Article

f A Environment and Planning

# Advertising, information, and space: Considering the informal regulation of the Los Angeles landscape

Environment and Planning A 2016, Vol. 48(2) 223–238 © The Author(s) 2015 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0308518X15607482 epn.sagepub.com



#### Elisabeth J Sedano

Spatial Sciences Institute, University of Southern California, USA

#### Abstract

This article analyzes a municipal inventory of billboards within the City of Los Angeles. It has long been rumored that thousands of the billboards in the city were illegal; since completing their inventory, city agents now claim that only a fraction of billboards are illegal. I argue herein that a close reading of the inventory reveals over half of the billboards in Los Angeles either lack permits or are out of compliance with their permits and are thereby illegal. While some city agents work to strengthen the city's regulation of signage, others fail to enforce sign laws, protect the signs from appearing illegal, and push to rewrite the sign code to legalize technically illegal signs. I argue that these contradictory actions evidence informality as the city's mode of regulating signage, and I seek to understand how state actors, as unique individuals, play a part in the creation of this informal landscape. Using theories on advertising and urban space, and drawing in information theory to understand the concatenation of information and space, I tie the varied experiences, knowledges, and actions of individual state actors to the overarching informality of the state's regulation of outdoor advertising.

#### Keywords

Production of space, outdoor advertising, information, regulation, informality

#### Introduction

In this paper, I explore the regulation of public space in Los Angeles using a municipal inventory of billboards as my point of analytical ingress. In 2002, the Los Angeles City Council enacted a program to catalog all billboards within the city's bounds and determine their legal status. With this effort, Los Angeles became the first city in the United States, and likely the world, to create a publicly accessible inventory of billboards. For years it had been rumored that many of the billboards in Los Angeles were illegal. As described herein, the inventory reveals that over half of the billboards in Los Angeles either lack permits or are

**Corresponding author:** 

Elisabeth J Sedano, Spatial Sciences Institute, University of Southern California, 3616 Trousdale Parkway, AHF B55, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0374, USA. Email: sedano@usc.edu out of compliance with their permits. Yet since completing the inventory and confirming this wide swath of illegality in landscape, the city has made no effort to enforce its laws against the owners of these signs. On the contrary, City Council members are in negotiations with outdoor advertisers to grant amnesty to illegal signs.

The government's regulatory inaction stands in opposition to a worldwide tendency towards the heightened regulation of urban public space, especially as it is linked to commercialized public space (Low and Smith, 2006). Although billboards are owned by private entities, they are sited and designed to be seen by members of the public. This is true whether they sit on public or private property—the signs gain their value because they project their messages into public space. In the last 25 years, scholars have noted the increasingly privatized and commercialized nature of urban public space (Banerjee, 2001; Sorkin, 1992), and that regulation over public behavior in these privatized public spaces is high (Fyfe, 1998; Németh, 2010). The commercialized nature of these spaces and the heightened regulation over them seeps from these privatized sites into public streets and sidewalks. For example, Las Vegas local government's fourpronged regulatory structure over public sidewalks in "The Strip" district of casino resorts is designed to, "prioritize pedestrian circulation, particularly for patrons of the resorts, and exclude or minimize the effects of undesirable behavior such as panhandling, vending, homelessness, handbilling, and political protests" (Blumenberg and Ehrenfeucht, 2008: 308-310).

An obvious distinction in this case is that the would-be subjects of regulation are outdoor advertisers—producers of a key aspect of commercialized space and supporters of consumption—whereas in the numerous cases of heightened regulation of public space revealed in the political economy literature the purported violators are outsiders to the broader consuming culture that public and private elites are seeking to engage. For years, Los Angeles officials argued that the city lacked the resources to keep up with the lucrative, nimble outdoor advertising industry. A billboard can be erected quickly, and in a city as large as Los Angeles, the few individuals charged with enforcing land use regulations are unlikely to notice when an unpermitted sign goes up. Now that the city has directed resources to research illegal billboards, its failure to take action based on the results of its inventory suggests that the landscape of illegal signs is due less to a government inability to regulate than to a government tactic. Recent literature on urban informality posits informality as a "mode of urbanization" (Roy, 2005: 148).

What is commonly viewed as a loss of formal governability and control ... or in other words a failure of urban planning as such resulting in insufficient provisions – is actually (at least in part) a deliberately produced urban informality by the state itself. The state uses informality as a *mode* in order to decide case-by-case whether for example certain land uses are desired or not. (Follman, 2015: 215).

The concept of urban informality has long been used to understand the economic practices of the urban poor (see e.g. Hart, 1973), but current work identifies informal practices among the middle-class and elite (AlSayyad and Roy, 2004). Two key state practices for enacting the flexibility of informality as a mode of regulation are unmapping, wherein the state changes or removes spatial information from official sets of knowledge, and the creation of zones of exception to overarching land use structures, wherein land uses favored by the state can thrive (Roy, 2012). Follman's (2015) case study of a mega-development in India shows another common feature of informality: a complicated state structure of multiple, overlapping agencies, differing interests, and the ability to change rules and enforcement practices over time. The messiness of this mode

may create a beneficial flexibility in regulatory practices, but it also produces unintended effects, such as lawsuits that drag on for years as public and private entities try to sort out overlapping and competing claims. "[T]he Indian city," writes Roy, in words that I argue also describe the landscape of outdoor advertising in Los Angeles, "is made possible through an idiom of planning whose key feature is informality, and yet this idiom creates a certain territorial impossibility of governance, justice, and development" (2009: 81).

