1600 W

1 JML LAW COUNTY OF CALIFORNIA A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 2 21052 OXNARD STREET WOODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA 91367 SEP 18 2013 3 Tel: (818) 610-8800 Fax: (818) 610-3030 4 JOSEPH M, LOVRETOVICH, STATE BAR NO. 73403 D. AARON BROCK, STATE BAR NO. 241919 BY L. JOHNSON, DEPUTY 5 BRADLEY J. BENHAM, STATE BAR NO. 277327 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 JANE DOE, an individual, Case No .: 11 BC521591 Plaintiff, 12 91367 13 . VS. 5941 Variel Avenue 1. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION Woodland Hills, CA 14 OF THE FEHA; CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a 15 governmental entity; 2. HARASSMENT IN VIOLATION OF JOHN LEE, an individual; and THE FEHA; 16 DOES 1-50, inclusive, 17 3. RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF Defendants. THE FEHA; 18 4. FAILURE TO PREVENT IN 19 VIOLATION OF THE FEHA; and 20 5. WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN 21 VIOLATION OF THE FEHA. 22 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 23 24 Õ 25 Plaintiff JANE DOE hereby brings her employment complaint against the about 26 27 Defendants and states and alleges as follows: 28 \odot خيب COMPLAINT (\downarrow)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

13

5 ₁₄

Woodland Hills.

4

 $\langle \chi \rangle$

THE PARTIES

- At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff JANE DOE was a resident of the State of California. Plaintiff was a victim of sexual harassment. She, therefore, files this complaint as "Jane Doe" to protect her identity in this public filing.
- At all times mentioned herein, Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES was a
 California governmental entity. At the time the causes of action arose, Defendant CITY OF LOS
 ANGELES was Plaintiff's employer.
- 3. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant JOHN LEE was a resident of the State of California. At the time the causes of action arose, Defendant JOHN LEE was Plaintiff's supervisor at Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES.
- 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of DOES 1 through 50 are unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues these defendants under said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the defendants named as a Doe defendant is legally responsible in some manner for the events referred to in this Complaint, is either negligently, willfully, wantonly, recklessly, tortiously, strictly liable, statutorily liable or otherwise, for the injuries and damages described below to this Plaintiff. Plaintiff will in the future seek leave of this court to show the true names and capacities of these Doe defendants when it has been ascertained.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each defendant acted in all respects pertinent to this action as the agent of the other defendants, carried out a joint scheme, business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and the acts of each defendant are legally attributable to the other defendants.
- Hereinafter in the Complaint, unless otherwise specified, reference to a Defendant or
 Defendants shall refer to all Defendants, and each of them.

ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff was employed by Defendant City of Los Angeles from on or about February
 2012 until on or about April 19, 2013.

Woodland Hills, CA

- 8. Plaintiff worked as a Field Deputy for Defendant City of Los Angeles
 Councilmember Mitchell Englander, Council District 12. She was a good employee who
 performed her job duties in an exemplary fashion.
- 9. Throughout Plaintiff's employment, Defendant John Lee, the chief of staff of Councilmember Englander's District 12 office, repeatedly made inappropriate and offensive jokes and comments of a sexual nature in front of and directed to Plaintiff. Among other things, Defendant Lee questioned Plaintiff about her sex life, and repeatedly asked if Plaintiff's older boyfriend could "get a hard on," referring to him as "ED" (erectile dysfunction). Defendant Lee also commented on Plaintiff's body and asked if she was bulimic. In addition, Defendant Lee made jokes to Plaintiff about her "making out" with other female office staff, and told her, "I know you want me."
- 10. The sexual comments were rampant and they encouraged other employees to engage in similar inappropriate behavior. For instance, on one occasion, Plaintiff caught a co-worker looking up Plaintiff's skirt while she was walking up a flight of stairs. Instead of reprimanding the employee, Defendant Lee broke out in laughter.
- 11. Plaintiff frequently complained that the sexual comments and jokes were inappropriate but Defendant Lee never stopped engaging in the offensive behavior. Instead, Defendant Lee patronized Plaintiff and accused her of overreacting.
- 12. In addition to this harassment, Defendants also discriminated against Plaintiff on account of her sex and/or gender. Plaintiff was paid less than her male colleagues. She was also not allowed to go to many business functions because "females were not allowed."
- 13. Then, in 2013, Plaintiff asked to apply to be a Public Safety Deputy. Defendant Lee refused to even consider Plaintiff because he would only hire a white male for the position. Defendant Lee told Plaintiff that could not hire her because the public demanded a male for the position and Plaintiff was just a "petite pretty girl." In fact, he told her that he was unsure what kind of jobs Plaintiff could do because of her sex and/or gender.
- 14. Plaintiff complained to Councilmember Englander about the discriminatory behavior. In response, the Councilmember questioned whether Plaintiff only wanted to be a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

