Document in Opposition to

Proposed Boarding House Ordinance: Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and City Council File Number 07-34-27

and In Support of QUALITY SOBER LIVING HOMES



Page 1

We the undersigned oppose Ordinance CPC-2009-800-CA — Council File 07-34-27 as currently constituted, and find it to be discriminatory against Sober Living Homes and to the families that such institutions help to create and maintain. We wish to bring to the attention of the City Planning Commission and the City Council the following:

Sober living homes provide housing and supportive family environments and resources to people in recovery from addiction. Sober living has been an integral, clinical part of recovery for over 75 years.

As presently constituted, this ordinance will have a disparate impact on groups of disabled persons, including those on parole and probation, seeking single family housing. We believe that this ordinance is supported by an illegitimate and discriminatory intent, ignoring fair housing and reasonable accommodation case law for "families of disabled persons living together in mutual support (Sober Living)".

This ordinance cannot justify its proposed redefinition of family, single housekeeping units, and reclassification of penal institutions which will severely restrict the way unrelated people can live together in low density residential areas, (zones R1, R2, RD) since the principal means for this type of shared housing is through multiple leases or other individual financial arrangements.

We, the undersigned agree with the findings of the 1997 American Planning Association, Policy Guide on Community Residences (1) which include:

- 1) Community residences (sober living homes) are a legitimate residential use of property, and are not boarding houses.
- 2) Community residences have no effect on the value of neighborhood properties, established by over 50 studies, even for immediately adjacent properties.
- 3) Community residences have no effect on neighborhood safety. In fact residents of group homes are far less likely to commit crimes than members of the general population.
- (1) American Planning Association Policy Guide on Community Residences, 1997 Adopted by Special Delegate Assembly, September 21, 1997; Ratified by Board of Directors, September 22, 1997. The full report can be downloaded at http://www.planning.org/policy/guides/adopted/commres.htm

We recognize and value the many benefits sober living homes can provide to the City including; reduced crime, reduced homelessness, reduced dependency on City and other public services, reduced family and neighborhood violence and other benefits. We also recognize that these benefits, unlike other social service programs, are provided at virtually no cost to the City and its residents.

By affixing our names to this document, we implore the City of Los Angeles to reject the proposed ordinance as presently constituted and to provide reasonable access to treatment and recovery for all families.

We the undersigned petitioners:

Your Name (Please Print or Write Legibly)	Home Town/Zip Code	Your Signature
1 CARLEEN GLASSE	LOS ANGELES, 90049	Carleen Glasser
2 Jean Marke	Culver Cty. 90230	Come Vandrie
3 Steeling Zielinski	Culva City 90230	String 2
4 Amn Marie Snith	Calver City 9,230	Annhain Sout
5 MARY TO THATCHEL	LOS ANGELES 90098	May & Male
e Construed Mopagas	LA 90066	
Lobert Halesty	L.A. 90045	Leberttera
	Ü	

Document in Opposition to

Proposed Boarding House Ordinance: Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and City Council File Number 07-34-27





Page 1

We the undersigned oppose Ordinance CPC-2009-800-CA — Council File 07-34-27 as currently constituted, and find it to be discriminatory against Sober Living Homes and to the families that such institutions help to create and maintain. We wish to bring to the attention of the City Planning Commission and the City Council the following:

Sober living homes provide housing and supportive family environments and resources to people in recovery from addiction. Sober living has been an integral, clinical part of recovery for over 75 years.

As presently constituted, this ordinance will have a disparate impact on groups of disabled persons, including those on parole and probation, seeking single family housing. We believe that this ordinance is supported by an illegitimate and discriminatory intent, ignoring fair housing and reasonable accommodation case law for "families of disabled persons living together in mutual support (Sober Living)".

This ordinance cannot justify its proposed redefinition of family, single housekeeping units, and reclassification of penal institutions which will severely restrict the way unrelated people can live together in low density residential areas, (zones R1, R2, RD) since the principal means for this type of shared housing is through multiple leases or other individual financial arrangements.

