May 14, 2014

CF 11-1345

6 total pages VIA CITY CLERK (and via email)

Ron Galperin Los Angeles City Controller 200 N. Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Fraudulent Representation of Thousands of Shelter "Adoptions"

ONY CLERKS OFFICE
ONY CLERK 2: 1:3

Dear Ron,

More than 5,000 animals that were transferred from cages in city shelters to cages in the city shelter run by Best Friends were fraudulently represented in city statistics as "adoptions" by LA Animal Services (LAAS) GM Brenda Barnette.

Substantiating this is an attached 4/22/14 email from David Zaft, LA Animal Services Commission president, to me, in which he also agrees than an explanation for this misleading public claim should be made.

Because the city is currently considering renewing its agreement with Best Friends to run the city's \$19 million "Mission" shelter, your office should investigate this false claim along with these additional troubling issues pertaining to the city's relationship with Best Friends:

1. Generation of False Impound Numbers

Attached is a July 2013 email from Nicole Swartzlander of Best Friends to a supervisor at LAAS in which she requests lists of impound numbers for neonatal kittens who Best Friends took into the Mission shelter – in violation of the city's agreement with Best Friends. The second page of this document is from the Chameleon inventory system page pertaining to the kitten that was given one of those falsely generated impound numbers. On this page, you will see no evidence of a photo, intake information, veterinary information, microchip information, and outgoing status. I have confirmed via multiple independent internal sources that there is a similar lack of information for each of the impound numbers handwritten at Ms. Swartzlander's request.

2. 1 in 1200 RFP Response Rate

When the city gave Best Friends its taxpayer-funded \$19 million Mission shelter, Brenda Barnette, LAAS GM, represented to City Council that she issued more than 1200 RFPs

for the facility, but received only one proposal – *from Best Friends*. Despite pleas from other humane organizations in attendance that day indicating that none of them received the city's RFP, the city nonetheless gave Best Friends this valuable city asset. As a former senior proposal writer for KPMG and Arthur Andersen, I can tell you that a 1 in 1200 RFP response rate is troubling to say the least.

- 3. <u>Undisclosed Employment of Family Member / Financial Conflict of Interest</u>
 Until I made the issue public, Ms. Barnette failed to publicly disclose to city officials that her daughter, Mary Alice Davis, is employed by Best Friends.
- 4. Attempts to Change Inventory System to Accommodate Vendor, rather than City.

 Ms. Barnette has made numerous attempts to switch without external review as recommended by previous Commissioners the city's animal inventory software system from Chameleon to Pet Pointe, the one used by Best Friends.

On a related note, I am troubled by information of which I am now in possession indicating that the city is transporting a massive number of animals to other jurisdictions in violation of the California Agriculture code relating to their spay/neuter status and other documentation, including the failure to obtain thousands of D300 forms from one particular local humane organization.

My investigations would go a lot deeper...if the city would stop failing to comply with CPRA requests. I hope that you will agree that *less transparency* on these issues is not a good thing.

I am asking for you to take a very close look at these troubling transactions and fraudulent "adoption" representations to the public. In January 2014, when I met with a representative of your office, I was referred to discuss them with Cheri Shenkar, a non-city official who was involved in a \$4 million real estate transaction with you and who contributed to your Controller campaign. Ms. Shenkar responded by sending me an unsolicited link to a job application, which I declined to submit. I will send you a copy of that email upon your request.

I welcome another opportunity to sit down with your office on these matters.

Sincerely,

Daniel Guss

818-231-1108

Daniel.Guss@gmail.com

P.O. Box 5921

Sherman Oaks, CA 91413

Cc: Mayor Eric Garcetti
Mike Feuer
Los Angeles City Council
Los Angeles Animal Services Commission
PAWS Committee (in person on 5/14/14)
Media



Daniel Guss <danielguss@gmail.com>

DAVID: quick question

David Zaft <zaft@caldwell-leslie.com> To: Daniel Guss <danielguss@gmail.com> Tue, Apr 22, 2014 at 1:29 PM

Assuming that the reports on the website are changed to separately show the Best Friends transfers (and I agree with you that they should be, but this is a decision to be made by the Commission), I believe it would be appropriate for some explanation of the change to be given.

From: Daniel Guss [mailto:danielguss@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2014 1:24 PM

To: David Zaft

Subject: Re: DAVID: quick question

Sue Mattson is not an auditor, nor does she have the Controller's access. Maybe I can bottom line the question: if past stats and claims of humane success are going to be restated, revised, etc., what good reason is there for not making the public aware that things are going to be done differently now than they were before...and why the changes are being made? Why make them quietly?

