Comments to PLUM Agenda No. 1-CFI 11-1140 CPC-1045-1495-CA

Joyce Dillard <dillardjoyce@yahoo.com> Tue, Jul 12, 2011 at 2:22 PM To: Michael Espinosa <Michael.Espinosa@lacity.org>, The Honorable Carmen Trutanich CTrutanich@lacity.org

Comments to PLUM Agenda No. 1-CFI 11-1140 CPC-1045-1495-CA

"A proposed ordinance amending Sections 11.5.7, 12.20.3, 12.22, 12.24, 12.25, 12.26, 12.27, 12.28, 12.36, 14.00, 16.05, 16.50, 17.02, 17.07, 17.56, and 18.08 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code to create consistent procedures for review of projects requiring multiple approvals, synchronize the expiration periods of multiple approvals granted to a single project, clarify language regarding utilization of approvals, eliminate the redundancy of extensions of time for quasi-judicial land use approvals, extend the life of previously-granted approvals following the dates specified in the state legislation SB-1185 and AB-333, and make minor technical corrections. 'No development is proposed as part of the project. No change in land use, density, or intensity is proposed as part of this project.'"

You are changing General Plan and its Elements, Community Plans and Specific Plans. This is not a project nor on a specific plan. It is too widespread. Also included are Historic Preservation Overlay Zones, Community Redevelopment Project Areas, Hillside Zones and Community Design Overlay Zones.

It is irresponsible to issue a Negative Declaration without proper environmental analysis of each plan and infrastructure involved.

Many of the Elements of the General Plan are outdated and need to be current. There is impact.

Congestion is an issue as is water supply.

We have not seen the proposed ordinance and do not see how you have come to the conclusion that a one-size fits all simplification is needed.

Why was \$600,000 spent to hire an outside consultant-KH Consulting Group- for the Development Reform Strategic Plan without the necessary CEQA procedures?

Please prepare a full Environmental Impact Report. You leave no options for alternatives. You do not show impacts adequately addressed.

Joyce Dillard P.O. Box 31377 Los Angeles, CA 90031