We, the undersigned agree with the findings of the 1997 American Planning Association, Policy Guide on Community Residences (1) which include:

- (sober living homes) are a legitimate residential use of property, and are not boarding houses.
- 2) Community residences have no effect on the value of neighborhood properties, established by over 50 studies, even for immediately adjacent properties.
- 3) Community residences have no effect on neighborhood safety. In fact residents of group homes are far less likely to commit crimes than members of the general population.
- (1) American Planning Association Policy Guide on Community Residences, 1997 Adopted by Special Delegate Assembly, September 21, 1997; Ratified by Board of Directors, September 22, 1997. The full report can be downloaded at http://www.planning.org/policy/guides/adopted/commres.htm

We recognize and value the many benefits sober living homes can provide to the City including; reduced crime, reduced homelessness, reduced dependency on City and other public services, reduced family and neighborhood violence and other benefits. We also recognize that these benefits, unlike other social service programs, are provided at virtually no cost to the City and its residents.

By affixing our names to this document, we implore the City of Los Angeles to reject the proposed ordinance as presently constituted and to provide reasonable access to treatment and recovery for all families.

We the undersigned petitioners:

Your Name (Please Print or Write Legibly)	Home Town/Zip Code	Your Signature
1 CARLEEN GLASSE	LOS ANGELES, 90049	Carleen Glasser
2 Jean Marke	Culver Cty, 90230	Colone Cachie
3 Sterling Zielinski	Culver City 90230	Study 2
4 Anna Marie Snith	Culver City 9,230	Asshar Smit
5 MARY TO THATCHER	LOS ANGELES 90098	May & Male
· Couriney Webston	LA 90066	
Lobert Fraleshy	L.A. 90045	Lebert Fora
	i i	

Document in Opposition to
Proposed Boarding House Ordinance:
Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and
City Council File Number 07-34-27
and In Support of
QUALITY SOBER LIVING HOMES

Page 3

	QUALITI SOBER EIVING	rage 3
Your Name (Please Print or Write Legibly)	Home Town/Zip Code	Your Signature
30 Marni byther	HB, CA	Municipalities
31 andy Dusts	WLV CA 91361	and Busto
32	B. 4 9020	Mator
33 BRIAN WEY	LA GOVER	Bur.
34 Ingrid Mathier	90019	Injudatole
35 Emily Pereina	90027	SPACE
36 Kerth Fierman	35213	to
37 Enricheth Rossotto	90210	2 Centro
39 Johnny Agary	84604	Refer
40 Kate Livingood	Vinice CA	Lato De
41 Paul Moss	COSTA MSKA, CA	A A B
12 Mistro Arron	Bow- Aus CA	6
43 Marie Many H	L.B. G.	all the state of t
44 Margallan	Los Mysses 160	49 Marcia Mille
	B.H. 90Z11	
45 Reza Nabavi	Santa Manica	1751
4 lex Grobet	Los Ameles 90046	(D) 2
Jace Howitz	WLA 90064	Horn
Kimberly James	Malibu 90265	Anggeles S. Janea
May Frickham	Loughach 90815	
50 ally an moring	LongBeach 9003	tilles of a
TYA LOSSOCIAL	mathe 9026\$	Jane

Document in Opposition to

Proposed Boarding House Ordinance: Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and City Council File Number 07-34-27



and In Support of OUALITY SOBER LIVING HOMES

Page 1

We the undersigned oppose Ordinance CPC-2009-800-CA — Council File 07-34-27 as currently constituted, and find it to be discriminatory against Sober Living Homes and to the families that such institutions help to create and maintain. We wish to bring to the attention of the City Planning Commission and the City Council the following:

Sober living homes provide housing and supportive family environments and resources to people in recovery from addiction. Sober living has been an integral, clinical part of recovery for over 75 years.

As presently constituted, this ordinance will have a disparate impact on groups of disabled persons, including those on parole and probation, seeking single family housing. We believe that this ordinance is supported by an illegitimate and discriminatory intent, ignoring fair housing and reasonable accommodation case law for "families of disabled persons living together in mutual support (Sober Living)".

This ordinance cannot justify its proposed redefinition of family, single housekeeping units, and reclassification of penal institutions which will severely restrict the way unrelated people can live together in low density residential areas, (zones R1, R2, RD) since the principal means for this type of shared housing is through multiple leases or other individual financial arrangements.

We, the undersigned agree with the findings of the 1997 American Planning Association, Policy Guide on Community Residences (1) which include:

- 1) Community residences (sober living homes) are a legitimate residential use of property, and are not boarding houses.
- 2) Community residences have no effect on the value of neighborhood properties, established by over 50 studies, even for immediately adjacent properties.
- 3) Community residences have no effect on neighborhood safety. In fact residents of group homes are far less likely to commit crimes than members of the general population.
- (1) American Planning Association Policy Guide on Community Residences, 1997 Adopted by Special Delegate Assembly, September 21, 1997; Ratified by Board of Directors, September 22, 1997. The full report can be downloaded at http://www.planning.org/policy/guides/adopted/commres.htm

We recognize and value the many benefits sober living homes can provide to the City including; reduced crime, reduced homelessness, reduced dependency on City and other public services, reduced family and neighborhood violence and other benefits. We also recognize that these benefits, unlike other social service programs, are provided at virtually no cost to the City and its residents.

