



Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

Fwd: Case File No. 11-0262

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>

Fri, Feb 3, 2012 at 1:40 PM

To: Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Rebecca Lobl <rebeccalobl@earthlink.net>

Date: Fri, Feb 3, 2012 at 1:39 PM

Subject: Case File No. 11-0262

To: sharon.gin@lacity.org

Dear Ms. Gin,

Please add the attached letter to the case file regarding the Community Care Facilities Ordinance, Case File No. 11-0262.

Thank you,

Rebecca Lobl

President

LA Coalition for Neighborhoods

www.LACoalition4Neighborhoods.org

From: [Lori Tilkin](mailto:Lori.Tilkin)

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2011 11:11 AM

To: rebeccalobl@earthlink.net

Subject: 8th District Care Facilities

Dear Rebecca,

I live at 54th and Victoria, just a few blocks east of West Avenue where there is at least one if not two Community Care Facilities/Boarding Houses around that area. We experience a lot of foot traffic walking up and down our street headed to a liquor store on Slauson or down 54th towards a liquor store on Crenshaw. We suspect they are purchasing liquor because of the brown paper bags that contain a bottle-shaped item they are grasping by its (bottle) neck. These folks are generally unkempt — scraggly -- and look almost homeless. Just on the corner of West and 54th a fight broke out between some 'residents' and a bus driver. We are guessing these folks referred to are residents of the facilities as they do not resemble the neighbors who own property in this area for sure. The

residents who own or rent in the area are far cleaner, more presentable and respect the environment in which they live.

As a resident of 8 years, it's frustrating to watch how the 8th District gets dumped on by the City all of the time. We are plagued with problems that you will not find in Beverly Hills, Santa Monica, Westchester or even Ladera Heights — certainly not in the neighborhoods the City Council members live (i.e., submerging the Crenshaw line until it hits our community; *one* specific plan ...). There seems to be a strong bias towards making areas that are lower income compared to the communities mentioned above a dumping zone or an area ignored and that is shameful.

Better regulations and restrictions are needed that if such facilities are going to enter into our communities, that they are monitored/supervised far better and more importantly, restricted in the number of facilities in a given area. I do not think more than ONE is appropriate for a 10-square mile radius.

Respectfully,

Lori deFelice



Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

Fwd: Case File No. 11-0262

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>

Fri, Feb 3, 2012 at 1:47 PM

To: Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Rebecca Lobl <rebeccalobl@earthlink.net>

Date: Fri, Feb 3, 2012 at 1:45 PM

Subject: Case File No. 11-0262

To: Sharon.Gin@lacity.org

Dear Ms. Gin,

Please add the attached letter to the case file regarding the Community Care Facilities Ordinance, Case File No. 11-0262.

Thank you,

Rebecca Lobl
President
LA Coalition for Neighborhoods
www.LACoalition4Neighborhoods.org

From: Rebecca Lobl

Sent: Friday, February 03, 2012 1:43 PM

To: Bernard Parks ; Purvi.Doshi@lacity.org

Subject: Fw: 5131 Chesley Ave (Community Care Facility) - from your constituent!

From: Pratt, Nicole

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2011 2:51 PM

To: rebeccalobl@earthlink.net

Subject: RE: 5131 Chesley Ave (Community Care Facility)

Hello Ms. Lobl,

My name is Nicole Pratt and my family and I reside at 5147 Chesley Avenue, La, 90043.

This email is in response to your request for 8th District residents to give input about the Community Care Facilities or specifically the Social Rehab on my block
(Residential Facility Address: 5131 Chesley Ave - Walker Board & Care).

Here's a list of various incidents which have occurred within the last 5- 10 years.

1. Multiple overdosed boarders have died inside and on the sidewalk (mainly drug overdoses) .
(The most disturbing case was when a man died because he ingested too much dirt and he expired on my neighbors front lawn.)
2. A former boarder of the property would trespass onto my property at late hours of the night seeking medical assistance because she wasn't banned from the facility.
3. Used drug needles, empty alcohol containers, condoms and cigarette buds on my property and sidewalk.
4. Loud noise at all hours of the night from collecting cans and drinking in front of their residence.
5. Sex offenders living in the facility without any notification to neighbors.
6. Live-in boarders were over capacity until Building & Safety Authorities were notified & they fined the owners.
7. A boarder walked up to my car (in my driveway) and he was hallucinating telling me "God sent him to me to save me from the Crows".

It's sad that we have to co-exist with such circumstances especially because we have 2 small children under five-years-old and I don't feel safe to let them outside to play. BUT, I pay plenty of taxes which support them and prisons more than public education.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me via email.

Thanks,

Nicole Pratt



Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 11-0-262, CPC 2009-800-CA

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>

Fri, Feb 3, 2012 at 1:52 PM

To: Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Rebecca Lobl <rebeccalobl@earthlink.net>

Date: Fri, Feb 3, 2012 at 1:50 PM

Subject: Council File 11-0-262, CPC 2009-800-CA

To: Sharon.Gin@lacity.org

Dear Ms. Gin,

Please add the attached letter to the case file regarding the Community Care Facilities Ordinance, Case File No. 11-0262.

Thank you,

Rebecca Lobl
President
LA Coalition for Neighborhoods
www.LACoalition4Neighborhoods.org

From: [Nan Waldman](mailto:Nan.Waldman)

Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2011 11:03 AM

To: Paul.Koretz@lacity.org

Subject: A Constituent in Support of Your Vote IN FAVOR OF Council File 11-0-262, CPC 2009-800-CA

Dear Councilmember Koretz:

As your constituent, I strongly urge you to support the proposed Community Care Facilities Ordinance.

Without such support, boarding houses will continue to be an unacceptable burden on

low density zones because they detrimentally alter the character of those residential neighborhoods zoned R-1, and contribute to blight.

At least, that is the case in the neighborhood where I live (within the Westwood South of Santa Monica Homeowner Association boundaries).

Boarding houses instead require placement in a transient zone for populations which are in fact transient. As such, they are much better suited to higher density zones, and not zones designated as R1.

I have note that these types of homes in particular are inappropriate in low density residential zones designed for more permanent living. Here's why: to preserve the neighborhood flavor of various parts of our City of Angels, where families, children and neighbors know each other and look after one another over many years, it is necessary to understand that zoning has its place in city planning and should be used and enforced appropriately and vigorously, without exception.

For example, in my neighborhood, more than one 'group home' ignores the landscape and aesthetic of the neighborhood. Homes on either side of such 'group homes' decline in relative value. Families do not want to live near such homes, as the transient population included within is not conducive to the 'family feeling' R1 neighborhoods are appropriately designed to foster.

The Ordinance drafted by the City Attorney is smart, balances all interests, and provides us with a thoughtful approach to maintaining the quality of life in the City's low density neighborhoods.

Do I have your support on this issue?

Sincerely,

Nan Waldman, Esq.
Educational Consultant
[1640 5th Street 1-A](mailto:nan@nanwaldman.com)
[Santa Monica CA 90401](mailto:nan@nanwaldman.com)
[\(323\) 515-2626](tel:(323)515-2626)
nan@nanwaldman.com

The information in this message may be private, proprietary and/or confidential, and protected from disclosure.

If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this private and confidential communication is strictly prohibited.

If you have received this communication in error, please notify N. Waldman, Esq. immediately by replying to this message and deleting it from your computer.