



Fwd: Upload docs to CF11-0923

2 messages

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org> To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org> Tue, Jun 18, 2013 at 12:14 PM

مىرى ب

------ Forwarded message ------From: Isabel Rojas-Williams <iwilliams@muralconservancy.org> Date: Sat, Jun 15, 2013 at 8:45 PM Subject: Upload docs to CF11-0923 To: Sharon Gin <<u>sharon.gin@lacity.org</u>>

Sharon,

Kindly upload the following documents (click twice) in the council file for the item CF11-0923? The attachment includes:

 Willie Herrón's brief about the meeting among Councilmember Englander, Willie Herrón (MCLA), and Isabel Rojas-Williams (MCLA), on 3/29/13
MCLA's position on Mural Ordinance Single Unit Residence Provision by MCLA's president Bill Lasarow and MCLA's executive director Isabel Rojas-Williams.

3. MCLA's signed petition gathered at MCLA's "Mural Ordinance Update" by CM Ed Reyes on 6/13/13 at Avenue 50 Studio in Highland Park. The petitions requests removal of: "No new Original Art Mural shall be placed on a single family residence,"B.7 from SEC.22.119. (page B-6).

Warmly, Isabel

Isabel Rojas-Williams Executive Director Mural Conservancy of Los Angeles (MCLA) iwilliams@muralconservancy.org 155 W. Washington Blvd., Suite G-1, Los Angeles, CA 90015 Office: 213-291-6900 | Fax:213-291-9664 | Cell: 626-278-0761 Isabel Rojas-Williams Executive Director Mural Conservancy of Los Angeles (MCLA) iwilliams@muralconservancy.org 155 W. Washington Blvd., Suite G-1, Los Angeles, CA 90015 Office: 213-291-6900 | Fax:213-291-9664 | Cell: 626-278-0761

MCLA R1 petition and position.pdf 24115K

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org> To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

MCLA R1 petition and position.pdf 24115K

Tue, Jun 18, 2013 at 12:15 PM

1.57

Letter Regarding Meeting with 12th District Councilmember, Mitchell Englander

March 29, 2013

To: The Mural Conservancy of Los Angeles (MCLA), Central City Action Committee,

United Painters and Public Artist, Mictlan Murals, Venice Arts Council, Tanner

Blackman

From: William F. Herron III

Re: Meeting with 12th District Councilmember, Mitchell Englander, regarding

Proposed Mural Ordinance from 01.15.13

Dear Associates,

On Tuesday, March 27, 2013, at 3pm, a meeting with Councilmember Mitchell Englander was arranged by executive director, Isabel Rojas-Williams of MCLA to discuss the 01.15.13 proposed Mural Ordinance. Attending with Englander was Deputy Phyllis Winger, 12th District Chief Planning and Land Use, Isabel Rojas-Williams, Mural Conservancy of Los Angeles and William F Herron, Director/Co-Founder of Conservancy of Urban Art.

Mrs. Rojas-Williams and I, William F. Herron III raised the following concerns:

1. If decorative art murals (not defined by content) on exterior walls of a single family unit or zone become illegal in all 15 Districts, the following and existing walls will have to be painted out erased or removed by property owner (@ owner's expense), if property owner does not comply after a city citation is served (additional work for city employees) city graffiti abatement units will have to bear the extra work load @ tax payer's expense.

a. All existing fences, low walls, surrounding property line walls, alleyways, front court yards and entry's, car ports, garage doors and retainer walls with decorative art murals, vines and community beautification graffiti deterrent images or designs.

b. All walls with existing decorative art murals not grandfathered, vintage or in registered status.

c. All existing walls with decorative art mural finishes including all faux finishes, all tile borders, stone and sculpted rock wall reliefs, wall fountains and wall garden décor, venetian wall plaster or stucco finishes, decorative wall ageing and all wall trompe l'oeil.

2. The annual budgets for the 15 districts will have to

increase in order to implement the removal of a, b, c and the removal of graffiti and vandalism that will be provoked following the decorative art mural removals, in particular, walls with easy street access will attract additional unwanted vandal graffiti.

In conclusion, in order to avoid increasing taxes and in the immediate future, saving millions in tax payer dollars, Mrs. Rojas-Williams and I recommended allowing decorative wall murals on a single family unit or zone, classifying existing decorative wall murals as Vintage (for Grandfathering status), and exempting them from a registration fee. Also, upon the effective date of the new mural ordinance, all new decorative wall murals will have to comply with the new regulations, registration, and fees.

William F. Herron III

Conservancy of Urban Art

Mural Graffiti Abatement Technician

June 13th, 2013



MCLA on Mural Ordinance Single Unit Residence Provision

The constitutional rights of private property and freedom of speech and expression are properly upheld by the proposed Art Mural Ordinance as written, and the right of objection and redress on the part of individuals and local communities are preserved. Indeed, prior to its January revision to eliminate a restriction on residential properties based on the number of units, the draft ordinance was on a track towards constitutional self-destruction based on real history. Commercial billboard companies successfully sued the City a decade ago on essentially these grounds, resulting the creation of new murals essentially grinding to a halt. Among other considerations, MCLA supports an ordinance that is crafted so as withstand such Constitutional challenges and is already on record as opposing one that invites being overturned by the courts.