61.367 1367

Š 14

Woodland Hills,

 $\langle \chi \rangle$

Public Safety Deputy so she could walk into the fire stations and be naked in front of the male firefighters, and made other inappropriate comments. After these comments, Plaintiff knew the discriminatory and harassing conduct was so engrained within District 12 staff that it would never stop. Therefore, Plaintiff had no choice but to constructively terminate her employment to end the harassing and discriminatory conduct.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

15. On September 3 and 6, 2013, Plaintiff filed charges with the State of California,
Department of Fair Employment and Housing. The Department of Fair Employment and
Housing closed Plaintiff's case in order to allow Plaintiff to pursue her civil remedies under the
Fair Employment Housing Act ("FEHA") and issued Plaintiff a right to sue letter the same day.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA

(Against Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES and DOES 1-50)

- 16. Plaintiff restates and incorporates by this reference as if fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint.
- 17. At all times herein mentioned, California Government Code § 12940 et seq., the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"), was in full force and effect and was binding on Defendants, as Defendants regularly employed five or more persons.
- 18. California Government Code § 12940(a) requires Defendants to refrain from discriminating against any employee on the basis of sex and/or gender.
- 19. Defendants engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of the FEHA by discriminating against Plaintiff on account of her sex and/or gender.
- 20. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without limitation, loss of salary and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in her field and damage to his professional reputation, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amounts as damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3287 and/or § 3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CA 91367 13

Woodland Hills,

 (\cdot)

- 21. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress, humiliation, mental anguish and embarrassment, as well as the manifestation of physical symptoms. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that she will continue to experience said physical and emotional suffering for a period in the future not presently ascertainable, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial.
- 22. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has been forced to hire attorneys to prosecute his claims herein, and has incurred and is expected to continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs in connection therewith. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under California Government Code § 12965(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

HARASSMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA

(Against ALL Defendants)

- 23. Plaintiff refers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22, inclusive, and incorporates each by reference as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 24. The FEHA protects all individuals from harassment based on sex and/or gender. The actions by Defendants, as detailed above, constitutes harassment.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has and will continue to suffer severe mental anguish and emotional distress in the form of anger, anxiety, embarrassment, headaches, humiliation, loss of sleep, confidence, self-esteem and general discomfort; will incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health care professionals, and other incidental expenses; suffer loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 26. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without limitation, loss of salary and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in her field and damage to his professional reputation, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff

29£1 13

Woodland Hills. CA

 (\mathcal{A})

claims such amounts as damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3287 and/or § 3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

27. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has been compelled to retain legal counsel, and is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, pursuant to Government Code §§ 12940, 12965 subdivision (b).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA

(Against Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES and DOES 1-50)

- 28. Plaintiff refers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive, and incorporates each by reference as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 29. The FEHA protects all individuals from retaliation for engaging in a protected activity. Plaintiff engaged in a protected activity by complaining about the harassing and discrimination conduct directed towards her.
- 30. Thereafter, Defendants retaliated against Plaintiff and escalated the harassment and discriminatory conduct, causing her to constructively terminate her employment.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that in addition to the practices enumerated above, defendants, and each of them, have engaged in other retaliatory actions against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time, as said discriminatory practices become known to her, Plaintiff will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has and will continue to suffer severe mental anguish and emotional distress in the form of anger, anxiety, embarrassment, headaches, humiliation, loss of sleep, confidence, self-esteem and general discomfort; will incur medical expenses for treatment by psychotherapists and other health care professionals, and other incidental expenses; suffer loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 33. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without limitation, loss of salary

5. ₁₄

Woodland Hills.

