



Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

---

## Fwd: Council File No. 11-0262 (CCFO)

1 message

---

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>  
To: Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 17, 2012 at 9:39 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Daphne Dionisio <daphne@glendale.edu>

Date: Fri, Apr 13, 2012 at 3:53 PM

Subject: Council File No. 11-0262 (CCFO)

To: [sharon.gin@lacity.org](mailto:sharon.gin@lacity.org), [councilmember.reyes@lacity.org](mailto:councilmember.reyes@lacity.org), [councilmember.englander@lacity.org](mailto:councilmember.englander@lacity.org), [councilmember.huizar@lacity.org](mailto:councilmember.huizar@lacity.org)

RE: Council File No. 11-0262 Community Care Facility Ordinance

Honorable Councilmembers Ed Reyes, Mitchel Englander, and Jose Huizar:

Community care facilities (e.g. elder/child/adult day care and residential group facilities) that serve 7 or more clients create detrimental effects on low-density residential areas (e.g. single family zones like R1 and R2) and therefore it is critical that any Community Care Facility Ordinance must NOT allow such facilities into any zone "by right". It is imperative that the ordinance requires facilities for 7+ to first have a public hearing and acquire a zoning variance if they intend to be in an R1 or R2 zone. The General Plan creates separate and distinct zones each with their own unique character, usage, and nature. Business enterprises that operate day programs or residential care for 7 or more clients are best located in multifamily or commercial zones, not in zones designed for homeowners of individual family houses.

Currently, there are multiple RA1 neighborhoods that are fighting to preserve their low-density neighborhoods against large community care facilities that are hurting/will hurt the surrounding properties' residential character, quiet enjoyment, and property value. In the Northridge South area, 75 homeowners in the semi-rural RA1 neighborhood called San Fernando Rurban Homes are passionately opposing an adult day care in the middle of the block that is requesting a zoning variance to expand their number of clients to 36. The homeowners of the neighborhood have expressed overwhelming opposition to the expansion in neighborhood

council and zoning administration hearings, letters to hearing officials, and signatures on a petition. In the Tarzana area, hundreds of homeowners in the two RA1 neighborhoods surrounding the Calvert Street site purchased by the developer of a 157-bed eldercare recently won their South Valley Area Planning Commission hearing against the eldercare developer. In the Woodland Hills' RA1 neighborhood of Walnut Acres, hundreds of homeowners awaiting the zoning administration hearing results regarding their opposition to a proposal for a 76-bed eldercare in their single family neighborhood. Homeowners in low-density residential areas understand the adverse impact that large community care facilities have in such zones. It is critical for any Community Care Facilities Ordinance to maintain the current Los Angeles Municipal Code requirement for a public hearing and zoning variance for large facilities in R1 and R2 zones.

Sincerely,

Dr. Daphne Dionisio  
Homeowner