, recognized neighborhoods, and following existing educational architecture.

Other policy considerations in the Commission report provide additional justifications for the boundaries as drawn. The Commission's report states that it sought preserve the core of each Board District, minimize neighborhood and census place divisions between districts, and keep high-school attendance zones, school feeder patterns and families of schools whole and unified in one board district where possible.

The following provides basic population figures derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's PL-94 database for each District as reported in the Commission's report. In addition, data also

summarize general voting age population and citizen voting age population in each Board district by race.

Population of Proposed Board Districts		
District	Population	% Deviation
1	646,236	-1.2%
2	645,200	-0.5%
3	657,540	1.4%
4	651,295	0.4%
5	644,961	-0.6%
6	661,152	1.9%
7	639,750	-1.4%

District 1

As proposed by the Commission, LAUSD Board District 1 would have a population of 646,236, a -1.2% deviation from ideal. The district's proposed configuration represents a reduction in population of 5,355 from the existing district.

	% Population	% Citizen Voting Age
Latino	45%	23%
African American	34%	50%
Asian	6%	6%

District 2

As proposed by the Commission, LAUSD Board District 2 would have a population of 645,200, a -0.5% deviation from ideal. The district's proposed configuration represents an increase in population of 30,200 from the existing district.

	% Population	% Citizen Voting Age
Latino	70%	56%
African American	4%	9%
Asian	18%	19%

District 3

As proposed by the Commission, LAUSD Board District 3 would have a population of 657,540, a 1.4% deviation from ideal. The district's proposed configuration represents a decrease in population of 49,023 from the existing district.

	% Population	% Citizen Voting Age
Latino	32%	19%
African American	5%	6%
Asian	14%	12%

District 4

As proposed by the Commission, LAUSD Board District 4 would have a population of 651,295, a 0.4% deviation from ideal. The district's proposed configuration represents a decrease in population of 20,894 from the existing district.

	% Population	% Citizen Voting Age
Latino	15%	11%
African American	5%	5%
Asian	12%	9%

District 5

As proposed by the Commission, LAUSD Board District 5 would have a population of 644,961, a -0.6% deviation from ideal. The district's proposed configuration represents an increase in population of 27,045 from the existing district.

	% Population	% Citizen Voting Age
Latino	71%	56%
African American	3%	5%
Asian	10%	12%

District 6

As proposed by the Commission, LAUSD Board District 6 would have a population of 661,152, a 1.9% deviation from ideal. This is that maximum deviation allowed under the Commission's adopted plan. The district's proposed configuration represents an increase in population of 32,448 from the existing district.

	% Population	% Citizen Voting Age
Latino	69%	51%
African American	3%	6%
Asian	8%	10%

District 7

As proposed by the Commission, LAUSD Board District 7 would have a population of 639,750, a -1.4% deviation from ideal. This is the lowest deviation allowed under the Commission's adopted plan. The district's proposed configuration represents a decrease in population of 16,622 from the existing district.

	% Population	% Citizen Voting Age
Latino	61%	41%
African American	15%	23%
Asian	10%	13%

PLAN ADJUSTMENTS

At its meeting on April 6, 2012, this Committee requested that Councilmembers and Board Members submit adjustments to the Commission plan for review. Submissions were to be delivered by April 12, 2012. The Committee Chair then extended the deadline for submission of adjustments to April 16, 2012, and then finally April 19, 2012. A total of five submissions were received, of which one was jointly approved by Board Members of the affected districts.

Following submission, each was reviewed for its impact on population distribution and deviation, impacts on neighborhoods and high school attendance boundaries, and compliance with federal, state, and local redistricting requirements. Results of this analysis are provided below.

Agreed-Upon Adjustment

The Board Members of Districts 3 and 4, Tamar Galatzan and Steve Zimmer, have submitted an adjustment that would move the proposed border between Districts 3 and 4 to its current configuration.

Review of the demographic and geographic characteristics of this change indicates that it does not create any concerns with the integrity of the overall plan. It does not significantly increase the deviation from ideal equal population as proposed in this Commission's plan. Maps showing the adjustments are provided in Appendix B to this report.

Unresolved Adjustments

The following proposed changes to the Commission's plan were not agreed to mutually by all Board Members affected. The following provides analysis of population, neighborhood, and high school attendance area impacts to aid in proposal consideration. Maps showing the areas affected by these adjustments are included in Appendix C.

A. Marshall High School

Council District 4 has proposed that that the western boundary of Board District 5 be expanded to Canyon Drive, the US-101, Western Avenue, and Wilton Place, while reaching north to the City limit. This proposed change would unite the Zoo Magnet School and John Marshall High School in a single attendance area completely within Board District 5; the area under the map proposed by the Commission is split between Board Districts 2 and 4.

This adjustment would not affect the population deviation in either district. The Rules and Elections Committee heard public testimony on this subject at its hearings on April 9th and April 11th.

B. 32nd Street School and Ted Alexander School

The Redistricting Commissioner appointed by Board District 1 has proposed that the Commission's map be adjusted to move the following two schools into Board District 1:

- 32nd Street School
- Dr. Theodore T. Alexander, Jr. Science Center School (Ted Alexander School)

Those two schools are in Board District 1 in the currently existing map, while the Commission's map moves 32nd Street School into Board District 2 and Ted Alexander into Board District 5. The proposal received does not include specific adjustments to the Commission's map; any adjustment to move those two schools back into Board District 1 would also require realignment of the Commission's proposed maps for Board Districts 2 and 5.

C. *Re-Alignment of Districts 2 and 5*

Council District 10 has submitted a proposal that makes adjustments to the configuration of Board Districts 2 and 5, and that also makes modifications to the proposed borders of Board Districts 1, 4, and 7. The proposal (as detailed in Appendix C.3) would move the boundaries of the corridor connecting the north and south sides of Board District 5 largely back to its existing configuration along the eastern border of the LAUSD, move all of the Koreatown area, Filipinotown, Chinatown, and Little Tokyo into one Board District, and reduce the number of splits among different high school attendance zones.