 $\langle \psi \rangle$

and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in her field and damage to his professional reputation, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amounts as damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3287 and/or § 3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

34. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has been compelled to retain legal counsel, and is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, pursuant to Government Code §§ 12940, 12965 subdivision (b).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PREVENT IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA

(Against CITY OF LOS ANGELES and DOES 1-50)

- 35. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1 through 34, inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully set forth.
- 36. At all times mentioned herein, California Government Code Sections 12940, et seq., including but not limited to Sections 12940 (j) and (k), were in full force and effect and were binding upon Defendants and each of them. These sections impose on an employer a duty to take immediate and appropriate corrective action to end discrimination and harassment and take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring, among other things.
- 37. Defendants failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action to end the discrimination and harassment. Defendants also failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring.
- 38. In failing and/or refusing to take immediate and appropriate corrective action to end the discrimination and harassment in failing and/or refusing to take and or all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring, Defendants violated California Government Code § 12940 (j) and (k), causing Plaintiff to suffer damages as set forth above.
- 39. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

91367 13

۷ 0 14

Woodland Hills.

 ω

limitation, loss of salary and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in his field and damage to her professional reputation, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff claims such amounts as damages pursuant to Civil Code § 3287 and/or § 3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

- 40. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress, humiliation, mental anguish and embarrassment, as well as the manifestation of physical symptoms. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that she will continue to experience said physical and emotional suffering for a period in the future not presently ascertainable, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial.
- 41. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has been forced to hire attorneys to prosecute his claims herein, and has incurred and is expected to continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs in connection therewith. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under California Government Code § 12965(b).

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA (Against Defendants CITY OF LOS ANGELES and DOES 1-50)

- 42. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 41, inclusive, of this Complaint as if fully set forth at this place
- 43. At all times herein mentioned, California Government Code § 12940 et seq. was in full force and effect and were binding on Defendants, as Defendants regularly employed five or more persons.
 - 44. Plaintiff's constructive termination is in violation of the FEHA.
- 45. As a proximate result of the aforesaid acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered actual, consequential and incidental financial losses, including without limitation, loss of salary and benefits, and the intangible loss of employment related opportunities in her field and damage to his professional reputation, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial. Plaintiff

 (λ)

claims such amounts as damages pursuant to California Government Code § 3287 and/or § 3288 and/or any other provision of law providing for prejudgment interest.

46. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress, humiliation, mental anguish and embarrassment, as well as the manifestation of physical symptoms. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that he will continue to experience said physical and emotional suffering for a period in the future not presently ascertainable, all in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial.

47. As a proximate result of the wrongful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff has been forced to hire attorneys to prosecute her claims herein, and has incurred and is expected to continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs in connection therewith. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs under California Government Code § 12965(b).

3 | ///
4 | ///
5 | ///
6 | ///
7 | ///
8 | ///
9 | ///
1 | ///
2 | ///
3 | ///
6 | ///
7 | ///

///

///

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows: 1 2 1. For general damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court; 3 2. For special damages according to proof; 3. For attorneys' fees, according to proof; 4 For prejudgment interest, according to proof; 5 For costs of suit incurred herein; and 6 For such other relief and the Court may deem just and proper. 8 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 10 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury. 11 12 A Professional Law Corporation Woodland Hills. CA 91367 13 DATED: September 10, 2013 JML LAW, A Professional Law Corporation 5941 Variel Avenue 14 15 16 By: 17 JOSEPH M. LOVRETOVICH 18 D. AARON BROCK 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 10 COMPLAINT (\downarrow)