By affixing our names to this document, we implore the City of Los Angeles to reject the proposed ordinance as presently constituted and to provide reasonable access to treatment and recovery for all families.

We the undersigned petitioners:

Your Name (Please Print or Write Legibly)	Home Town/Zip Code	Your Signature
1 CARLEEN GLASSE	LOS ANGELES, 90049	Carleen Glasser
2 Jean Madre	Culver Cty, 90230	Coone Vanhie
3 Steeling Zielinski	Culva City 90230	Strike 2
4 Anna Marie Snith	Calver City 9,230	Asse hair Truth
5 MARY TO THATCHEL	LOS ANGELES 90048	May & Molike
6 Court new Webster	LA 90066	Mary Contraction of the contract
Lobert Haleshy	L.A. 90045	Lebert Hallerky
	i	

Document in Opposition to
Proposed Boarding House Ordinance:
Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and
City Council File Number 07-34-27
and In Support of
QUALITY SOBER LIVING HOMES

Page 2

Your Name (Please Print or Write Legibly)	Home Town/Zip Code	Your Signature
8 Jun Cooldwith	Cas Argeles 90066	Colden
° Carlos Prazel	11500W. Olympic Blud ster 2012	(july)
10 Alexander Backer	Santa Monica, CA904	05 // //
11 Michael Campos	los Angles 90019	delic
Dougly Evans	LA 90035	Longlan Evan
My son Monroe	LA 90027	Y
Lynne Friedman Coff	Studio City 91604	LJuly SV
15 Jama Con	Santa Morrica, 90905	1000
16 Your Sove	W. Hollyword 90046	
Terry Krekowin	Berry his gozie	
Tame He Dillon	LOS Alambos (A 9072	o taues Loles
19 JEFF BALL	LOS ANDRIES, 90049	Men
20 Mark Hymor MD	Los Angeles 90048	
The mitani MD	Los Angeles 90048	
22 Meg Brent	Playa Del Roy 90	93 Magrent
23 Alana Hecker	Malibur 90264	algus Decker
24 michelle Hymon	MDR 90092	the
25 Terry ERGANAS	losdogien 90035	- Mr
26 DAMTHON Schille	TORRANG LA	109
Ronna Hoffman	Mazilou, CA	Roma Vollman
28 Alkn Dever	Los Angl-> CA 90001	
29 Jessica Fowler	Los Angeles, CA 90024	y fowlar

Document in Opposition to
Proposed Boarding House Ordinance:
Case Number CPC-2009-800-CA and
City Council File Number 07-34-27
and In Support of
QUALITY SOBER LIVING HOMES

Page 3

QUILLE BODIN II VIII GIOMIN 1 age 3		
Your Name (Please Print or Write Legibly)	Home Town/Zip Code	Your Signature
30 Marni byther	HB, CA	Mujlylee
andy Dusta	WLV CA 91361	and Busto
32	B. 4 90210	Mator
33 BRIAN DIEN	LA GOVER	fary.
34 Ingrid Mathien	90019	Ingidotote
36 11-11 Pereira	90027	CARTO
37 Kerth F.esman	35213	to
38 Erzabeth (Cossetto	90210	2 Cenotho
39 Bothany Avang	84604	Refer
Late Livingood	Vinice CAS	Later De
41 May Mass	COSTIA MESSA, CA	AAO
Mistro Stron	Bow Aus CA	
43 Man Alla	L.B. Gi	I AM I I A A
44 WWWALLES	Jos WYKUES 108	49 Much Mulo
45 Reza Nabavi	B.H. 90211	
46 M. A. Lala	Santa Manica	
47 JON GROWT	LOS HYMELES 900410	
Jace Howitz	WLA 90064	Al- (War)
Kimberly James	Malion 90265	Turgely S. Jana
50 and the Clerkan	Loke & ach 90815	Ethan Ost Co
50 aller an Growing	Longreach 9003	The level of
1 / Lossell	mathy 90268	Jegelle .