This adjustment would reduce total population deviation in the Commission's map from 3.4% to 3.2%, split fewer high school attendance zones, and maintain majority-minority Board Districts in Districts 2 and 5 as was proposed in the Commission's map. Summary data for each district is provided below (detailed data is provided in Appendix C.3):

District	Population	% Deviation
1	642,348	-1.0%
2	640,164	-1.3%
3	657,111	1.3%
4	658,654	1.5%
5	640,221	-1.3%
6	661,152	1.9%
7	641,574	-1.1%

This proposal also includes the proposed Marshall High School adjustment and the proposal to move the 32nd Street and Ted Alexander Schools back into Board District 1.

D. *Alternate LAUSD Plan (Map Av2)*

Council District 8 has submitted an alternative LAUSD redistricting plan, and states that its proposal more accurately reflects public comment received during the Commission process. The plan submitted by Council District 8 is the same plan that is preferred in the Commission's Minority Report, Map Av2. The Commission considered this map, and voted against its adoption by a 3-12 vote. This proposal would alter the configuration of Board Districts 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7, as is detailed in Appendix C.

Total population deviation in this proposal increases from 3.4% in the Commission's plan to 4.1. Summary data for each district is provided below; detailed data is provided in Appendix C.

District	Population	% Deviation
1	645,769	-0.5%
2	644,910	-0.6%
3	658,589	1.5%
4	647,275	-0.2%
5	633,000	-2.4%
6	656,186	1.2%
7	659,417	1.7%

Attachments:

- (1) Appendix A: Redistricting Commission Data Fields*
- (2) Appendix B: Agreed-Upon Adjustment (Border of Board Districts 3 and 4)*
- (3) Appendix C: Unresolved Adjustments*

**APPENDIX A:
DATA FIELDS USED BY THE REDISTRICTING COMMISSION**

Los Angeles Unified School District Redistricting Commission Official Database 2011-12 Technical Documentation

The LAUSD Redistricting was done using Maptitude for Redistricting, Version 6.0 Early Release Build 905 32-bit licensed to Redistricting Partners on April 13, 2011.

Data used for the process included a statewide dataset used by Redistricting Partners for more than 35 redistricting projects and racially polarized voting studies statewide.

The City of LA provided the bulk of the dataset that was being used for the city redistricting so each commission would have access to some of the same base information. Whenever possible staff deferred to the LA City Redistricting dataset to inform decisions and provide calculations.

Layers

Base Layers

ccblk Block	Data Variables, by 2010 Census
ccblockgroup group	Data Variables, by 2010 Census Block
cctract Tract	Data Variables, by 2010 Census

Misc City Layers- City of Los Angeles

Landmarks airports,	Facilities, including parks, recreation centers, hospitals, churches, fire stations, police stations, libraries, colleges, cemeteries, etc.
LAT NH Areas	LA Times Neighborhood
LA NC	Los Angeles Neighborhood Councils

Political Layers

Assembly 2011	2011 State Assembly
Congress 2011	2011 Congressional Senate
2011	2011 State Senate
Prec_2010	2010 County Voter Registration Precincts\
Prec_2009	2009 County Voter Registration Precincts\
Prec_2008	2008 County Voter Registration Precincts\
Prec_2007	2007 County Voter Registration Precincts\
Prec_2006	2006 County Voter Registration Precincts\
Prec_2005	2005 County Voter Registration Precincts\

School Layers

attende0102	Elementary School Attendance
Areas attendh0102	High School Attendance Areas
attendm0102	Middle School Attendance Areas
minidist2010	LAUSD Mini Districts
new_site	New School Sites
schoolsall	All Schools and School Facilities

Transportation Layers

mr_bl_1	Metrorail Blue
Line mr_gl_1	Metrorail Green
Line	
mr_rl_1	Metrorail Red Line
mr_gl_1	Metrorail Gold
Line mr_el_1	Metrorail Expo
Line	
mr_cl_1	Metrorail Crenshaw Line
mtalines_2011	Metro 2011 Bus Lines
taz_la	Metro Transportation Attribute
Zones ladot_dash	LADOT Dash Lines

Base Layers

Data

Totals

Census PL 94-171

Population	Population
Hispanic Origin	Latino/Hispanic Origin Population -
DOJ White DOJ	White only Population - DOJ
Black DOJ	Black only and Black+White Population - DOJ
AMIPOP_D	American Indian only and American Indian+White Population - DOJ
ASIPOP_D	Asian only and Asian+White - DOJ
HPIPOP_D	Hawaiian, Pacific Islander only and HPI+White Pop. -
DOJ OTHPOP_D	Other Race only and Other Race + White Pop. - DOJ
MMRPOP_D	Any Multi Minority Race Non-Hispanic Combination Pop. - DOJ
VAP	Voting Age Population LATVAP_D
WHIVAP_D	Latino/Hispanic Origin VAP - DOJ
BLAVAP_D	White only VAP - DOJ Category
AMIVAP_D	Black only and Black+White VAP - DOJ
ASIVAP_D	American Indian and American Indian+White VAP - DOJ
HPIVAP_D	Asian only and Asian+White VAP - DOJ
OTHVAP_D	Hawaiian, Pacific Islander only and HPI+White VAP- DOJ
MMRVAP_D	Other Race only and Other Race + White VAP - DOJ
	Any Multi Minority Race Combination VAP - DOJ

Redistricting partners / Political Data dataset

Total CVAP	Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
Latino CVAP	Latino/Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
White CVAP	White Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
African American CVAP	Black Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
Asian CVAP	Asian Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009

Provided from the City of Los Angeles

TCVAP_095E	Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
LCVAP_095E	Latino/Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
WCVAP_095E	White Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
BCVAP_095E	Black Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
ACVAP_095E	Asian Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
AOCVAP_095	All Other Citizen Voting Age Population - 2009
TOTCVAP_E1	Citizen Voting Age Population - 2010
LATCVAP_E1	Latino/Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population - 2010
WHICVAP_E1	White Citizen Voting Age Population - 2010
BLACVAP_E1	Black Citizen Voting Age Population - 2010
ASICVAP_E1	Asian Citizen Voting Age Population - 2010
AOCVAP_E10	All Other Citizen Voting Age Population - 2010