As described herein, the outdoor advertising industry in Los Angeles operates in and around a structure of laws that are complicated, subject to change, and made and enforced by a variety of government departments. The program to inventory billboards that is the focus of this study was part of a package of laws passed in 2002 to rein in outdoor advertising. The inventory program and the new regulations put Los Angeles at the forefront of advertising regulation in the United States, yet from the moment of enactment, the city engaged in contradictory actions that undermined the laws. Some city actors fought to complete the billboard inventory, while others delayed it. After the inventory was completed, some city actors refused to release the data, while others supported a court action to make it public. Throughout, some city actors entered into agreements with a handful of elite, global outdoor advertising corporations that vastly increased the amount and type of advertising throughout the city even as others fought advertisers in court to maintain the city's new regulatory framework. This article will help to elucidate how informality as a mode of regulation produces the commercialized landscape of Los Angeles by considering the role of space in regulatory practices. Specifically, I employ a combined theoretical lens of ideas on the production of space and information theory to consider the quantitative results of the billboard inventory and the qualitative data of interviews with state actors in relation to each other. I draw on court rulings and city planning reports to lay a groundwork of understanding on the recent history of outdoor advertising regulation in Los Angeles.

In the following section, I describe recent scholarly work on the expanding spatial realms of advertising and then move to ideas on the production of urban space. I bring in information theory to draw out the role of personal knowledge in the production of space and break down the presumed boundary between information and space. Scholars such as Cronin (2010), Donald (1999), and Benjamin (1999) examine the production of urban space by drawing on urbanites' memories, stories, and mental images-what we might also describe as information. Cronin's work (2008a, 2008b, 2010) is especially relevant here for she studies the role of outdoor advertising in the production of urban space. I then contextualize this study by laying out the legal history of Los Angeles's 2002 sign ordinances. I describe the litigation the laws spawned, the dramatic changes in the Los Angeles landscape as the litigation progressed, as well as my own efforts to make the results of the billboard inventory public. Next, I analyze the results of the inventory, highlighting the legal status of signs and the city's protection of illegal signs within the inventory itself. I argue that the contradictory state action revealed by the legal history and the billboard inventory evidence informality as the city's mode of regulating outdoor advertising. I draw on my interviews with city actors in a variety of departments to show that the manifold forces that characterize an informal regime are present at the level of the individual. I understand city agents as themselves viewers of outdoor advertising. I draw their personal experiences of signs into regulatory efforts and hence the production of urban space. I conclude by considering the role of the inventory-and its confirmation of informality—in the production of cityspace. This includes informal state action as well as unexpected, creative actions by street artists as they protest the city's informal regulation over the visual landscape.

#### Theoretical framework: Advertising, information, and urban space

Recent scholarly work in media and communications focuses on the spaces of advertising. Much of this work concerns the increased use of brands and branding through society (Aronczyk and Powers, 2010). Branding employs logos, colors, and other visual cues to give a similar look to all of an organizations' output, from products and advertising to office supplies and event design. It is more about evoking feelings and creating relationships than selling particular products (Lury, 2004). Since it is not tied to a single product, branding is mobile and adaptable, able to be deployed in nearly any context (Moor, 2007). As marketing and architecture mix, "brandscapes" (Klingmann, 2007) are formed. New commercial and entertainment centers are "brand hubs", designed around a group of brands (Hoegger, 2004). For Lury, branding,

involves the marketer adopting the position of the consumer – that is, of imagining the consumer ... the resulting product or products themselves become marketing tools, generating further information. In other words, the brand progresses or emerges in a series of loops, an ongoing process of (product) differentiation and (brand) integration. (2004: 8).

With a focus on outdoor advertising, Cronin (2010) expands this loop to include the production of space. Drawing on Lefebvre, Cronin's (2010) model is built on the idea that space is produced through our lived movements and moments, positing cityspace as the nexus of social energy, time, and space. In particular, outdoor advertising comprises a cycle of cityspace, fashioned from the regular placement and replacement of ad campaigns. These changes create a rhythm as residents become inured to the slightly jarring experience of new ads periodically popping up in their usual paths.

The regularity of the change does not render space as neat and tidy, however. Cronin (2010) draws on de Certeau to emphasize that urbanites respond to a variety of influences in the city environment. Though outdoor advertising creates a landscape of commercial messages intended to inspire consumption, it does not always effect its purpose. Cronin writes,

what de Certeau (1988: 93) calls the 'geometrical' space of the visual that is constructed by city planners – and, I would add, commercial planners who own and organise advertising sites in cities – does not fully determine individuals' experience and use of city space. 'The ordinary practitioners of the city' develop unspoken and barely legible tactics and ruses that are neither captured nor determined by the systems in which they develop (de Certeau 1988: 93). For de Certeau, these tactics and ruses constitute 'the network of an anti-discipline' defined against the disciplining imperative of city planners and, in this case, advertising companies (1988: xv). (2010: 78–79).

Looking from the top down, the structures of discipline are not necessarily any more coordinated in their actions than city residents. "Rather than operating an omnipotent ideological machine, advertising companies function on a more provisional, reactive basis, attempting strategic interventions for competitive advantage" (Cronin, 2010: 79).

