		Date:	3/2	0)12_	······································
March 20, 2012	2	Submitted in PLAM Committee ement Committee Council File No: 11-0262			
To:	Planning & Land Use Management Committee	Council File	No:_	11-02	<u> 62</u>
	Ŭ U	Item No.:		(	····
Subject:	Community Care Facilities Ordinance			Perm	D. bicc

Case No. CPC-2009-800-CA / CEQA: ENV-2009-801-ND Council File No: 11-0262 – Community Care Facility Ordinance (CCFO)

The Community Care Facility Ordinance has been in the making for five years. What is now offered is not what Sunland-Tujunga or most Neighborhood Councils supported. It has been watered down to be non- effective and will not protect our neighborhoods or those in need of such services from being victimized by unscrupulous owners.

Now our State is releasing 20-40 thousand prisioners and they are coming to our neighborhoods, and yours! A protective law must be written now. Public Safety is our #1 priority and it should be yours.

You have had 5 years to study this issue! Don't turn your backs on us. It is imperative that we have your protection because parolee and probation houses are cropping up everywhere without supervision. Police say there are so many that they cannot keep track of them. There is no present law they can enforce to protect us, or even former prisoners, from being victims and it leaves us on our own.

A strong protective law must be enforced now; it cannot wait.

We want to you follow the lead of cities such as Colton, Fontana, Orange, Murietta, Riverside and San Diego who regulate Parolee Homes,

And most important, we want you to utilize the recommendations in the report by the City Attorney's office dated 9/13/11. It is the only way to protect our neighborhoods and the welfare of facility residents from being victimized by all unscrupulous owners.

Sincerely.

Tomi Lyn Bowling,

Vice President-Community Improvement

Love Lyn Boulen

Chair

Land Use Committee

Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council

ce: Paul Krekorian, Council District 2 Richard Alarcon, Council District 7

## **Other Cities that Regulate Parolee Homes**

Other cities have and so should the city of Los Angeles!

City	Boarding/Lodging	Parolee Probationer
Colton	3/2/10 Require CUP in Single,	No more than one federal, state
	Duplex, and Multiple Family	or youth authority parolee shall
	Zones. All boarding, rooming,	be allowed to live in a boarding,
	lodging houses shall require a	lodging, or rooming house.
	"Crime Free Lease Addendum"	
Fontana	Not permitted in areas zoned	Each parolee home is limited to
	single family (3 or more rooms).	maximum number of six. CUP is
	Requires CUP(3 or more rooms	required in all residential zones.
	in areas zoned multiple family	
Orange	Not permitted in R-1 Zones.	5-24-11. No more than one
	Permitted in R3 zones with CUP	parolee shall be allowed to live
		or reside in any single dwelling
		unit, hotel room or boarding or
	·	lodging house
Murrieta	2/6/07Rooming and Boarding	May be established only upon
	Houses – Included within the	approval of a conditional use
a.	definition are parole-probationer	permit for 6 or fewer occupants
	home and sober living home as	and shall be prohibited for more
	defined herein. Conditional Use	than 6 occupants subject to
	required in Single and Multi-	standards.
	Family Zones.	
Riverside	Boarding House(rented to 5 or	6/10/11 Interim Ordinance
	more individuals) not permitted	Prohibiting parolee-probationer
	in any zone except R-3.	Homes (residential building
		which houses two or more
		parolees-probationers)
San Diego	Rooming Houses are not	N/A
	permitted in Residential-Single	
	Unit Zones. Allowed in	
	Residential-Multiple Unit Zones.	
	Boarder or lodger	
	accommodations are permitted	
•	as limited use in Residential-	
	Single Unit Zones.	· .
City of San Bernardino	N/A	9/8/09 – San Bernardino adopts
•		new law to restrict parolee
		housing new group homes for
		parolees, probationers or sex
		offenders inside city limits