Census SF1

AGE

age0_4	0-4 Years Old
age5_17	5-17 Years Old
age18_34	18-34 Years Old
age35_64	35-64 Years Old
age65plus	65+ Years Old

Household type

hhtot	Total Households
hh1persn	1 Person Households
hhm_kids	Married Households with Children
hhs_kids	Single Households with Children
hhm_nokd	Married Households with no Children
hhs_nokd	Single Households with no Children
hhnonfam	Non-Family Households

Group Quarters

GQL_CORR	Correctional facilities for adults
GQL_JUVI	Juvenile facilities
GQL_NURSE	Nursing facilities/Skilled-nursing facilities
GQL_OTHER	Other institutional facilities
GQN_STUDENT	College/University student housing
GQN_MILITARY	Military quarters
GQN_OTHER	Other noninstitutional facilities

Tenure

OCC_TOT	Total Occupancy
OCC_OWN_M	Owner Occupied with mortgage
OCC_OWN_C	Owner Occupied free and clear
OCC_RENT	Renter Occupied

Household size

HH_TOT	Total Households
HU_1P	1 Person Households
HU_2P	2 Person Households
HU_3P	3 Person Households
HU_4P	4 Person Households
HU_5P	5 Person Households
HU_6P	6 Person Households
HU_7P	7 Person Households

2011 Registration Data - Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

TOTREG11	Voter Registration
LATREG	Latino/Hispanic - Spanish Surname Registration
AFAMREG	African-American Registration
CHIREG	Chinese Surname Registration
FILREG	Filipino Surname Registration
INDREG	Asian Indian Surname Registration
JPNREG	Japanese Surname Registration
KORREG	Korean Surname Registration
VEITREG	Vietnamese Surname Registration
ARMNREG	Armenian Surname Registration
JWSHREG	Jewish Surname Registration
MALE	Male
FEMALE	Female
DEM	Democratic
REP	Republican
DTS	Decline to State
AI	American Independent
PF	Peace and Freedom
GRN	Green
LIB	Libertarian
OTH	Other

2010 Registration Data - Statewide Database

TOTREG	Voter Registration
DEM	Democratic REP
	Republican
DCL	Decline to State
OTH	Other
AIP	American Independent
PAF	Peace and Freedom
MSC	Miscellaneous
LIB	Libertarian

NLP	Natural Law Party
GRN	Green
REF	Reform
MALE	Male
FEMALE	Female
LATREG	Latino/Hispanic - Spanish Surname Registration
LATDEM	Latino/Hispanic Democratic - Spanish Surname Registration
LATREP	Latino/Hispanic Republican - Spanish Surname Registration
LATDCL	Latino/Hispanic Decline to State - Spanish Surname Registration
LATOTH	Latino/Hispanic Other Party - Spanish Surname Registration
ASNREG	Asian Surname Registration
ASNDEM	Asian Democratic Surname Registration
ASNREP	Asian Republican Surname Registration
ASNDCL	Asian Decline to State Surname Registration
ASNOTH	Asian Other Party Surname Registration
KSNREG	Korean Surname Registration
JSNREG	Japanese Surname Registration
CSNREG	Chinese Surname Registration
ISNREG	Asian Indian Surname Registration
VSNREG	Vietnamese Surname Registration
FSNREG	Filipino Surname Registration
KORDEM	Korean Democratic Surname Registration
KORREP	Korean Republican Surname Registration
KORDCL	Korean Decline to State Surname Registration
KOROTH	Korean Other Party Surname Registration
JPNDDEM	Japanese Democratic Surname Registration
JPNREP	Japanese Republican Surname Registration
JPNDCL	Japanese Decline to State Surname Registration
JPNOTH	Japanese Other Party Surname Registration
CHIDEM	Chinese Democratic Surname Registration
CHIREP	Chinese Republican Surname Registration
CHIDCL	Chinese Decline to State Surname Registration
CHIOTH	Chinese Other Party Surname Registration
INDDEM	Asian Indian Democratic Surname Registration
INDREP	Asian Indian Republican Surname Registration
INDDCL	Asian Indian Decline to State Surname Registration
INDOTH	Asian Indian Other Party Surname Registration
VIETDEM	Vietnamese Democratic Surname Registration
VIETREP	Vietnamese Republican Surname Registration
VIETDCL	Vietnamese Decline to State Surname Registration
VIETOTH	Vietnamese Other Party Surname Registration
FILDEM	Filipino Democratic Surname Registration
FILREP	Filipino Republican Surname Registration
FILDCL	Filipino Decline to State Surname Registration
FILOTH	Filipino Other Party Surname Registration
JEWDEM	Jewish Democratic Surname Registration
JEWREP	Jewish Republican Surname Registration
JEWDCL	Jewish Decline to State Surname Registration
JEWOTH	Jewish Other Party Surname Registration