Outdoor advertisers are entities that own sign structures, and their business is to sell ad space to those with something to sell. They do so primarily by making promotional pitches to media buyers (Cronin, 2008a). These promotional pitches are inherently stories—stories about the valuable location of their signs, the people who co-constitute these spaces as likely consumers, and the productive potential of their overall inventories. Cronin (2010) links these stories to Walter Bergson's concept of "fabulation", a human instinct for fiction-making that allows us to accept life's difficulties, from daily disappointments to

the knowledge of our own unavoidable death. For outdoor advertisers and their clients, outdoor advertising animates cityspace into a negotiable and lucrative field. Further, Cronin (2010) argues that outdoor advertising provides fodder for city residents' own fabulatory instincts; ads are, "cultural products that have been "fabulated" by advertising creatives, that can then be used by people to fabulate and make sense of the world" (Cronin, 2010: 111).

The infusion of hope that outdoor advertising offers is a forward-thinking vision, but the experience of outdoor advertising is also inseparable from the past. Cronin is one of a number of urban scholars who theorize the experience of cities by drawing on the way that past experiences mediate current life. Donald (1999) argues that urban space is different for every individual, because we each have our own cache of knowledge that filters how we experience space. Made up of memories of personal experiences, movies, novels, and even sociological studies, we carry these innumerable mental images of city life with us "snail-like" (Donald, 1999: 7) as we move through cityspace. The result is that we each live our own "archive city" (Donald, 1999: 7), the experience of which is visceral and new but are always mediated by our past. Cronin and Donald are both inspired by Walter Benjamin's Arcades Project. Benjamin (1999) analyzes 19th-century era shopping arcades which once showcased the newest consumer goods but which lived on well past their prime, stubborn relics of a faded era of capitalism. Benjamin analyzes the experience of the arcades by bringing together hundreds of quotations that reference the arcades, creating what could be called an "archive arcade". His work reveals the deep effect that past spaces have on the experience of current ones.

These understandings of space have clear alignments with information theory. (Indeed, Donald's (1999) declaration of an "archive city" calls out for this connection.) Space and information each have traditionally been viewed as immaterial and inert: space in the Euclidean sense and information in Shannon's (1948) mathematical model. Shannon's theory quantifies information according to the amount of uncertainty in a given message. Interestingly, the theory does not consider the content of any message, the meaning, but only its probability. MacKay's (1969) critique of the model argues that the same piece of information can mean different things to different people. In his view, information is therefore never inert but is ever-changing as it moves within and between channels. Maturana and Varela (1980) posit information as part of a closed system—a feedback loop that includes the sender and receiver of information in addition to the information itself. In this view, called reflexivity, information not only has an effect on the recipient of a message, it has an effect on the sender as well. Hayles (1999) likens this process to Escher's hands drawing themselves into existence. Importantly, Hayles acknowledges the materiality of information. "Information, like humanity, cannot exist apart from the embodiment that brings it into being as a material entity in the world; and embodiment is always instantiated, local, and specific" (Hayles, 1999: 49). In this light, information is material, energetic and, crucially, made and remade through human action.

On the one hand, space is analyzed as the creative production of individuals, imbricated by the action and energy of memory, thought, and feeling—personal repositories of information. On the other hand, information is seen as a process, constantly open to changing interpretations that may then effect changes in the information. As information always exists somewhere, iterative changes in information mean changes in its materiality. Bringing these together, we can analyze information and space as co-constitutive. Bodies as repositories of information produce space, and this production process creates new memories, new materialities, new information. This is a feedback loop of information and space, and it produces cityspace through the energy of human lives.

# The space of regulation: The legal and spatial effects of Los Angeles's 2002 sign laws

In Los Angeles a plethora of departments play a part in regulating outdoor advertising. The Planning Commission sets the city's overarching planning policies; the Planning Department analyzes specific projects; the Department of Building and Safety (LADBS) enforces planning rules; the City Attorney analyzes legal issues arising from planning matters and represents the city in litigation; the City Council has the power to direct each of these departments but it is composed of 15 elected officials with their own goals and constituencies to represent. The City Council has more power than the Mayor, as it can override the Mayor's veto, but the Mayor has the power to appoint the heads of the Planning Department and LADBS, as well as select members of the Planning Commission. Each of the departments is composed of hundreds of staff, working in a hierarchy particular to each department. In 2002, the City of Los Angeles passed a series of ordinances that dramatically changed where and when new billboards would be permitted. As with any new law affecting urban development, the ordinances were initiated by the City Council, and then spent months bouncing between departments as it was researched by the Planning Commission, drafted by the Planning Department in conjunction with the Planning Commission and City Attorney, and considered by a subcommittee of the City Council, before being sent on to the City Council where it was approved (with, in this case, the Mayor's approval).

The prior sign law had allowed new billboards on nearly any commercial or high-density residential zoned property. The 2002 law banned new billboards and modifications to existing billboards, with one major exception. It created a new zoning category entitled Sign Districts, wherein new and modified signs would be permitted under enumerated circumstances. The 2002 laws also created the Off-Site Sign Periodic Inspection Program (herein referred to the billboard inventory). Under the program, city inspectors would survey all billboards within city limits, research their permit status, and publish the data in a publicly accessible inventory. Within weeks, the city's new veneer of control over outdoor advertising was belied by its own contradictory actions. It approved a street furniture program with JC Decaux that allowed the corporation to install thousands of advertising adorned bus benches and kiosks at locations of its choosing. The city then created the Hollywood, most of which were "supergraphics" covering whole building walls, many times larger and more visible than traditional billboards.

