OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Subject:	NEW NORTHEAST POLICE STATION								
Reference:									
From:	Miguel A. Santana, City Administrative Officer Muyl	4. Jatar							
То:	The Council								
Date:	June 10, 2011	CAO File No. Council File No. Council District:	0220-03746-0075						

SUMMARY

المراق المتحجم

2000

On May 26, 2011, the Proposition Q Administrative Oversight Committee (AOC) approved a new Northeast Police Station administration building at the site of the existing station at 3353 North San Fernando Road. The estimated cost of the project is \$29.45 million to be paid from Proposition Q General Obligation Bond (\$28.45 million) and Police Forfeited Assets monies (\$1.0 million). Mayor and Council approval of the new project is now requested.

The Proposition Q Bond Program was approved by the voters on March 5, 2002. It provided \$600 million to build and renovate public safety facilities throughout the City. The City has successfully completed all 11 originally authorized Police projects. In April, 2009, the Mayor and Council acted to authorize a Phase II to the Program to: 1) add additional scope to the Police Academy Renovation; 2) renovate the Old Rampart Station for the Metro Division; and, 3) renovate the Northeast Police Station (C.F. 09-0698). In addition to authorizing a Proposition Q Phase II program, the Mayor and Council action recognized a revised Program Budget of \$694 million, to reflect interest earnings accrued in previous years.

The authorized budget for the Northeast Police Station renovation was \$7.51 million. The scope of the renovations included HVAC, electrical and fire/life safety systems upgrades, security system installation, office and lobby space remodeling, parking lot resurfacing, and demolition of a building adjacent to the existing station.

The Proposition Q AOC placed the renovation project on hold in October, 2009 at the request of the Police Department. The Police Department indicated that it wanted to explore whether sufficient funds could be identified to build a new police station on-site.

The City acquired the current building around 1982 and the 41,000 square foot facility has been occupied by the Police Department since 1983. It was previously used as a photo/film processing facility. For some time, the Police Department has indicated that the building floor plan is not efficient for police station operations given that the building was not designed for that purpose. Further, the Police Department indicated that a number of employees working at the facility complained of unpleasant odors. It should be noted that studies were performed at different times, in past years, by the Personnel Department and a private firm that found trace amounts of materials such as acetone, hydrocarbons, cyanide, lead, silver and pesticides present, but not at levels considered harmful by EPA standards.

PUBLIC WORKS JUN 1 4 2011 Police station projects constructed through the Proposition Q Program typically include an administration building, vehicle maintenance facility and a parking structure. The inclusion of all elements would increase the costs to about \$48 to \$49 million. Since there are insufficient funds to include all three elements, the Police Department indicates that the construction of only an administration building is acceptable and that it will defer construction of a vehicle maintenance facility and parking structure until such a time that it becomes financially feasible.

The new administration building will be about 45,000 square feet in size and be modeled on the new Olympic Station. The \$29.45 million cost of the project will be paid from \$28.45 million in currently identified Proposition Q funds and \$1.0 million in Police Forfeited Assets monies (\$0.50 million in 2011-12 and \$0.25 million respectively in 2012-13 and 2013-14). The \$29.45 million budget includes a project contingency of \$2.3 million (see attachment for detail).

The Proposition Q Bond Program currently has a program contingency of \$5.0 million. Additional monies are available within Mayor and Council control as secondary sources for contingencies. For example, the Proposition Q program is generating about \$100,000 per month in interest and is expected to continue accruing at these levels for at least the next two years for an expected accrual of more than \$2.0 million over that time period. Finally, it is expected that savings from the 1989 Police Facilities Bond measure of about \$1.0 million may become available for unanticipated Proposition Q-related needs as the Police Scientific Investigation Division Tech Lab project at Piper Technical Center approaches completion in late summer, 2012.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Council, subject to the approval of the Mayor, approve a new Northeast Police Station administration building using \$28.45 million in Proposition Q General Obligation Bond funds and \$1.0 million in Police Forfeited Assets monies.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

There is no General Fund impact to construct the building. The new Northeast Police Station administration building will be funded by Proposition Q General Obligation Bond (\$28.45 million) and Police Forfeited Assets (\$1.0 million) monies. Operation and maintenance costs are not known but are not expected to be significant given that this is a replacement station.