The Fifth Amendment's "Just Takings" clause broadly protects citizens' private property from seizure by the government without "just compensation." It is not the private use of private property that is subject to the careful and limited use of regulation, it is the public use of private property.

In the case of a dispute between two residential property owners, is it the homeowner's right to modify their property or their neighbor's wish to prevent such modification that should hold sway? The long established right of local government to establish specific zoning regulations suggests that there are limits to the former; but the desire by the latter to impose either individual case or categorical restrictions based on personal taste or general speculation as to potential problems is insufficient to pass a Constitutional smell test. This is the so-called right of "sole dominion" that is long recognized as the basis by which we may exclude others, even neighbors, from imposing their will upon how we enjoy our property.

It is one thing for neighbors to air and negotiate potential differences of taste and opinion through free and open discussion; the option to resort to the courts to resolve disputes is always going to be there. The burden of proof is clearly NOT on the property owner but on the party objecting to how they use their own property. It is another thing entirely to call upon the government to categorically restrict the free use of private property so as, in this instance, to incidentally eliminate the vast majority of cases in which the embellishment of Art Murals is non-controversial or welcome. To mandate that is to shift the burden of proof onto the property owner and, more, to simply deny the property owner that discretionary right.

The fear of illegal activity by criminal gangs that has been expressed is frivolous. Permitting property owners to embellish their own property with Art Murals by ordinance in fact safeguards all of us from exactly such activity. MCLA stands firmly against graffiti vandalism, often perpetrated against legitimate public art, and the draft ordinance provides precisely the tools needed to combat such abuse. When there is genuine MISuse, and the element of risk is introduced, if there might be physical damage done to a neighbor's property, the new ordinance offers a firm foundation to redress such legitimate grievance. MCLA therefore calls upon the city to stand by the present language of the ordinance on this basis alone. But it is not the only compelling foundation.

The First Amendment's provision that "Congress shall make no law ... abridging the freedom of speech ..." may be our most fundamental right. To render it illegal for property owners to add Art Murals to their own property eliminates this basic right for both the property owner and the artist whom they wish to commission. The same point made already applies even more emphatically in the context of the First Amendment. The new ordinance does not eliminate or even restrict the rights of neighbors to seek to negotiate or redress a potential grievance; while to revise it to categorically eliminate Art Murals from single-family residences is to state that the First Amendment is not applicable in such a case. The same implications as have been stated follow, but in bold face and with capital letters.

MCLA recognizes that some individuals may not like Art Murals in some cases or perhaps ever. As a public advocate for the preservation and documentation of public Art Murals MCLA does not share this hostility, and for the most part we do not even understand it (that feeling may be mutual), but we stand by the right of these people to their opinions. The new Art Mural ordinance does not abrogate let alone ban the rights of these citizens. nor of specific neighborhoods to exercise long established rights to impose local regulations. But for any individual or group to impose their own preferences and fears, real or imagined, on the many citizens who love public Art Murals and benefit from their creation and presence in Los Angeles is morally wrong and flies in the face of our most fundamental laws and traditions.

Bill Lasarow ArtScene / Visual Art Source (213) 482-4724 artscene@artscenecal.com / billl@visualartsource.com http://artscenecal.com / http://www.visualartsource.com http://www.artscenevisualradio.com

Isabel Rojas-Williams Executive Director Mural Conservancy of Los Angeles (MCLA) (213)291-6900 Mural Conservancy of Los Angeles (MCLA) iwilliams@muralconservancy.org



PETITION

To The Los Angeles City Council:

CF 11-0923 / MURAL ORDINANCE

WE the undersigned petition the City Council of Los Angeles as follows:

Mural Ordinance CF 11-0923: remove "No new Original Art Mural shall be placed on a single family

residence,"B.7 from SEC.22.119. (page B-6) to allow murals on single family residences.

NAME	ADDRESS	EMAIL.	PHONE	SIGNATURE
Kiishy Sandoval	Nateria Ca 91331 5 13656 Reminator St.?	kristysan&gnail.com	818-349-5lave4	NAS I
2 1 -1	124802 Baltimore Jot			Abuddind 1
Will HERPONITE	1550 NeGilveey 14 c4 20063	AVE WILLMHERPONG	Hormsillour	WETH
Doreenthinor	1550 McGilvey	u dduran 2301 c	0m	Owreemmina
Jove Muce	ANS S. CLONERDOANS AND #6 LA. 9036	domillerelenteron		- Milline -
RANI de VERN		ranideleone Grail.con		Rh
Sonji M.		Fisused Elying	e, 15%~ ·	$s \rightarrow \cdot$
155 W	/ Washington Blvd., Suite www.muralconservancy.o	G-1, Los Angeles, CA 9 rg www.facebcok.com/n		20