State Election Data - Statewide Database

2010 General Election

TOTAL_V10G	Total Voter Turnout
TOTDEM_V10	Democratic Voter Turnout
TOTREP_V10	Republican Voter Turnout
TOTDCL_V10	Decline to State Voter Turnout
TOTOTH_V10	Other Party Voter Turnout
LATTOT_V10	Latino/Hispanic - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATDEM_V10	Latino/Hispanic Democratic - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATREP_V10	Latino/Hispanic Republican - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATDCL_V10	Latino/Hispanic Decline to State - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATOTH_V10	Latino/Hispanic Other Party - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
ASNTOT_V10	Asian Surname Voter Turnout
ASNDEM_V10	Asian Democratic Surname Voter Turnout
ASNREP_V10	Asian Republican Surname Voter Turnout
ASNDCL_V10	Asian Decline to State Surname Voter Turnout
ASNOTH_V10	Asian Other Party Surname Voter Turnout
KSNTOT_V10	Korean Surname Voter Turnout
JSNTOT_V10	Japanese Surname Voter Turnout
CSNTOT_V10	Chinese Surname Voter Turnout
ISNTOT_V10	Asian Indian Surname Voter Turnout
VSNTOT_V10	Vietnamese Surname Voter Turnout
FSNTOT_V10	Filipino Surname Voter Turnout
GOVT_10G	Governor, Total
GOVD_10G	Jerry Brown
GOVR_10G	Meg Whitman
LTGT_10G	Lieutenant Governor, Total
LTGD_10G	Gavin Newsom
LTGR_10G	Abel Maldonado
ATGT_10G	Attorney General, Total
ATGD_10G	Kamala Harris
ATGR_10G	Steve Cooley
SOSD_10G	SOST_10G Secretary of State, Total
SOSR_10G	Debra Bowen
TRSD_10G	Damon Dunn
TRSR_10G	TRST_10G Treasurer, Total
CONT_10G	Bill Lockyer
COND_10G	Mimi Walters
CONR_10G	Controller, Total
INST_10G	John Chiang
INSD_10G	Tony Strickland
INSR_10G	Insurance Commissioner, Total
SENT_10G	Dave Jones
SEND_10G	Mike Villines
SENR_10G	State Senate, Total
ASMT_10G	State Senate Democratic Candidate
	State Senate Republican Candidate
	Assembly, Total

ASMD_10G	Assembly Democratic Candidate
ASMR_10G	Assembly Republican Candidate
CNGT_10G	Congress, Total
CNGD_10G	Congress Democratic Candidate
CNGR_10G	Congress Republican Candidate

2008 General Registration - Statewide Database

TOTAL_R08G	Total Registration
TOTDEM_R08	Democratic Registration
TOTREP_R08	Republican Registration
TOTDCL_R08	Decline to State Registration
TOTOTH_R08	Other Registration
LATTOT_R08	Latino/Hispanic - Spanish Surname Registration LATDEM_R08
	Latino/Hispanic Democratic - Spanish Surname Registration
LATREP_R08	Latino/Hispanic Republican - Spanish Surname Registration
LATDCL_R08	Latino/Hispanic Decline to State - Spanish Surname Registration
LATOTH_R08	Latino/Hispanic Other Party - Spanish Surname Registration
ASNTOT_R08	Asian Surname Registration
ASNDEM_R08	Asian Democratic Surname Registration
ASNREP_R08	Asian Republican Surname Registration
ASNDCL_R08	Asian Decline to State Surname Registration
ASNOTH_R08	Asian Other Party Surname Registration
KSNTOT_R08	Korean Surname Registration
JSNTOT_R08	Japanese Surname Registration
CSNTOT_R08	Chinese Surname Registration
ISNTOT_R08	Asian Indian Surname Registration
VSNTOT_R08	Vietnamese Surname Registration
FSNTOT_R08	Filipino Surname Registration

2008 Statewide General Election - Statewide Database

TOTAL_V08G	Total Voter Turnout
TOTDEM_V08	Democratic Voter Turnout
TOTREP_V08	Republican Voter Turnout
TOTDCL_V08	Decline to State Voter Turnout
TOTOTH_V08	Other Party Voter Turnout
LATTOT_V08	Latino/Hispanic - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATDEM_V08	Latino/Hispanic Democratic - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATREP_V08	Latino/Hispanic Republican - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATDCL_V08	Latino/Hispanic Decline to State - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATOTH_V08	Latino/Hispanic Other Party - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
ASNTOT_V08	Asian Surname Voter Turnout
ASNDEM_V08	Asian Democratic Surname Voter Turnout
ASNREP_V08	Asian Republican Surname Voter Turnout
ASNDCL_V08	Asian Decline to State Surname Voter Turnout
ASNOTH_V08	Asian Other Party Surname Voter Turnout
KSNTOT_V08	Korean Surname Voter Turnout
JSNTOT_V08	Japanese Surname Voter Turnout

CSNTOT_V08	Chinese Surname Voter Turnout
ISNTOT_V08	Asian Indian Surname Voter Turnout
VSNTOT_V08	Vietnamese Surname Voter Turnout
FSNTOT_V08	Filipino Surname Voter Turnout
PRST_08G	Total Presidential Voter Turnout
PRSD_08G	Presidential Democratic Voter Turnout - Barack Obama
PRSR_08G	Presidential Republican Voter Turnout - John McCain

2006 Total Registration - Statewide Database

TOTAL_R06G	Total Registration
TOTDEM_R06	Democratic Registration
TOTREP_R06	Republican Registration
TOTDCL_R06	Decline to State Registration
TOTOTH_R06	Other Party Registration
LATTOT_R06	Latino/Hispanic - Spanish Surname Registration
LATDEM_R06	Latino/Hispanic Democratic - Spanish Surname Registration
LATREP_R06	Latino/Hispanic Republican - Spanish Surname Registration
LATDCL_R06	Latino/Hispanic Decline to State - Spanish Surname Registration
LATOTH_R06	Latino/Hispanic Other Party - Spanish Surname Registration
ASNTOT_R06	Asian Surname Registration
ASNDEM_R06	Asian Democratic Surname Registration
ASNREP_R06	Asian Republican Surname Registration
ASNDCL_R06	Asian Decline to State Surname Registration
ASNOTH_R06	Asian Other Party Surname Registration
KSNTOT_R06	Korean Surname Registration
JSNTOT_R06	Japanese Surname Registration
CSNTOT_R06	Chinese Surname Registration
ISNTOT_R06	Asian Indian Surname Registration
VSNTOT_R06	Vietnamese Surname Registration
FSNTOT_R06	Filipino Surname Registration

2006 Statewide General Election - Statewide Database

TOTAL_V06G	Total Voter Turnout
TOTDEM_V06	Democratic Voter Turnout
TOTREP_V06	Republican Voter Turnout
TOTDCL_V06	Decline to State Voter Turnout
TOTOTH_V06	Other Party Voter Turnout
LATTOT_V06	Latino/Hispanic - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATDEM_V06	Latino/Hispanic Democratic - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATREP_V06	Latino/Hispanic Republican - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATDCL_V06	Latino/Hispanic Decline to State - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
LATOTH_V06	Latino/Hispanic Other Party - Spanish Surname Voter Turnout
ASNTOT_V06	Asian Surname Voter Turnout
ASNDEM_V06	Asian Democratic Surname Voter Turnout
ASNREP_V06	Asian Republican Surname Voter Turnout
ASNDCL_V06	Asian Decline to State Surname Voter Turnout
ASNOTH_V06	Asian Other Party Surname Voter Turnout
KSNTOT_V06	Korean Surname Voter Turnout

JSNTOT_V06	Japanese Surname Voter Turnout
CSNTOT_V06	Chinese Surname Voter Turnout
ISNTOT_V06	Asian Indian Surname Voter Turnout
VSNTOT_V06	Vietnamese Surname Voter Turnout
FSNTOT_V06	Filipino Surname Voter Turnout
GOVT_06G	Governor, Total
GOVD_06G	Phil Angelides
GOVR_06G	Arnold Schwarzenegger
SOST_06G	Secretary of State, Total
SOSD_06G	Debra Bowen
SOSR_06G	Bruce McPherson
INST_06G	Insurance Commissioner, Total
INSD_06G	Cruz Bustamante
INSR_06G	Steve Poizner
LTGT_06G	Lieutenant Governor, Total
LTGD_06G	John Garamendi
LTGR_06G	Tom McClintock
ATGT_06G	Attorney General, Total
ATGD_06G	Jerry Brown
ATGR_06G	Chuck Poochigian
TRST_06G	Treasurer, Total
TRSD_06G	Bill Lockyer
TRSR_06G	Claude Parrish
CONT_06G	Controller, Total
COND_06G	John Chiang
CONR_06G	Tony Strickland
SENT_06G	State Senate, Total
SEND_06G	State Senate Democratic Candidate
SENR_06G	State Senate Republican Candidate
ASMT_06G	Assembly, Total
ASMD_06G	Assembly Democratic Candidate
ASMR_06G	Assembly Republican Candidate
CNGT_06G	Congress, Total
CNGD_06G	Congress Democratic Candidate
CNGR_06G	Congress Republican Candidate

2003 Statewide Special Election - Statewide Database

GOVT_03S	Replace Governor, Total
GOVS_03S	Arnold Shwarzenegger
GOVB_03S	Cruz Bustamante
RECT_03S	Recall Governor, Total
RECY_03S	Recall Governor, Yes
RECN_03S	Recall Governor, No

1998 Statewide Primary Election - Statewide Database

P227T_98P	Proposition 227, Total
P227Y_98P	Proposition 227, Yes
P227N_98P	Proposition 227, No

1996 Statewide General Election - Statewide Database

P209T_96G	Proposition 209, Total
P209Y_96G	Proposition 209, Yes
P209N_96G	Proposition 209, No

1994 Statewide General Election - Statewide Database

P187T_94G	Proposition 187, Total
P187Y_94G	Proposition 187, Yes
P187N_94G	Proposition 187, No

Political Data/ Redistricting Partners municipal Election dataset

This dataset provides turnout for each municipal election- total turnout and race (EAA = African American, ELAT = Latino, EASIAN = Asian)

PDI_Voted_11O	PDI_Voted_09C_EAA	PDI_Voted_05K_ELAT
PDI_Voted_11H	PDI_Voted_07O_EAA	PDI_Voted_05E_ELAT
PDI_Voted_09S	PDI_Voted_07D_EAA	PDI_Voted_11O_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_09C	PDI_Voted_05K_EAA	PDI_Voted_11H_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_07O	PDI_Voted_05E_EAA	PDI_Voted_09S_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_07D	PDI_Voted_11O_ELAT	PDI_Voted_09C_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_05K	PDI_Voted_11H_ELAT	PDI_Voted_07O_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_05E	PDI_Voted_09S_ELAT	PDI_Voted_07D_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_11O_EAA	PDI_Voted_09C_ELAT	PDI_Voted_05K_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_11H_EAA	PDI_Voted_07O_ELAT	PDI_Voted_05E_EASIAN
PDI_Voted_09S_EAA	PDI_Voted_07D_ELAT	

Political Data / Redistricting Partners Data on Archived registration cards as snapshots from the following election years and providing the following information:

ArchReg10P_Total_Reg_Count	ArchReg04G_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg03S_Dem_Voted
% ArchReg10P_Total_Reg_Count	ArchReg04P_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg02G_Dem_Voted
ArchReg09S_Total_Reg_Count	ArchReg05S_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg10P_Rep_Voted
ArchReg09S_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg10P_Total_Reg	ArchReg09S_Rep_Voted
ArchReg08P_Total_Reg_Count	ArchReg09S_Total_Reg	ArchReg08P_Rep_Voted
ArchReg08P_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg10P_Total_Voted	ArchReg08S_Rep_Voted
ArchReg08S_Total_Reg_Count	ArchReg09S_Total_Voted	ArchReg06P_Rep_Voted
ArchReg08S_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg10P_Dem_Voted	ArchReg06G_Rep_Voted
ArchReg06G_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg09S_Dem_Voted	ArchReg05S_Rep_Voted
% ArchReg06G_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg08P_Dem_Voted	ArchReg04P_Rep_Voted
ArchReg06G_Total_Reg_Count	ArchReg08S_Dem_Voted	ArchReg04G_Rep_Voted
ArchReg06P_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg06P_Dem_Voted	ArchReg03S_Rep_Voted
% ArchReg06P_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg06G_Dem_Voted	ArchReg02G_Rep_Voted
ArchReg06P_Total_Reg_Count	ArchReg05S_Dem_Voted	ArchReg10P_Latino_Voted
ArchReg02G_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg04P_Dem_Voted	ArchReg08P_Latino_Voted
ArchReg03S_Total_Voted_Count	ArchReg04G_Dem_Voted	ArchReg08S_Latino_Voted

ArchReg06P_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg06G_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg05S_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg04P_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg04G_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg03S_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg02G_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg10P_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg09S_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg08P_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg08S_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg06P_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg06G_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg05S_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg04P_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg04G_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg03S_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg02G_Asian_Voted
 ArchReg10P_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg09S_AFAM_Voted

ArchReg08P_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg08S_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg06P_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg06G_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg05S_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg04P_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg04G_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg03S_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg02G_AFAM_Voted
 ArchReg10P_Other_Voted
 ArchReg09S_Other_Voted
 ArchReg08P_Other_Voted
 ArchReg08S_Other_Voted
 ArchReg06P_Other_Voted
 ArchReg06G_Other_Voted
 ArchReg05S_Other_Voted
 ArchReg04P_Other_Voted
 ArchReg04G_Other_Voted
 ArchReg03S_Other_Voted
 ArchReg02G_Other_Voted

ArchReg09S_Latino_Voted
 ArchReg08P_Total_Voted
 ArchReg08S_Total_Voted
 ArchReg06P_Total_Voted
 ArchReg06G_Total_Voted
 ArchReg05S_Total_Voted
 ArchReg04P_Total_Voted
 ArchReg04G_Total_Voted
 ArchReg03S_Total_Voted
 ArchReg02G_Total_Voted
 ArchReg08P_Total_Reg
 ArchReg08S_Total_Reg
 ArchReg06P_Total_Reg
 ArchReg06G_Total_Reg
 ArchReg05S_Total_Reg
 ArchReg04P_Total_Reg
 ArchReg04G_Total_Reg
 ArchReg03S_Total_Reg
 ArchReg02G_Total_Reg

Voter Information derived from active Voter Registration Cards.

PDI-V11_Total_Voters
 % PDI-V11_Total_Voters
 PDI-V11_Democrats
 % PDI-V11_Democrats
 PDI-V11_Republicans
 % PDI-V11_Republicans
 PDI-V11_DeclinedToState
 % PDI-V11_DeclinedToState
 PDI-V11_Jewish
 % PDI-V11_Jewish
 PDI-V11_Armenian
 % PDI-V11_Armenian
 PDI-V11_Male
 % PDI-V11_Male
 PDI-V11_Female
 % PDI-V11_Female
 PDI-V11_Asian
 % PDI-V11_Asian
 PDI-V11_Latino
 % PDI-V11_Latino
 PDI-V11_Age_18_24
 % PDI-V11_Age_18_24
 PDI-V11_Age_25_34

% PDI-V11_Age_25_34
 PDI-V11_Age_35_44
 % PDI-V11_Age_35_44
 PDI-V11_Age_45_54
 % PDI-V11_Age_45_54
 PDI-V11_Age_55_64
 % PDI-V11_Age_55_64
 PDI-V11_Age_65_Plus
 % PDI-V11_Age_65_Plus
 PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters
 % PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters
 PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters
 % PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters
 PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory
 % PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory
 PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_Dem
 % PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_Dem
 PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_Dem
 % PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_Dem
 PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_Dem
 % PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_Dem
 PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_Rep

% PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_Rep
 PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_Rep
 % PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_Rep
 PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_Rep
 % PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_Rep
 PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_DTS
 % PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_DTS
 PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_DTS
 % PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_DTS
 PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_DTS
 % PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_DTS
 PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_Other
 % PDI-V11_PrimaryElectionVoters_Other
 PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_Other
 % PDI-V11_GeneralElectionVoters_Other
 PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_Other
 % PDI-V11_NoVoteHistory_Other

Turnout for voters based on live registration cards managed by Political Data Inc.


PDI-V11_Voted_10P	V11_Voted_08G_ASIAN	PDI-V11_Voted_08P_Rep
PDI-V11_Voted_10G	PDI-	PDI-V11_Voted_08G_Rep
PDI-V11_Voted_08P	V11_Voted_10P_LATINO	PDI-V11_Voted_10P_DTS
PDI-V11_Voted_08G	PDI-	PDI-V11_Voted_10G_DTS
PDI-V11_Voted_10P_VBM	V11_Voted_10G_LATINO	PDI-V11_Voted_08P_DTS
PDI-V11_Voted_10G_VBM	PDI-	PDI-V11_Voted_08G_DTS
PDI-V11_Voted_08P_VBM	V11_Voted_08P_LATINO	PDI-
PDI-V11_Voted_08G_VBM	PDI-	V11_Voted_10P_OTHER
PDI-	V11_Voted_08G_LATINO	PDI-
V11_Voted_10P_ASIAN	PDI-V11_Voted_10P_Dem	V11_Voted_10G_OTHER
PDI-	PDI-V11_Voted_10G_Dem	PDI-
V11_Voted_10G_ASIAN	PDI-V11_Voted_08P_Dem	V11_Voted_08P_OTHER
PDI-	PDI-V11_Voted_08G_Dem	PDI-
V11_Voted_08P_ASIAN	PDI-V11_Voted_10P_Rep	V11_Voted_08G_OTHER
PDI-	PDI-V11_Voted_10G_Rep	
=		

**APPENDIX B:
AGREED-UPON ADJUSTMENT**

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

BOARD MEMBERS

TAMAR GALATZAN, Board District 3
STEVEN ZIMMER, Board District 4



Administrative Office
333 South Beverly Avenue, 24th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 241-6389
Fax: (213) 241-8953

April 20, 2012

Gerry Miller,
Chief Legislative Analyst
200 N. Spring Street, room 255
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Mr. Miller:

Please accept this proposal to adjust the district boundaries between Board District 4 and Board District 3. (See attached map.)

We propose to reinstate the North-South boundaries between Board Districts 3 and 4 so that they remain the same as the existing boundaries. The populations are equivalent.


Thank you,


Handwritten signature of Tamar Galatzan in cursive.

Tamar Galatzan, LAUSD Board Member, District 3

Handwritten signature of Steve Zimmer in cursive.