Keep in mind that none of this is happening because of good government; it's being done because I'm one of a few people who started speaking up about the veracity or flaws in the past claims.

On Tue, Apr 22, 2014 at 1:16 PM, David Zaft <zaft@caldwell-leslie.com> wrote:

I think Sue Mattson recently did some sort of review or audit of the Department's statistics. I will try to find out if there was a report that went along with that. I think her overall conclusion was that the numbers were pretty accurate. I think we can discuss this further at a meeting when we consider reporting and data. I think this will be on the agenda soon (May or June).

From: Daniel Guss [mailto:danielguss@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2014 1:09 PM

To: David Zaft

Subject: Re: DAVID: quick question

would be wonderful as well as this new list. Thanks for your help.



7/10/2013	Brown and White Tabby- DSH	2 weeks	F-A1412568
	Brown and White Tabby- DSH	2 weeks	M-A1412371
	Black- DMH	2 weeks	M-A1412372
7/10/2013	Grey and White- DLH	4 weeks	M-A1412373
	Grey and White- DLH	4 weeks	F-A(4) 2375
	Grey Tabby- DMH	4 weeks	F-A1412579
•	White -DMH	4 weeks	F-A1412380
7/10/2013	Cream Lynx Point- DSH	7 weeks	F-A1412384
7/10/2013	Brown Tabby- DSH	2 days	M-A1412390
7/12/2013	Brown and White Tabby- DSH	7 weeks	M-A1412392
7/12/2013	White with Brown- DSH	7 weeks	F-A1412394
7/12/2013	Grey- DMH	10 days	M-A1412394
	Grey- DMH	10 days	M-A1412398
	Grey- DMH	. 10 days	F-A1412399
	Grey- DMH	10 days	F-A1412402
7/14/2013	Black Tabby- DSH	2.5 weeks	F- A1412403
	Brown Tabby- DSH	2.5 weeks	F= A1412406
7/16/2013	Dilute Tortie	3 weeks	F-A1412407
7/19/2013	Black- DSH	4 weeks	M-A1412408
7/22/2013	Buff Tabby- DSH	3 days	F-A1412410
	Buff Tabby- DSH	¹ 3 days	M-X1412411
•		,	

Best Wishes,

Nicole Swartzlander

Neonate Program Coordinator No-Kill LA

Best Friends Animal Society 15321 Brand Blwd. Mission Hills, CA 91345 Phone: (818)643-3831

City of Los Angeles Department of Animal Services Kennel Impound Card for EAST VALLEY



Record No:	K13-036200			Due Out Date: 07/14/2013
Animal ID:		Kennel No:	E	
_	A1412379	(Chille NO.		
Sex:	FEMALE			Sorry No
DOB:	06/30/2013		1	Photo
Breed:	DOMESTIC MH			/
Color:	GRAY TABBY			1 0 00
Intake Date:	07/10/2013			11.55
Intake Type:	STRAY	OTC		11/2
Hold?	NO			MISSING.
Vaccinations	School State of the State of th		38A	
[]DHLPP		FR- Green		
[] RABIES		FK- Gleen		
[]FVCRP		IP - Red		My Name is
[] Bordetella	[IN / SQ]			[] Supervisor Approval Required [] Rescue Only
[] Deworming		RX - Blue		[] Supervisor Hold - See Memo
[] Intake Exan	า	!! 		Scan by ID#: Picture by ID#:
Weight	_ibs (by)	BH - Yellov	ر ٧	
MISSING INFO			WHERE IS HER STATUS AN VET INFO?	
Behavior Observations			agree and understand	
Observation Da				that the animal(s) in my care are only temporary foster(s) and agree to return them to the Department of Animal Services upon demand or on the date herein specified.
Employee ID #				To Be
Hissing:		[] []]	Date Fostered:/_/ Returned Date:/_/_
Growling:]	10#
Spitting: Pupils Dilated:	[]]]	ID#: Signature
Ears Flattened	ii	i ii ii	j	<u>-</u>
Charges: Hides:	[]]	Animal Care Center Phone #
Walks on leash	L J n:] 1	Date Returned:// Rec By iD#:
Doesn't walk or	5 4	i ii ii	1	7,00 5, 100
Outgoing:	įj]	Returned By:
Food Fights: Sensitive to tou	ıch: []]	
Timid/Shy:	į į	i ii ii	ĵ	ID#:
Snaps: Bites:	[]]	* ** *********************************
DRGS.	L J	1 1 1	1	Signature: