

**TO THE COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

Your **INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND GENERAL SERVICES** Committee

reports as follows:

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND GENERAL SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT relative to developing a financially sustainable green retrofitting program for City-owned facilities.

Recommendations for Council action, as initiated by Motion (Wesson – Koretz):

1. APPROVE the designation of the Energy Conservation Loan Program Fund as the Green Retrofit Program Fund to implement and expand the City's Green Retrofit and Workforce Program.
2. REQUEST the City Attorney to prepare and present an ordinance to amend Los Angeles Administrative Code Section 5.532, Energy Conservation Loan Program Fund as described in the draft amendment (Revised Attachment 1), attached to the Council File.
3. DIRECT the General Manager of General Services Department to report annually by January 31 to the Mayor and Council on energy efficiency, water conservation, and other environmentally sustainable projects implemented and recommend new projects to continue and sustain the Green Program as part of the annual budget process.
4. DIRECT the General Manager of General Services Department to work with the Mayor's Office to develop strategies to identify grants, private financing, and any other alternative funding opportunities to expand the City's Green Retrofit and Workforce Program.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The City Administrative Officer (CAO) reports if the proposed changes are implemented, no additional General Fund impact is expected.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

(Energy and the Environment Committee waived consideration of the above matter)

Summary:

On April 23, 2013, your Information Technology and General Services Committee considered reports from the CAO and the General Services Department (GSD), submitted in response to Motion (Wesson – Koretz). The GSD report provides recommendations to develop a financially sustainable green retrofitting program for City-owned facilities. The CAO report reviews the GSD proposal, including policy options, specific recommendations, and fiscal impact. Both reports are attached to the Council file.

Testimony was provided by the CAO and the GSD. The CAO requested that the Committee accept the revised Attachment No. 1 that was submitted prior to the meeting which adds more detailed language on the item. After providing an opportunity for public comment, the Committee recommended that Council approve the recommendations contained in the CAO report including revised Attachment No. 1, as reflected above.

This matter is now submitted to Council for its consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND GENERAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
PERRY	YES
BUSCAINO	YES

ME
[11-1394](#)_rpt_itgs_4-25-13

- Not Official Until Council Acts -