Steve Zimmer, LAUSD Board Member, District 4





**APPENDIX C:
UNRESOLVED ADJUSTMENTS**

C.1 - *Marshall High School*



CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

TOM LABONGE
COUNCILMEMBER 4TH DISTRICT

ROOM 480, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
(213) 485-3337
FAX (213) 624-7810

April 13, 2012

Gerry Miller
Chief Legislative Analyst
City Hall, Room 255

Dear *Gerry* Mr. Miller

Per the direction of the Chair of the Rules & Elections Committee from the meeting of April 4, 2012, I respectfully submit the enclosed map for consideration and incorporation to the final Los Angeles Unified School District map that will impact Districts 4 and 5.

By extending the western boundary of District 5 to Canyon Drive, the US-101, Western Avenue and Wilton Place while reaching north to the city limit, this proposed change would reunite the communities of Atwater Village, Los Feliz and Griffith Park, to include the Zoo Magnet School and John Marshall High School into one single attendance area completely within District 5.


This amendment would not violate the Voting Rights Act, nor adversely impact the population deviations for Districts 4 and 5.

Please feel free to contact Jeanne Min at (213) 473-7004 for any clarifying questions and/or concerns you, or your staff, may have with the above.

Sincerely,

TOM LABONGE
Councilmember, 4th District

ps - Thanks for all the help from the CLA Team!





RECEIVED APR 16 2012

BENNETT KAYSER
Board Member

April 10, 2012

Honorable Herb J. Wesson, Jr.
Councilmember, District 10
Los Angeles City Council
200 North Spring Street, Room 430
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Council President Wesson,

As you may know, I joined the Board in July. My background is education and my commitment is to the children of this school district. I have found that redistricting has been a bit of a distraction from the hard work that needs to be done here at LAUSD, particularly in this time of extreme budget pressures.

Despite the odd shape of the new Board District 5, I am eager to get on with the process and to welcome my new constituents. With that said, there are a few minor technical adjustments that remain to be made.

Under the Redistricting Commission's "recommended" map, the neighborhoods of Los Feliz and Atwater are bisected by the new boundary line and the few people residing in the Griffith Park neighborhood are cut-off from the family of schools in the Marshall High complex. I believe these communities would be best served were they made whole.

On behalf of parents and community members in the areas of Atwater Village, Griffith Park and Los Feliz, I am seeking their reunification with Board District 5 and the return of Franklin and Los Feliz elementary schools and John Marshall High School. Doing so would not take the new District 5 out of compliance with deviation norms.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may be of assistance to you and your staff in the future.

Sincerely,

Bennett Kayser
Board Member
District 5

C.2 - 32nd Street School and Ted Alexander School



DERMOT DAMIAN GIVENS
Attorney at Law

468 N. Camden Drive, Ste. 305
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Tel: (310) 854-8823
Fax: (323) 878-0416
dermotg@dgivenslaw.com

April 6, 2012

Gerry Miller, Chief Legislative Analyst

Re: LAUSD Redistricting

Dear Mr. Miller;

I respectfully request that the proposed new district map that was submitted by the City of Los Angeles Commission for the Redistricting of the Los Angeles Unified School District be adjusted to include into the 1st District the following two schools:

- 32nd Street School
- Dr. Theodore T. Alexander, Jr. Science Center School (Ted Alexander School)

These two schools are currently in the 1st District, they are essential parts of the feeder school pattern connected to other schools that are in the 1st District and they are not essential to the Districts that they have been placed into in the proposed maps.

Your prompt attention to adjusting this matter will better serve the citizens and students of Los Angeles.

Sincerely,

Dermot D. Givens, Commissioner
LAUSD Redistricting Commission (1st District Appointee)

Cc: Hon. Herb Wesson, President, LA City Council

RECEIVED - CLA
2012 APR - 6 PM 3: 04

C.3 - Re-Alignment of Districts 2 and 5



HERB J. WESSON, JR.
COUNCILMEMBER, 10TH DISTRICT
PRESIDENT, LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

April 20, 2012

Gerry F. Miller
Chief Legislative Analyst
City of Los Angeles
200 N. Spring Street, Room 255
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Mr. Miller:

RE: LAUSD REDISTRICTING PLAN


I am submitting the attached amended map for the Los Angeles Unified School District that makes modifications to the proposed borders of Board Districts 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7. The amendments I am proposing reflect testimony received during the Rules and Elections hearings, a desire to keep communities and geographies whole, to respect the historical boundaries of LAUSD districts, and reflect the Charter's mandate that LAUSD redistricting plans keep high school attendance areas whole when possible.


The proposal moves the boundaries of Board District 5 largely back to its existing connection, keeps Eastside and East Los Angeles communities intact, ensures that the communities of Koreatown, Filipinotown, Chinatown, and Little Tokyo are kept whole in one Board District, and significantly reduces the number of high school attendance zones, neighborhoods, and communities that are split among different districts. The amendments also reflect testimony that requested that the John Marshall High School area be unified into a single Board District.


Please contact my Assistant Chief Deputy Andrew Westall at (213) 473-7010 for any clarifying questions or concerns you, or your staff, may have.


Sincerely,

HERB J. WESSON, JR.
President, Los Angeles City Council
Councilmember, 10th District










Field	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value
District	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
POP	642348	640164	657111	658654	640221	661152	641574
Deviation	-6,398	-8,582	8,365	9,908	-8,525	12,406	-7,172
% Deviation	-0.99%	-1.32%	1.29%	1.53%	-1.31%	1.91%	-1.11%
% LATPOP_D	42.16%	70.45%	31.11%	16.49%	74.05%	69.23%	60.78%
% LATVAP_D	38.02%	65.51%	27.63%	14.95%	69.47%	64.68%	55.99%
% LATCVAP_10	23.59%	52.65%	20.18%	11.36%	58.78%	53.08%	41.88%
% LATREG11	19.02%	56.51%	16.9%	9.12%	56.71%	49.99%	37.25%
% BLAPOP_D	33.17%	4.61%	4.77%	4.73%	2.15%	3.4%	15.48%
% BLAVAP_D	34.18%	5.36%	4.67%	4.59%	2.38%	3.61%	15.86%
% BLACVAP_10	45.21%	9.67%	5.56%	5.12%	3.64%	5.26%	22.67%
% AFAMREG11	50.57%	10.05%	5.75%	4.92%	4.25%	6.3%	28.05%
% ASIPOP_D	7.42%	17.83%	13.96%	12.4%	8.72%	8.11%	10.43%
% ASIVAP_D	8.53%	20.64%	14.07%	12.63%	10.1%	9.15%	12.28%
% ASICVAP_10	7.78%	23.76%	13.25%	11.1%	12.21%	10.64%	13.68%
% ASNREG11	4.55%	13.81%	7.26%	6.33%	6.68%	5.5%	7.75%
% NOETHREG11	24.7%	18.23%	69.24%	78.09%	31.68%	37.61%	25.38%

C.4 - Alternate LAUSD Plan (Map Av2)



**Plan A v2
District LAUSD**





LAUSD Redistricting Commission Plan A v2



Overall Population and Ethnicity Data

Dist	Population	Dev.	%Dev.	Black	Asian	Latino	CVAP 05 - 09 (RP)			CVAP 05 - 09 (LA City)			CVAP 2006 to 2010		
							Asian	Black	Latino	Asian	Black	Latino	Asian	Black	Latino
1	645,769	(2,964)	-0.5%	35%	5%	53%	6%	54%	29%	7%	54%	29%	7%	51%	31%
2	644,910	(3,823)	-0.6%	7%	9%	77%	11%	14%	62%	11%	14%	62%	14%	13%	60%
3	658,589	9,856	1.5%	5%	14%	32%	11%	5%	19%	12%	6%	19%	13%	6%	21%
4	647,275	(1,458)	-0.2%	5%	13%	17%	9%	5%	11%	10%	6%	11%	12%	5%	11%
5	633,000	(15,733)	-2.4%	4%	22%	42%	18%	7%	28%	19%	7%	28%	22%	6%	28%
6	656,186	7,453	1.2%	3%	8%	69%	9%	5%	52%	10%	5%	52%	11%	5%	53%
7	659,417	10,684	1.7%	9%	7%	72%	8%	15%	55%	9%	15%	55%	9%	14%	56%

Registered Voter Population and Ethnicity Data

Dist	Tot Voters	Asian	Latino	Jewish	Armenian	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65+
1	280,732	4%	24%	1%	0.1%	10%	20%	16%	19%	15%	16%
2	195,674	8%	61%	1%	0.1%	13%	23%	16%	15%	13%	17%
3	326,975	7%	16%	7%	3.3%	7%	17%	17%	19%	18%	19%
4	387,184	6%	8%	11%	1.1%	7%	20%	19%	17%	16%	19%
5	276,150	12%	25%	5%	1.9%	7%	23%	21%	17%	15%	17%
6	225,039	6%	48%	1%	4.0%	10%	20%	16%	19%	17%	16%
7	249,312	5%	50%	1%	0.1%	12%	20%	16%	17%	15%	16%

Dist	Overall Voters				Asian Voters				Latino Voters			
	10P	10G	08P	08G	10P	10G	08P	08G	10P	10G	08P	08G
1	53,897	135,068	59,331	189,986	5%	4%	4%	4%	15%	21%	12%	22%
2	29,662	84,338	25,935	120,652	10%	7%	12%	8%	58%	64%	54%	63%
3	82,597	180,623	59,423	240,669	5%	5%	4%	6%	9%	14%	9%	15%
4	99,697	224,586	76,332	290,903	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	7%	6%	8%
5	57,261	144,015	46,053	193,608	11%	10%	13%	11%	19%	23%	19%	25%
6	40,999	107,280	29,130	152,195	5%	5%	5%	5%	32%	46%	30%	48%
7	46,306	117,029	36,874	164,009	6%	5%	6%	5%	37%	47%	31%	48%



LAUSD Redistricting Commission Plan A v2



Los Angeles Municipal Elections

Latino Voting Turnout								
Dist	11G	11P	09G	09P	07G	07P	05G	05P
1	14%	15%	15%	22%	14%	14%	25%	20%
2	59%	62%	62%	68%	52%	66%	71%	67%
3	9%	10%	9%	12%	10%	10%	16%	13%
4	7%	6%	6%	8%	6%	6%	10%	8%
5	23%	21%	19%	27%	18%	24%	32%	28%
6	31%	33%	31%	42%	43%	44%	52%	45%
7	38%	44%	32%	37%	29%	39%	39%	41%

Asian Voting Turnout								
Dist	11G	11P	09G	09P	07G	07P	05G	05P
1	8%	4%	6%	5%	8%	6%	3%	4%
2	15%	11%	12%	10%	19%	12%	9%	10%
3	6%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%
4	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%
5	15%	13%	12%	12%	17%	14%	13%	13%
6	7%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%
7	7%	8%	7%	10%	8%	10%	4%	7%

Statewide Elections - Archived Election History Data

Archived Latino Turnout											
Dist	10P	09S	08P	08S	06P	06G	05S	04P	04G	03s	02G
1	15%	13%	12%	21%	16%	19%	18%	13%	18%	18%	16%
2	58%	58%	54%	66%	64%	63%	65%	58%	61%	64%	63%
3	9%	8%	9%	13%	11%	11%	11%	8%	12%	10%	10%
4	6%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	5%	7%	6%	6%
5	19%	17%	19%	24%	24%	22%	24%	18%	22%	22%	23%
6	31%	28%	30%	47%	43%	41%	41%	31%	40%	38%	39%
7	36%	30%	30%	47%	39%	43%	44%	34%	43%	42%	41%

Archived Asian Turnout											
Dist	10P	09S	08P	08S	06P	06G	05S	04P	04G	03s	02G
1	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%
2	10%	12%	12%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	3%	6%
3	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%
4	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	1%	3%
5	11%	12%	12%	10%	10%	10%	10%	9%	11%	4%	8%
6	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%
7	6%	7%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	2%	4%