Document in Opposition to

Proposed Boarding House Ordinance: Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and City Council File Number 07-34-27

LA COUNCIL FILE 11-0762

and In Support of QUALITY SOBER LIVING HOMES

Page 1

We the undersigned oppose Ordinance CPC-2009-800-CA — Council File 07-34-27 as currently constituted, and find it to be discriminatory against Sober Living Homes and all group homes for persons with disabilities and to the families that such home help to create and maintain. We wish to bring to the attention of the members of the City Council the following:

Sober living homes and other group homes provide housing and supportive family environments and resources to people in recovery from addiction, with mental illness and other disabilities. Sober living has been an integral, clinical part of recovery for over 75 years.

As presently constituted, this ordinance will have a disparate impact on groups of disabled persons seeking to live with others like them in single family housing of "families of disabled persons living together in mutual support (Sober Living).". As residents of this City we are concerned that this ordinance puts the City at legal risk and, therefore, great financial risk.

This City cannot legally justify through state and federal case law the proposed redefinition of family, single housekeeping unit, and boarding house which this ordinance proposes that will severely restrict not only how persons with disabilities may live but how any group of unrelated people who wish to live together as a family can live together in low density residential areas, (zones R1, R2, RD). Furthermore, it should not be in the purview of this city to dictate how shared housing can be paid for, such as through multiple leases or other individual financial arrangements.

We ask you to recognize and value the many benefits sober living homes can and already have provided to the City including; reduced crime, reduced homelessness, reduced dependency on City and other public services, reduced family and neighborhood violence and other benefits. We also recognize that these benefits, unlike other social service programs, are provided at virtually no cost to the City and its residents.

We continue to challenge the City to provide any objective jurisdiction-wide objective evidence that such homes are a threat to public health and safety and more than other types of residences. NIMBY complaints of neighbors are politically compelling for elected officials but in no way constitute legitimate data.

By affixing our names to this document, we implore the City of Los Angeles to reject the proposed ordinance as presently constituted and to provide reasonable access to treatment and recovery for all families.

We the undersigned petitioners:

Your Name (Please Print or Write Legibly)	City/ZIP Code	Your Signature
1 FRANCOISE DEHANPE	LOS ANGELES/ 90008	M .
2 NICOUE DOULISON	LOS ANGELES (90008	The Selin
3 Traci Mantin	The whole per elound	Jon 2. Mich Jan
"Chanceller WAHON	Los Angeles 90043	Chanceller walk
STICKLE BENNETT	Los Angeles 90008	Vicke Benth
Eugma Minnicfield	LOS Angeles 90008	Espira Ministed
Aricia Bayare	5000p As	Santank.
* Marcia Arnold	Las Angeles 9000 %	Marcia arnold
La Donny Johnson	LosAngele	dalanc)
CHAYLES BYNDAN	L. A. CAX; 6. 90043	Charles Broken

2011-03-16 09:53

3232948390 >>

Document in Opposition to Proposed Boarding House Ordinance: Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and City Council File Number 07-34-27 and In Support of QUALITY SORED IN 1987

110	QUALITY SOBER LIVING	GHAMPO
12 Rence Hamilton	90016	
13 com Dose	90056	RENEE HAMIT
14 Joseph Bowyen	90019	- Bre
15 Katthat Indean	90008	ISON A
WILLIAM ROME	90045	- Javina Haden
Mont Smith	90008	William Brand
Cornel Jones	90037	Cipana
18 Willie Doroll	90043	Corner
19 Lean Butler	90019	Wille Davelo
20 Sim Bush	90008	Timothy Brooks
21 Vingo tee	90037	1/
Vicky Coc	90037	Virgil lee
23 Dave Pulkon	90043	The Bill
EMPEH JONE	90018	Cryst C. 1
Coburn Ross	90008	John Burn
16 Richard Cayetam	90047	a content
17		73.67
28		
9		
10		
1		