Outdoor advertisers challenged the 2002 laws in court. Outdoor advertising receives strong protection under American law, because the Supreme Court recognizes advertising as a form of speech and hence protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution. Government bodies may only regulate speech when they put forward a clear public purpose. Initially, judges sided with the outdoor advertisers in the cases against the new laws. One court ruled that the JC Decaux contract so undermined the purpose of the 2002 ban—purportedly to limit outdoor advertising—as to render the 2002 ban invalid. While the city appealed the ruling, the status of the new law was in limbo. Outdoor advertisers seized the opportunity to put up new signs across the city. Many of these were vinyl supergraphics that could be unfurled in a matter of hours. When the city cited the owners of these signs for putting up unpermitted signs, the sign owners sued. The city was forced to defend itself in court against some 17 lawsuits at one time, an expensive and burdensome endeavor for an already cash-strapped municipality. Years later, the city won its appeal of the ruling, and its ban on new signs was reinstated. The illegal signs across the city began to come down, but

the permitted supergraphics in Hollywood, as well as the growing numbers of JC Decaux street furniture signs, remained.

While smaller sign companies challenged the ban on new billboards, the three largest companies in Los Angeles at the time, CBS Outdoor (recently rebranded as Outfront Media), Clear Channel, and Vista Media (later bought by Lamar), challenged the billboard inventory program. The city settled these lawsuits after five years of litigation. The terms of its settlement with CBS and Clear Channel went far beyond the scope of the billboard inventory. The city granted these companies the right to transform up to 840 traditional billboards into digital signs and to put up 200 new signs. This grant of rights violated the city's 2002 sign ban and thus gave these two companies lucrative rights to the exclusion of all other outdoor advertisers. The companies agreed to provide the city with lists of their sign inventories and permitting information of their signs. In exchange, the city agreed to issue new permits for any signs that lacked valid permits. The city made this agreement even though it did not know the scope of this promise—it had not yet conducted its own research, so it did not know how many signs were likely illegal. CBS and Clear Channel immediately began erecting digital billboards across Los Angeles. Soon afterwards, a smaller company, Summit Media, challenged the settlement in court.

Though now legally unencumbered, the city delayed restarting the billboard inventory for years. In 2009, the City Council reauthorized the program, but for months and then years, no news of the project was released, leading many to believe the city had let the program stagnate. In 2011, the project supervisor informed me that the billboard inventory was complete. However, he said, the results would not be publicly released by order of the City Attorney's Office until the Summit Media lawsuit challenging the city's settlement with CBS and Clear Channel was resolved. Interviews with members of the City Attorney's revealed that there was no consensus about the inventory's release. One was convinced that the city had no right to hold on to the inventory data and suggested I file an official request for access to the inventory under California's Public Records Act. I did so. The city refused my official request, and I sued in state court. After eighteen months, the city agreed to settle the lawsuit and released the data.

Days later, the California Court of Appeal ruled that the City Council had exceeded its legislative authority in granting CBS and Clear Channel the right to install digital billboards as those rights plainly violated the city's 2002 sign ban. CBS and Clear Channel were ordered to turn off their digital signs.

# Spatial information and legal disinformation: the results of Los Angeles's billboard inventory

Prior to the billboard inventory program, the Enforcement Division of LADBS lacked the resources to conduct regular field surveys of signs. With the billboard inventory, LADBS was for the first time charged with proactively inspecting billboards and maintaining a database of the collected information. According to the head of the program, three inspectors traversed all thoroughfares in the city that were likely to have billboards, notably those zoned for commercial, manufacturing/industrial, or high-density residential uses. For each sign identified, an inspector noted locational data such as address, and inspection data such the height, size, number of sign faces, and the billboard company (if shown). The inspectors then researched the permitting status of the signs. This aspect took the inspectors twice as long as the fieldwork: Los Angeles began issuing permits for billboards as early as the 1920s, and the records were often haphazardly kept in various files of different departments.

The inventory LADBS released in 2012 (the "2012 Inventory") contains 17 columns of inspection data and 20 columns of permit data for each sign face, including the permit number and the specific contents of permits, such as the height, length, and width of the permitted sign. These columns are empty for signs for which the owners did not provide and the city could not locate permits. The 2012 Inventory also includes three columns of purported legal findings. In 2014, LADBS released an updated version (the "2014 Inventory"). The 2014 Inventory seems more accurate in terms of specific sign locations, as a number of duplicate entries are removed and missing signs added. However, the 2014 Inventory lacks nearly all of the permit data: it provides permit numbers but leaves out the specific contents of those permits. The result is that it is impossible to compare the inspection data with permit data, and therefore to identify illegal signs, within the 2014 Inventory. To test the legality of signs in the 2014 Inventory, I cross-referenced the 2014 Inventory inspection data with the 2012 permit data, using the location data and permit numbers from the 2014 Inventory to find the matching permit's details in the 2012 Inventory.

The 2014 Inventory lists 8514 signs. 7644 of these have a permit; 870 do not. Comparing the fieldwork data for the 7644 permitted signs with their permit data reveals:

- Sign structure higher than permit allows: 3048
- Sign face wider than permit allows: 1126
- Sign face longer permit allows: 973
- Signs with more sign faces than permit allows (i.e. a double sign on a single sign permit): 944

In total, the inventory reveals that 4226 of the 7644 permitted signs are noncompliant in one or more of the four listed ways. Adding these 4226 signs to the 870 unpermitted signs yields a total of 5096 signs that are illegal, as they are either unpermitted or noncompliant with their permits.

