



Fwd: Variance for 1100-1102 S. Stearns Did you print this out?

1 message

Donna Chazanov <ambidextrous18@gmail.com>

Sat, Oct 1, 2011 at 2:04 PM

To: mchazanov1@gmail.com

On Tue, Sep 27, 2011 at 1:36 PM, Roger Leib <roger@rogerleib.com> wrote:

Hello, Mr. Koontz:

C.F. 11-1556

I live within a stone's throw of 1100-1102 S. Stearns.

I can't understand how Mr. Koretz can support an unconditional variance from zoning requirements. Since it's already been rejected twice, his continued support of such a variance doesn't smell good.

I understand that the lot is larger than many in the neighborhood. I also understand that the illegal unit has been there for a long time and that it is presently occupied. His support sends the message that if we can get away with something for a sufficient amount of time, then after a while we can get official sanction to continue that activity as legal.

His support also sets precedence for this and other neighborhoods. Denying similar applications elsewhere would become discriminatory--why here and not elsewhere?

At best, Mr. Koretz has not thought this through properly. At worst, he is under questionable influence.

As one of his constituents, I demand that Mr. Koretz protect his citizens by enforcing existing zoning regulations. If he wants to change them, better to do it directly than to undermine them in this manner.

Or--if he is committed to allow this, have his variance made temporary and conditional to specific considerations, such as the continued occupancy by the present tenant.

Roger Leib, AIA
Architect & City Planner

RECEIVED
CITY CLERKS OFFICE
2011 OCT -3 AM 10:01
CITY CLERK
BR
PRIORITY