SHORT TITLE DOE V. CITY OF LOS ANGELES ET AL.	CASE NUMBER	D. C
	1	

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

JURY TRIAL? 🔀 Y	ES CLASSIACTION? 🗔 YES LIM	ITED CASE? TYES	TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5-7	HOURS/ X DAY
Item II. Indicate the	correct district and courthouse lo	cation (4 steps – If y	you checked "Limited Case", sk	ip to Item III, Pg. 4)
•	t completing the Civil Case Cove rgin below, and, to the right in Co	•		- •
Step 2: Check o	ne Superior Court type of action	in Column B below	which best describes the nature	e of this case.
•	nn C , circle the reason for the corexception to the court location, s		nat applies to the type of action	you have
	Applicable Reasons for Choosi	ng Courthouse Loc	cation (see Column C below)	
May be filed in cer Location where ca	t be flied in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse Itral (other county, or no bodily injury/propuse of action arose. dily injury, death or damage occurred.	perty damage). 7. 8. 9.	Location of property or permanently g Location where petitioner resides. Location wherein defendant/responde Location where one or more of the pa	ent functions wholly.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

į	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
유년	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Şτ	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Proper ath To	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Personal Injury/ Property ige/ Wrongful Death Tort	Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., siip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04

2 5	rry/ Property	_
	Non-Personal Injury/	Damage/ Wrongful

Employment

ontract

T 🖯 Unlawful Betalher' 🖯 🖰 Real Property

Barrian	······				
Civil Rights (98)	A Gwill Gase Gover Sheet L	第7年 選集 かん	#17/2 EE/2 EE/2 F/0/2	B TypesorAction (Checklonly one)	la anicabia pasenne
Defamation (13)	Business Tort (07)		A6029	Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	Civil Rights (08)		A6005	Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	Defamation (13)	0	A6010	Defamation (slander/libet)	1., 2., 3.
A6030 Cher Professional Majpractice (not medical or legal) 1,. 2, 3.	Fraud (16)		A6013	Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3,
A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) 12., 3.	Professional Neglinence (25)		A6017	Legal Malpractice	1., 2., 3.
Wrongful Termination (36) ID A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case 1, 2, 3. Other Employment (15) ☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case 1, 2, 3. Other Employment (15) ☐ A6008 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) 2, 5. Breach of Contract/ Warranty (not Insurance) ☐ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) 2, 5. ☐ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 1, 2, 5. ☐ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 1, 2, 5. Collections (09) ☐ A6022 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff 2, 5, 6. Collections (09) ☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) 1, 2, 5, 6. Insurance Coverage (18) ☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) 1, 2, 3, 5. Other Contract (37) ☐ A6009 Contractual Fraud 1, 2, 3, 5. Other Contract (37) ☐ A6031 Torticus Interference 1, 2, 3, 5. ☐ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 1, 2, 3, 8. Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) <t< td=""><td>Troisealenar regingenee (25)</td><td></td><td>A6050</td><td>Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)</td><td>1., 2., 3.</td></t<>	Troisealenar regingenee (25)		A6050	Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	Other (35)	0	A6025	Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Other Employment (15)	Wrongful Termination (36)	(X)	A6037	Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)			A6024	Other Employment Complaint Case	1., 2., 3.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06)	Other Employment (15)	1		• •	
A6008 Contract/Warranty (06) (06) (not insurance)		o	A6004		2., 5.
(not insurance)			A6008	Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2., 5.
A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 1., 2., 5.		_		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1., 2., 5.
Collections (09) A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case 2, 5. Insurance Coverage (18) A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) 1, 2, 5, 8. Other Contract (37) A6009 Contractual Fraud A6001 Tortious Interference A6001 Tortious Interference A6002 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 1, 2, 3, 5. Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels 2. Whongful Eviction (33) A6002 Wrongful Eviction Case 2, 6. Other Real Property (26) A6003 Quiet Title A6003 Quiet Title A6006 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 2, 6. Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31) Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) A6002 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2, 6. Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) A6002 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2, 6. Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2, 6.					1., 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18) A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) 1., 2., 5., 8. Other Contract (37) A6009 Contractual Fraud 1., 2., 3., 5. Other Contract (37) A6031 Tortious Interference 1., 2., 3., 5. Insurance Coverage (18) 2., 3., 5. Other Contract (37) A6031 Tortious Interference 1., 2., 3., 5. Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation Number of parcels 2. Wrongful Eviction (33) A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case 2., 6. Other Real Property (26) A6032 Quiet Title 2., 6. Other Real Property (26) A6032 Quiet Title 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Commercial A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Residential A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6.	Collections (OR)	П	A6002	Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2., 5., 6.
Other Contract (37)	Collections (69)		A6012	Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5.
Other Contract (37)	Insurance Coverage (18)	0	A6015	Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8,
□ A5027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 1., 2., 3., 8. Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) □ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels		0	A6009	Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	Other Contract (37)		A6031	Tortious Interference	1., 2., 3., 5.
Condemnation (14)		0	A6027	Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.
Other Real Property (26) Other Real Property (26) A6032 Quiet Title A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31) Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.		ם	A7300	Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Other Real Property (26) A6032 Quiet Title A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31) A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.	Wrongful Eviction (33)	D	A6023	Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26) A6032 Quiet Title A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31) A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.		٥	A6018	Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.
□ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31) □ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) □ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 34) □ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6.	Other Real Property (26)	o	A6032	Quiet Title	l
(31) A6021 Onlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32) A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) 2., 6. Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure (34) A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6.			A6060	Olher Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	
Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure (34) Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure 2., 6.		_	A6021	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Post-Foreciosure (34) LI A6UZUF Uniawful Detainer-Post-Foreciosure 2., 6.	1		A6020	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38) D A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs 2., 6.			A6020	Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	n	A6022	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

CASE NUMBER

Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition re Arbitration (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Other Judicial Review (39) Intitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6	108 Asset Forfeiture Case 115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration 151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus 152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter 153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 150 Other Writ /Judicial Review 150 Other Writ /Judicial Review 151 Other Writ /Judicial Review 152 Construction Defect 153 Construction Defect 154 Claims involving Mass Tort	2., 6. 2., 5. 2., 8. 2. 2. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
Writ of Mandate (02) Other Judicial Review (39) Intitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6☐ A6☐ A6☐ A6☐ A6☐	151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus 152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter 153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 150 Other Writ /Judicial Review 150 Antitrust/Trade Regulation 150 Construction Defect	2., 8. 2. 2. 2., 8.
Other Judicial Review (39) Intitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6 □ A6 □ A6 □ A6	152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter 153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 150 Other Writ /Judicial Review 1003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation 1007 Construction Defect	2. 2. 2., 8.
ontitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6	2003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6	007 Construction Defect	
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6		1., 2., 3.
(40)	ļ	006 Claims involving Mass Tort	
Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6		1., 2., 8.
	L	035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6	036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	□ A6	014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A6 □ A6 □ A6	141 Sister State Judgment 160 Abstract of Judgment 107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) 140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) 114 Petitlon/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax 112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
RICO (27)	□ A6	033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	□ A6	D30 Declaratory Relief Only D40 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) D11 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) D00 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	□ A6	113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	\	123 Workplace Harassment 124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7.
		Governance (21) Governance (21) A6 A6 A6 Other Petitions	Governance (21) A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case