MAS:RAS:05110048

Attachment

NORTHEAST AREA POLICE STATION - ADMIN BUILDING ONLY

ATTACHMENT

- ----

Project Work	Unit Price/	Quantity/	Units/	Land	Construction	Design	Construction	Total Line	
Description	Multiplier	Amount	Mult. Desc.	Acquisition	Costs	Phase	Phase	Cost	Key Info/Assumption
AND ACQUISITION	waanna an	and developing the state			Sherry States and States				······································
L1 Land Purchase Amount	\$0	0.00	sf (Site)	\$0	-	-	-	\$0	Land Area
2 Acquisition Costs - Purch. Price Related [1]	10.00%	\$0	Land Acq.	\$0		\$0	-	\$0	
.3 Acquisition Costs - Labor Related [2]	1.00%	\$0	Land Acq.	\$0	-	\$0	-	\$0	2.8 acres
Subtotal Land Acquisition				\$0	den se a statistic	\$0	n henricht och sin henrichte eine Kannen henrichte beschieft einen sin	\$0	121,968 sf
CONSTRUCTION COST	 Andre Barrier, Sense Stranders, et al. Andre Stranders, Stranders, et al. 							 Comparison and State (199) Comparison and State (199) 	
C1 Site Development/Soil Remediation	\$30	122,000	sf (Site)	-	\$3,660,000	-	+	\$3,660,000	Buildings
C2 Off-Site Development	20.00%	\$3,660,000	Site Dev	-	\$732,000	-	-	\$732,000	Administration Building
C3 Administration Building	\$300	45,000	gsf	-	\$13,500,000	-	-	\$13,500,000	45,000 gsf
C4 Parking Structure	\$54	0	gsf	-	\$0	·	-	\$0	Jail Facility (60 Beds)
C5 Vehicle Maintenance Building	\$310	0	gsf	-	\$0	-	-	\$0	- gsf
									Auto Service Facility
Subtotal Construction Cost	Mandalari Artika				\$17,892,000		ese esta esta esta esta esta esta esta e	\$17,892,000	0 gsf
DESIGN SERVICES	water and the second second		West States industry	Salaria (Sector Sectors	Content of the second		Total Building Area
D1 Design Services	8.00%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost		~	\$1,431,360	*	\$1,431,360	45,000 gsf
D2 Permits & Fees (incl Art)	3.50%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost	-		\$626,220	-	\$626,220	
									Parking Structure
D4 Project Management	1.00%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost	-		\$178,920	-	\$178,920	0 Space
D5 Design Support Services	0.25%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost	-	-	\$44,730	-	\$44,730	0 gsf
D6 Other City Dept's [3]	0.75%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost	-++	-	\$134,190	-	\$134,190	
Subtotal Design Services	空空空 法国际管理			ang ang ang pang pang pang pang pang pan	September 1997	\$2,415,420	CAR BARANCE	\$2,415,420	Total Structure Area
CONSTRUCTION SERVICES/INSPECTION			建酸盐素的现象	你的问题。"王·尔姆					(Buildings + Parking)
S1 Construction Mgmt	6.50%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost		-	-	\$1,162,980	\$1,162,980	45,000 gsf
S2 Construction Inspection [4]	6.00%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost	-	-	-	\$1,073,520	\$1,073,520	
S3 Voice/Data Technology [5]	2.00%			-	-	-	\$357,840	\$357,840	Cost Per Struct. gsf
S4 Furniture Fixture & Equipment	\$0	45,000	sf Bldg Area	+	-	-	\$0	\$0	Land \$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A Balatak Buranan							Const \$39
S6 Project Management	1.00%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost			-	\$178,920	\$178,920	Design Svcs \$5
S7 Other City Dept's [3]	0.50%	\$17,892,000	Const Cost	-		-	\$89,460	\$89,460	Const Svcs \$6
Subtotal Construction Services/Inspection		CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR		an an an Arth	· 建建合金	والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	\$2,862,720		Subtotal \$51
SUBTOTAL				\$0			\$2,862,720		Contingency \$5
CONTINGENCIES [6]	10%			\$0	\$1,789,200	\$241,542	\$286,272	\$2,317,014	Subtotal \$56
SUBTOTAL - PRESENT DAY TOTAL COST				\$0.500 \$0	\$19,681,200	\$2,656,962	\$3,148,992	\$25,487,154	Escalation \$8
ESCALATION	and the second second			and a state of the					Projected \$65
E1 Number of Years of Escalation	A STATE OF A	Second States of States		1.0	.3.6	1.0	3.6		
E2 Annual Escalation Percentage		and the second	an and a straight state in the state of the	1.0%	5.0%	3.0%	3.0%	Andrea Martine Construction	
E3 Total Escalation Percentage	and a static	and the state		1.0%	18.0%	3.0%	10.8%		
E4 Escalation Amount	- All the second second	and the second second	and an	\$0	\$3,542,616	\$79,709	\$340,091	\$3,962,416	
PROJECTED TOTAL COST	U.S.S. Sterritoria	BARAN BARAN	Saver and the realizable	\$0	\$23,223,816	\$2,736,671	\$3,489,083	\$29,449,570	

· ·

Notes

[1] Includes All Dept's (Client, CAO, ITA, and Accounting) except BOE and ITA Voice Data

[2] Construction Inspection Inclues 5% for Inspection and 1% for Material Testing

[3] Voice/Data Technology Includes ITA Staffing

[4] Contingencies are for Unanticipated Design and Construction Changes, (i.e. Code Changes, Unforseen Site Conditions, etc.) as well as for Variances from Assumptions (escalation factors, real estate prices, construction unit prices, etc.) CONSt

 Const
 \$17,892,000

 ODC
 \$626,220

 Subtotal
 \$19,949,580

 Prgm Costs
 \$3,220,560

 Contingency
 \$2,317,014

 Escalation
 \$3,962,416

 Subtotal
 \$9,499,990

 TOTAL
 \$29,449,570

\$0

\$1,431,360

Budget Summary

Land

Design