



Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

Fwd: In Support of Quality Sober Living Homes and Opposed to Proposed Brdng Hse Ordinance

1 message

Michael Espinosa <michael.espinosa@lacity.org>

Wed, Mar 23, 2011
at 4:57 PM

To: Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: LA County Sober Living Coalition CPC Petition <lacslccpetition@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Mar 23, 2011 at 4:30 PM

Subject: Fwd: In Support of Quality Sober Living Homes and Opposed to Proposed Brdng Hse Ordinance

To: michael.espinosa@lacity.org, Ed.Reyes@lacity.org, Paul.Krekorian@lacity.org, Jose.Huizar@lacity.org

From: Manny Rodriguez <mail@change.org>

Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 9:46 AM

To: lacslccpetition@gmail.com

We here in this Petition,

Oppose Ordinance CPC-2009-800-CA – Council File 07-34-27 as currently constituted, and find it to be discriminatory against Sober Living Homes and to the families that such institutions help to create and maintain. We wish to bring to the attention of the City Planning Commission and the City Council the following:

Sober living homes provide housing and supportive family environments and resources to people in recovery from addiction. Sober living has been an integral, clinical part of recovery for over 75 years.

As presently constituted, this ordinance will have a disparate impact on groups of disabled persons, including those on parole and probation, seeking single family housing. We believe that this ordinance is supported by an illegitimate and discriminatory intent, ignoring fair housing and reasonable accommodation case law for “families of disabled persons living together in mutual support (Sober Living)”.

This ordinance cannot justify its proposed redefinition of family, single housekeeping units, and reclassification of penal institutions which will severely restrict the way unrelated people can live

together in low density residential areas, (zones R1, R2, RD) since the principal means for this type of shared housing is through multiple leases or other individual financial arrangements. We, the undersigned agree with the findings of the 1997 American Planning Association, Policy Guide on Community Residences (1) which include:

- 1) Community residences (sober living homes) are a legitimate residential use of property, and are not boarding houses.
- 2) Community residences have no effect on the value of neighborhood properties, established by over 50 studies, even for immediately adjacent properties.
- 3) Community residences have no effect on neighborhood safety. In fact residents of group homes are far less likely to commit crimes than members of the general population.

(1) American Planning Association – Policy Guide on Community Residences, 1997 Adopted by Special Delegate Assembly, September 21, 1997; Ratified by Board of Directors, September 22, 1997 . The full report can be downloaded at <http://www.planning.org/policy/guides/adopted/commres.htm>

We recognize and value the many benefits sober living homes can provide to the City including; reduced crime, reduced homelessness, reduced dependency on City and other public services, reduced family and neighborhood violence and other benefits. We also recognize that these benefits, unlike other social service programs, are provided at virtually no cost to the City and its residents.

By affixing our names to this document, we implore the City of Los Angeles to reject the proposed ordinance as presently constituted and to provide reasonable access to treatment and recovery for all families.

Manny Rodriguez
Los Angeles, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/las-war-on-renters-opposed-to-boarding-house-proposal-and-supporting-quality-sober-living-homes. To respond, email responses@change.org and include a link to this petition.

From: Luis Lozano <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 9:57 AM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Luis Lozano
San Pedro, CA

From: Sandra Leon <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 10:06 AM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Sandra Leon

Chatsworth, CA

From: Michael Ray <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 10:11 AM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Michael Ray
Reseda, CA

From: DANIELLE MARAIO <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 11:55 AM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Good luck with this....it's so important =)

DANIELLE MARAIO
Lancaster, CA

From: nichole mallon <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 12:54 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

nichole mallon
reseda, CA

From: RJ Cardone <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 12:59 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

RJ Cardone
simi valley, CA

From: katelyn paredes <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 1:07 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

katelyn paredes

From: Michelle Youngberg <mail@change.org>

Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 1:09 PM

To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Michelle Youngberg
canoga park, CA

From: DEBORAH SALAS <mail@change.org>

Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 1:12 PM

To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

DEBORAH SALAS
DESERT HOT SPRINGS, CA

From: Alan Botelho <mail@change.org>

Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 1:16 PM

To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Alan Botelho
Winnetka, CA

From: Eloisa Pasion <mail@change.org>

Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 1:50 PM

To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Eloisa Pasion

From: Tamara Christian <mail@change.org>

Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 2:41 PM

To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Tamara Christian
Bakersfield, CA

From: Theresa Herbert <mail@change.org>

Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 2:58 PM

To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Theresa Herbert
Las Vegas, NV

From: Jody Jackson <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 3:27 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Jody Jackson
Los Angeles, CA

From: Crystal Kendall <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 3:28 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Crystal Kendall
North Hills, CA

From: peter justice <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 5:41 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

peter justice
canoga park, CA

From: Andrea Young <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 7:50 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

All well-run sober-living homes are barely noticed by the neighbors. Only poorly run Sober living homes are looked upon with disdain by the surrounding neighbors. I sympathize with them for their troubles. But truly, there are probably more homes with discord that disrupt neighborhoods on a whole than sober living homes in sheer quantity. If a house is not run well, they should be subject to the same rules and ordinances of any other residence. Again, if they follow rules, there is no problem with any sober living home in a residential neighborhood, single-family home or multi-unit alike.

Andrea Young
Woodland Hills, CA

From: Neva Stolte <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 8:50 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Neva Stolte
West Hills, CA

From: Donna I. <mail@change.org>
Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 8:55 PM
To: lacslccpcpetition@gmail.com

Crack down on the rogue homes instead of wiping them all out. We don't outlaw driving, but we do give speeding tickets right?

Donna I.
Tarzana, CA