3	Heinoutin Luna	Nicole - Possent	1	FRANK	MULLIGAN	Margaret	Rich-Rama	Limon	CEWERA CAS TELLANDS	ALEX woop/corp	
155 W Washington Blvd., Sulte G-1, Los Angeles, CA 90012 www.muralconservancy.org www.facebook.com/muralo	131 N. Ave 50 12.A NA 90042	124 Roselawn PI	1111 Pagada Place Los Anceles 70051	2028 Workenan St 20-CA 90031	STINI AVE 50	1270 N. 4veso	LA Jeod UT	Yang Na aka Las Anjelis			i
te G-1, Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213); org www.facebook.com/muralconservancy	hLuna 2525 @		~ >	<i>. 1</i>	cathinelaaute	Arroyo SJ @yours.com		UARIVERCATZ @Yahoo.com	Ogmail.com	alexativ-olheade gnail.com	
90012 (213) 291 -6900 /muralconservancy	2020 125	-6625 528	323-226-0017	323	502 b. 282 E28			625) (225)	223)810-0175		į
	Hilma	nice yo		7202	anonia	Marauet		1. He	Oh- Ctoto-	Mudda	

COCX U LUNA NAME JOH KAN UTONHT (JILANNA ORINZ BOB N. SAMING & GTORINZ (HOMMIL) (323)455-7048 425 A アドメ Sand From C Marinez WACKY AYA'S Nora 155 W Washington Blvd., Suite G-1, Los Angeles, CA 90012 378 N Ooyalas ADDRESS 40032 S. Mott (or awate Cille YOON Campus Rd. VGOB CAMPUS ROAD LA 90021 21-C-HINA AVC 121 S.HIMARIE 4 A. 90042 @ Yahoo, Com (323) 219-9 24 5656 FAYERTEST Joe Brave 60 www.muralconservancy.org www.facebook.com/muralconservancy Randony So Steven Longton 16 Value ST -MACKS SAUKare digene (hipen 5Abbath not dogs af morion Jay 11 @ CXy. edu floregeory edu (1013) S87200 EMAIL MISC (JANVASCO) GWAL COM (Ens/2007) <u>882-325-9588</u> 213 377-6125 64-4168483 211-285-PHONE 202 412-1202 (213) 291 -6900 SIGNATURE 1 JX N 2. P. W. marche Myny

SARAH MEACHAM NAME JAN LOAN HUMAN K. Callens NCANN ALC BY Liber Rominia 12127 El Sta Hubler (2) million CALLONG CHAISTRASEN NARBEN NW T N (SAFARO USS/ Marting the ins 155 W Washington Blvd., Suite G-1, Los Angeles, CA 90012 597 ALE # 6 6.9. 90036 THA S. BOY & MICHANIMIALSO \$23. AISS. CLOVERDALE SMEACHAME BOD SAN GARPON INT PARS OCAME 2631/2 Cullens 22401 Mertopy ADDRESS 131 N. AW. SO ANNC CORANA. (14:928 80-935 W.AVE 37 Mr. Ju (A 9330) art they arst www.muralconservancy.org www.facebook.com/muralconservancy R xx triverdo いようと W677 54 RS CI @ Ruch (man) com Jmart1137@ cm (818)642-3324 micah-bachtral. avenue so stulia 1323/25-1435 Aleccroppin (Sur) 315-0588 Map - Showahad gran . com EMAIL gura residencias@ MNRPNO WQ. ~ (at Cal 324 8 18 Apple Cast 310 428-2953 213-570-3400 818.4063768 678502841 PHONE 323-2224035 (213) 291 -6900 SIGNATURE All way has

NAME ADDRESS EMAIL PHONE SIGNATURE 4952 La Catandria Do David Botello artedavidesto datal 328 225 7595 L.A. CA9032 4022N.Figueroa, Magpie-Ga Margaret 323)243-4513 Milella, Laybox L.A. 90065 engagemilt@ 1372 Nedarst 3239542955 LA 90041 2021 E. 2nd 54 (323) 5941177 Juan Ruvalcaba Los Aug tles CA 90063 J. Eruvalcaba Q Yahoo com 1 WILLION CO HURDA (213) 291-6900 ITT W. WATHARTS 13ABEL ROJET-WILLIAM Whenhor, one C-A Costor lan Robertson-Sal 1roberson@ (213) 291-6900 155 W. Washing to. mound conservance, or Whed Surve on 19 (pt), (still - ct) c) (still KANGTWAR 155 W. Washington Blue, Sut-One 109-2037 Kent Twitchell YAHOO, COM 22, CA 90015 155 W Washington Blvd., Suite G-1, Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 291 -6900 www.muralconservancy.org www.facebook.com/muralconservancy