The 2012 and 2014 Inventories contain a column entitled "Altered" that signals whether a sign has been altered in violation of its permit. The 2014 Inventory classifies 792 signs as "Altered". According to the head of the program, "For the most part, structures are considered altered when they contain more advertising panels than the permit indicates". As noted above, the inventory data reveals 944 double signs with single sign permits.

Both the 2012 and 2014 Inventories contain the city's legal findings as to the signs. This section was not mandated in the ordinance that initiated the program, and prior to the inventory's release, city actors claimed that the city's legal findings were not public information and would not be included in the inventory. The Permitted column appears to clearly note whether a sign has a permit on record, listing a "Y" or "N" to denote permitting status. In the 2014 Inventory, 7755 signs receive a "Y" while 759 signs receive an "N". As noted above, 870 signs, not just 759, have no permitting information listed and thus no permit. The city offers no explanation for identifying 121 signs that lack permits as "Permitted".

The 2014 Inventory contains a "Remarks" column that states for 744 signs: "Requires application of B & P section 5216.1". For the remaining signs, this column is blank. California Business and Professions Code Section 5216.1 is a state law that creates a rebuttable presumption of legality for any sign that has existed more than five years and not been cited as unlawful by a government agency. To rely on this code section, a sign owner must prove that its sign was in place for more than five years, often shown by a lease for land where the sign sits or an electric bill for lighting. The 2012 Inventory contains a Notes column that lists documents that evidence the age of a sign. For most signs that

receive the 5216.1 designation, however, this column is empty. The Notes column is entirely absent from the 2014 Inventory, making it impossible to determine whether evidence exists to support the 5216.1 designation. As with the Permitted column, the city offers no explanation for how it decided which signs would receive this designation.

There is a wide discrepancy between the city's purported legal findings and the legal status of signs revealed by the inventory's own data. Figure 1 offers a visual comparison: billboards in the Hollywood neighborhood of Los Angeles are mapped according to legal status per the city's legal findings versus the inventory data. Of the 187 signs within the map frame, nine (4.8%) are illegal according to the city's legal findings (Figure 1, left), while 101 signs (54%) are illegal according to the city's own inventory data (Figure 1, right). The definitions of "Legal" and "Illegal" in the map based on the city's legal findings relies on the undefined designations employed by the city as described above: "Legal" includes signs that are (1) "Permitted" and Not "Altered", and (2) Not "Permitted" but protected by Section 5216.1; "Illegal" includes signs that are (1) "Permitted" but "Altered", and (2) Not "Permitted" and not protected by Section 5216.1. For the map showing legality according to the inventory data, the categories are more straightforward: "Legal" includes only permitted signs that do not match their permits.

# Informality at the individual level: Investigating the role of space and personal knowledge in regulating outdoor advertising

The recent history of outdoor advertising in Los Angeles reveals the contradictory governmental moves and shifting definitions of legality that characterize informality as a mode of regulation. The players in this drama are a multiplicity of agencies and courts with



**Figure 1.** Billboards in the Hollywood neighborhood of Los Angeles. Mapping billboards according to the city's publicized legal findings (left) versus the legal status according to the city's own inventory data (right) reveals the indefinite nature of legality in the Los Angeles landscape.

differing jurisdictions and levels of authority, and the scripts they rely on are the written authorities of municipal ordinances, state laws, and the federal constitution. The Hollywood Sign District can be read as a zone of exception, wherein the city allows large corporate players to hang massive signs despite its overarching ban on new billboards. Informality is seen within the lines of the inventory as well. We see hundreds of signs that are illegal according to the city's own laws and inspection data, yet which the city has deemed legal. The erasure of detailed permitting information from the 2014 Inventory amounts to an unmapping: the 2012 Inventory laid out the data for identifying thousands of illegal billboards, and the 2014 Inventory marks an effort to erase this knowledge. Together, this evidence reveals that the visual realm of Los Angeles is an informal landscape, where the categories of legal and illegal are unfixed. While informality as a mode of state regulation may be "calculated" (Roy, 2009: 83), we should not,

conceive the state as a monolithic entity or black box, but rather analyse the often diverse perceptions, interests and claims within the state among the different levels and departments as well as pay special attention to the role of existing regulatory authorities (e.g. environmental protection agencies) and approval procedures (e.g. Environment Impact Assessments). (Follman, 2015: 215).

The case study of outdoor advertising in Los Angeles shows that this amalgam of forces exists at an even deeper level than governing agencies: "diverse perceptions, interests and claims" (Follman, 2015: 215) exist among individual state actors. For the remainder of this section, I will consider how the informal mode of regulation is manufactured at the level of individual regulators. In the model of space and information described above, urban space is a creation of lived experience mediated by personal knowledges and histories. By considering individual actors' experiences, I argue we can consider the production of space as an aspect of informality.

The billboard inventory is a spreadsheet of permit numbers, addresses, and measurements, and as such, is an archetype of information in the traditional sense, a collection of inert data that together comprises knowledge of the physical world but is itself immaterial. If we consider the billboard inventory as a process, a series of individual actions bound up in specific materialities, the inventory is more than a spreadsheet and even more than the thousands of signs that the inventory purportedly represents; it includes a City Council vote, legal complaints and settlements, thousands of survey forms filled out by LADBS inspectors, thousands of paper permits, the digital architecture of LADBS's servers, a public records request, as well as the mental architectures of the many people that created the spreadsheet and have perused it since. Thus, the process that is the billboard inventory is also the process that is space. Each moment of the inventory that we may study occurs somewhere, at some time; a unique "spatial present tense" (Cronin, 2010: 106) that was inflected by prior moments and may itself affect future ones. In short, we can read the billboard inventory as a catalogue of the production of space. In this light, the inventory has more to tell than the permitting status of billboards; it can help explain the behaviors of city actors that create the informal landscape of signs.

Cronin (2010) understands outdoor advertising as a cycle of cityspace, created by the fabulation of advertising executives and anti-disciplinary moves of city residents. The evidence revealed herein suggests that we can extend Cronin's circle of fabulation, of discipline and anti-discipline, of barely legible tactics and illegible results. I believe we can expand the productive cycle of advertising in cityspace to include state actors as tacticians themselves, not just the foil against which outdoor advertisers act. In my research, I found that agents representing the city, be they city planners, litigators in the City Attorney's

Office, or members of the City Council, are subject to disciplining forces and capable of developing ruses just as de Certeau's "ordinary practitioners of the city" are. The regulatory actions of the city that together produce a regime of informality can be read at the level of individual state actors as the haphazard tactics of agents as they negotiate amongst voting constituents, business interests, more superior members within the government, and state-based controls including laws and judicial orders. Further, city agents are embodied actors who themselves experience urban space in unique ways. Indeed, city agents are themselves residents of the city. They view outdoor advertising as other residents do, in their daily routines and along their daily routes. Their experience of outdoor advertising is inflected with unique collections of past experiences just as it plays a role in their fabulation of the future.

The individual experience of outdoor advertising came up time and again in my research with city actors. On a number of occasions, interviewees interrupted my questions to ask if there was one billboard in the city that I hated the most. I didn't have a "most hated billboard", but I chose a billboard to mention so that the interviewee could then offer his or her most hated billboard in a reciprocal conversational manner. A former member of the City Council described with ire a sign directly visible from City Hall, put up by what he termed a "scofflaw" company during the time period after the trial court enjoined the city from enforcing its sign ban and before the appellate court lifted the injunction. Litigators in the City Attorney's office mentioned various signs that were the subject of lawsuits, such as one sign whose status was still unresolved so the attorney continued to see it on his daily commute. These stories invoked the storytellers' memories of frustration in fighting legal battles with an understaffed office against companies whose resources afforded them a team of lawyers to drag out the litigation. Stories like this make it possible to understand why members of the City Attorney's office might dread further lawsuits with outdoor advertisers and be quite willing to take steps to avoid them. On a more general level, the stories reveal that actors within the city government have individual experiences of cityspace like other urbanites. We can posit that these city agents have a twofold role in the production of space. At one stop in the cycle, they experience the city, the feeling and energy of which is always inflected by memories and knowledges. At another stop in the cycle of production, their experiences affect their actions as state agents with authority to make and enforce laws over cityspace.

The stories city actors shared about outdoor advertising were not always negative. Amidst my questions to a Planning Commissioner on a recently approved development project that will feature large-scale signage, the commissioner strayed from the specific topic to tell me about his childhood in rural Chile. He recollected his family's drives to the city for the billboards that appeared along the highway. To him, the signs epitomized progress and success. This Planning Commissioner carries these memories of billboards with him "snail-like" (Donald, 1999: 7) through his life, as he moves through the cityspace of Los Angeles, just as when he moves in the city's planning echelons, setting urban policies. In another instance, a staff member in a City Council office described her excitement at seeing new products, movies and television programs being advertised on billboards. To her, billboards are "what a city looks like" and how she "knows what to watch".

Just as outdoor advertisers fashion presentations for media buyers touting the benefits of their signage for clients' products, their lobbyists present promotional materials to elected legislators on the City Council and members of their staff, selling them on the benefits of signage for the city as a whole. We can characterize outdoor advertisers' lobbying efforts as fodder for fabulation by city agents. The promotional materials offer hopeful tales that outdoor advertising will improve the economy, help local business, and create visually exciting urban environments. For city agents such as the woman who "knows what to watch" because of billboards, the promotional materials and the experience of outdoor advertising in her daily life reinforce each other: when she sees a new show advertised on a billboard and subsequently watches it, she proves to herself that the billboard "works". As she moves through cityspace in her daily life, seeing a new ad reinforces her feeling of productivity and positivity for the city's economic future. For elected officials who aspire to help the city's economy, along with being reelected, the placement of outdoor advertisements throughout the city means their daily routes are dotted with reminders of the lobbying materials, physical structures whose resolute existence in the face of political pressure recalls the power of the outdoor advertising industry.

Yet not all legislators and their staff are so motivated by outdoor advertisers' lobbying. For some city agents, the promotional materials rub against incongruent stories stored in their "archive cities" (Donald, 1999: 7). Memories of signs erected in violation of the law, knowledge of the billboard inventory and the number of illegal signs it reveals, frustration at signing off on a legal settlement later ruled illegal-these coexist with memories of lobbying materials, undercutting the strength of their message. When we consider that city agents have unique "archive cities", made and remade each day as they travel through the city and collect new experiences, we can understand why one actor may fabulate one story line for outdoor advertising while another fabulates a different story. When they go through their working lives, decision and actions regarding outdoor advertising are not quarantined from these experiences, made subject only to top-down imperatives. Rather, their working lives are a part of the productive cycle of cityspace; their decisions as city agents are affected by their individual experiences of the city just as these actions then have their own effect on the city. The variability of these individual experiences and actions, then, helps explain the informal mode of regulation that is recognizable in the variability among different agencies and levels of government.