SHORT TITL	E: DOE v. CITY OF LOS A	NGELES ET	`AL.		CASE NUMBER
					dence or place of business, performance, or other filing in the court location you selected.
under this ca		on that you ha	ve selected for	ADDRESS: 200 N. Main Stre	eet
	l1, ⊠2, □3, □4, □5, □6 	. □7. □8. ∣	□9. □10.		·
CITY: Los A	ngeles	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE; 90012		
	District of 0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].	the Superior	Court of Califor	nia, County of Los A	Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
	SE HAVE THE FOLLOWI IENCE YOUR NEW COU		COMPLETED	AND READY TO	D BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY
1.	Original Complaint or Pe	etition.			
2.	If filing a Complaint, a co	ompleted Su	ımmons form	for issuance by th	e Clerk.
3.	Civil Case Cover Sheet,	Judicial Co	uncil form CM	l-010.	
4.	Civil Case Cover Sheet 03/11).	Addendum	and Statemen	nt of Location form	n, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev.
5.	Payment in full of the fili	ng fee, unle	ss fees have t	oeen waived.	
6.	A signed order appointin minor under 18 years of	g the Guard age will be	lian ad Litem, . required by C	Judicial Council fo ourt in order to iss	orm CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a summons.
7.					ies of the cover sheet and this addendum tiating pleading in the case.
①		•			
Ø					

<u></u>					
ζn					

N 0

			<u>CM-010</u>			
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bas n D. AARON BROCK	umber, and address): SBN: 241919		FOR COURT USE ONLY			
JML Law, APLC	3DIV. 241717		CHER WHEN			
21052 Oxnard Street, Woodland Hills, CA	91367		FILED			
TELEPHONE NO.: 818-610-8800	FAX NO.: 818-610-3030	COUN	COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Jane Doe						
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS	ANGELES		SEP 10 2013			
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street			,			
MARING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		JUriN	A. Ciraman, amazark			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, 90012 BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse		-	OHNSON, DEPUTY			
CASE NAME: DOE v CITY OF LOS ANGEL	ES et all		OHNSON, DEPUTY			
CASE NAME. DOE VOIT FOR DOS ANGEL	ico et an					
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUME	SER:			
X Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation		BC521591			
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder		- C 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen		· · ·			
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)					
F	w must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).				
Check one box below for the case type that	best describes this case: Contract	Drawinianally Ca	ampley Chill Hingtian			
Auto Tort	Breach of contract/warranty (06)		omplex Civil Litigation ourt, rules 3.400-3.403)			
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	_	rade regulation (03)			
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)		on defect (10)			
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort	` '			
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)		litigation (28)			
Product liability (24)	Real Property		ental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse		coverage claims arising from the			
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above liste types (41)	ed provisionally complex case			
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	*				
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)		Enforcement of	-			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer		ent of judgment (20)			
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous (Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)				
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	L Other com	plaint (not specified above) (42)			
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous C				
Other non-Pl/PD/WD tort (35) Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)		p and corporate governance (21)			
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petit	ion (not specified above) (43)			
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)					
	lex under rule 3.400 of the California R	ules of Court. If	the case is complex, mark the			
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag						
a. Large number of separately repres	ented parties d. 🔲 Large numbe	er of witnesses				
b. Extensive motion practice raising of	fifficult or novel e. 🔲 Coordination	with related act	ions pending in one or more courts			
issues that will be time-consuming	to resolve in other coun	nties, states, or c	ountries, or in a federal court			
c Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence f. L. Substantial p	ostjudgment jud	licial supervision			
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[X monetary b X nonmonetary;	declaratory or in	junctive relief c. X punitive			
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 7	22 monotary 2.122 normonotary,	acolaratory of in	Janouve Teller 5. [23] paritive			
5. This case is is is not a class	s action suit.					
6. [If there are any known related cases, file are		may use form	M-015.)			
Date:	,					
	>	<i>L-111</i>				
D. AARON BROCK (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PART	OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)			
0	NOTICE					
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the file Tunder the Probate Code, Family Code, or Manager	rst paper filed in the action or proceeding	ng (except small	claims cases or cases filed			
"under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result						
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cove	r sheet required by local court rule.					
*Af this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s	eq. of the California Rules of Court, yo	u must serve a c	copy of this cover sheet on all			
Lother parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used	for statistical nurnoses only			