# Conclusions: Using the informal landscape to spread knowledge of the informal landscape

In this concluding section, I consider what the billboard inventory spells for the future of Los Angeles cityspace. The intention of advertising is to inspire consumption, but a landscape of advertising can have unintended effects. Cronin (2008b) and Iveson (2012) describe city dwellers' creative takeovers of outdoor ads as an example of what Klein (2000: 281) calls "culture jamming". Further, myriad health and welfare consequences have been linked to outdoor advertising, including alcohol and gambling addiction, unhealthy eating, and misogynistic attitudes towards women, and advertising promoting these social ills disproportionately appear in disadvantaged communities (Lowery and Sloane, 2014). In the hands of cultural critics such as the Billboard Liberation Front, "culture jams" on billboards critique these negative social effects of outdoor advertising (Figure 2). Here, I focus on the effects of outdoor advertising as a landscape of informality. How does the widespread illegality revealed by the billboard inventory affect the ongoing cycle that is the production of Los Angeles urban space?

I have argued herein that the precariousness of the city's regulation of signage can itself be read as a state tactic. The billboard inventory shows not only that over half the billboards in Los Angeles are technically illegal, but perhaps more importantly, that the city's designation of illegality is unclear and subject to change. Hence, the informal landscape of signage is maintained by a mode of regulation that is itself informal. The flexibility that this mode provides the city in regulating signage has unintended consequences for Los Angeles



**Figure 2.** The Billboard Liberation Front highlights the misogynistic portrayal of women in advertising. Credits: Kalman, Milton R, 2010.

cityspace. The 2002 sign ban inspired outdoor advertisers to fight the city in court and in public space as they erected signs in defiance of the laws. City agents now claim that the billboard inventory shows the number of illegal billboards in Los Angeles to be about 1000. As described herein, a careful analysis of the inventory reveals far more than 1000 illegal signs. When asked to explain the discrepancy, the head of the program explained, "Although many structures are not in perfect sync with their permits, the deviance is considered minor or insignificant". This tolerant attitude toward code violations in public space goes against the global trend, noted above, towards heightened regulation in urban public spaces (see e.g. Blumenberg and Ehrenfeucht, 2008). If we look beyond the example of outdoor advertisers, however, we see that Los Angeles is willing to enforce its regulations over the visual landscape against outsiders to the commercial landscape of advertising.

Street artists, in particular, are regularly subject to the city's enforcement actions, from having their work "buffed" (painted over) to being jailed for years (Wilson, 2012). Street art in Los Angeles has a long and vibrant history. Large, meticulously planned murals share space with spray-painted tags of gang members and, more recently, art posters adhered to walls, signs, and street furniture with wheatpaste (Daichendt, 2012). For years enforcement over illegal street art was limited to tagging and other graffiti. After enacting the 2002 sign laws, however, the city cracked down on muralists and others whose intentions were more clearly artistic. This crackdown was another unexpected result of the city's informal regulation of outdoor advertising. After outdoor advertisers challenged the 2002 sign ban in court, the City Attorney argued that a mural ban would strengthen the city's claims in these lawsuits: outdoor advertisers could not argue that the city was regulating the content of their signs in violation of the First Amendment if outdoor art was banned as well. The City Council banned murals on all private property. A local journalist said at the time, "In Los Angeles, which Councilman [Jose] Huizar likes to boast is 'the mural capital of the world,' the painting of any mural on an outdoor wall, even with permission from that wall's owner, is illegal" (Wilson, 2012). In 2014, the city enacted a new law to allow murals to go up with city approval, but not before buffing over hundreds of murals throughout the city.

Street artists, therefore, are fully aware that the city has the ability and resources to enforce rules over the visual sphere of the streets. While the city's relationship to outdoor advertising may appear as non-planning, street artists consider the city's failure to strictly regulate outdoor advertising as fully planned, fully intentional. Many street artists have used



**Figure 3.** Los Angeles-based street artists Cyrcle (left) and Desire Obtain Cherish (right) hijack billboards to publicize the city's uneven regulation of public space. Photo Credits: i am OTHER entertainment, LLC, 2012.

their art to draw attention to the uneven enforcement of laws regulating public space. They position their work on billboards to reclaim the visual environment from outdoor advertisers. Figure 3 shows work thrown up on billboards by Los Angeles artists in a coordinated attempt to raise awareness of illegal billboards. Figure 3 (left) shows two signs taken over by the art collective Cyrcle asking, "Is this illegal? Los Angeles has more than 4,000 illegal billboards". In Figure 3 (right), the artist Desire Obtain Cherish (DOC) is seen hijacking a billboard with the same simple but subversive message.

On one level, these artworks exemplify the vibrancy and dynamics of urban space. They emerge from and add to the ever-changing experience of daily urban life that Hack (2011) calls "urban flux". In particular, these pieces bring flashes of creativity and slightly jarring moments of curiosity to city residents. Yet the art also operates on a deeper level. The pieces contest existing power structures through their subversive use of public space. They are particularly powerful for they interject themselves into public space to contest the existing power structures of public space itself. They draw meaning and power from their interaction with the billboards that hold them. The street artists throw these pieces up to interject a new message in the ongoing production of urban space, remaking the message of outdoor advertising. The pieces spread the knowledge of the billboard inventory through the Los Angeles landscape, providing fodder for different fabulatory responses to billboards than those envisioned by outdoor advertisers.

I asked DOC whether the billboard that held his work (Figure 3, right) was technically illegal. He said, "We thought about trying to figure that out for each sign we took over but then we realized that it didn't matter ... the point is that so many are illegal you don't know which signs are illegal and which aren't". His thought process reveals the effects of informal regulation: in a realm so suffused with legal violations, the very categories of legal and illegal break down and become meaningless. Not surprisingly, some city agents are uncomfortable with this effect of informality. There is a movement in City Hall to solve this in the simplest way possible—to issue valid permits to illegal billboards. In the minds of these city legislators, the categories of legal and illegal will be reinvigorated, and the cracks in the city's facade of authority will be smoothed over. Yet this quick wiping away of illegalities would confirm that the city's legal categories are changeable. Other city agents including members of the City Attorney's office, oppose this "amnesty" for billboards. After years of

bitter lawsuits against outdoor advertisers compounded by their daily experience of illegal signs in the landscape, they are not prepared to grant this gift to the outdoor advertisers. Thus, the illegal signs stand, the knowledge of illegality created by the inventory spreads, and the informal landscape continues.

#### Acknowledgment

I would like to thank John Given, Dennis Hathaway, Su Jin Lee, and John Wilson for their efforts in support of this project. I am indebted to the reviewers for their thoughtful consideration and insightful suggestions.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### References

- AlSayyad N and Roy A (2004) Urban Informality: Transnational Perspectives from the Middle East, Latin America, and South Asia. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Aronczyk M and Powers D (2010) *Blowing Up the Brand: Critical Perspectives on Promotional Culture.* New York: Peter Lang.
- Banerjee T (2001) The future of public space: beyond invented streets and reinvented places. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 67: 9–24.
- Benjamin W (1999) The Arcades Project. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Blumenberg E and Ehrenfeucht R (2008) Civil liberties and the regulation of public space: The case of sidewalks in Las Vegas. *Environment and Planning A* 40: 303–322.
- Cronin A (2008a) Calculative spaces: Cities, market relations, and the commercial vitalism of the outdoor advertising industry. *Environment and Planning A* 40: 2734–2750.
- Cronin A (2008b) Urban space and entrepreneurial property relations: Resistance and the vernacular of outdoor advertising and graffiti. In: Cronin A and Hetherington K (eds) Consuming the Entrepreneurial City: Image, Memory, Spectacle. New York: Routledge, pp. 65–84.
- Cronin A (2010) Advertising, Commercial Spaces and the Urban. New York: Palgrave.
- Daichendt GJ (2012) Stay Up! Los Angeles Street Art. Petaluma, CA: Cameron + Company.
- Donald J (1999) Imagining the Modern City. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Follman A (2015) Urban mega-projects for a 'world-class' riverfront The interplay of informality, flexibility and exceptionality along the Yamuna in Delhi, India. *Habitat International* 45: 213–222.
- Fyfe N (1998) Images of the Street: Planning, Identity and Control in Public Space. London: Routledge.
- Hack G (2011) Urban flux. In: Banerjee T and Loukaitou-Sideris A (eds) *Companion to Urban Design*. New York: Routledge, pp. 446–462.
- Hart K (1973) Informal income opportunities and urban employment in Ghana. *Modern African Studies* 11: 61–89.
- Hayles NK (1999) *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Hoeger K (2007) Brandhubs: European strategies of corporate urbanism. In: Bruyns G, Fuchs A, Hoekstra MJ, Meyer VJ and van Nes A (eds) 4th international seminar on urbanism and urbanization: The European tradition in urbanism and its future. Delft: TU.

- Iveson K (2012) Branded cities: Outdoor advertising, urban governance, and the outdoor media landscape. Antipode 44: 151–174.
- Klein N (2000) No Logo: Taking Aim at the Brand Bullies. Toronto: Vintage Canada.
- Klingmann A (2004) Brandscapes: Architecture in the Experience Economy. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Lefebvre H (1991) The Production of Space. Oxford: Blackwell.

Low S and Smith N (2006) The Politics of Public Space. New York: Routledge.

Lowery B and Sloane D (2014) The prevalence of harmful content on outdoor advertising in Los Angeles: Land use, community characteristics, and the spatial inequality of a public health nuisance. *American Journal of Public Health* 104: 658–664.

Lury C (2004) Brands: The Logos of the Global Economy. New York: Routledge.

- MacKay D (1969) Information, Mechanism and Meaning. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Maturana H and Varela F (1980 [1973]) Autopoesis and Cognition: The Realization of the Living. Hingham, MA: Kluwer Boston Inc.
- Moor L (2007) The Rise of Brands. New York: Berg.
- Németh J (2010) Security in public space: An empirical assessment of three US cities. *Environment and Planning A* 42: 2487–2507.
- Roy A (2005) Urban informality. Towards an epistemology of planning. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 71: 147–158.
- Roy A (2009) Why India cannot plan its cities: Informality, insurgence and the idiom of urbanization. *Planning Theory* 8: 76–87.
- Roy A (2012) Urban informality: The production of space and practice of planning. In: Weber R and Crane R (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Urban Planning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 691–705.
- Shannon C (1948) A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal* 27: 379–423.
- Sorkin M (1992) See you in Disneyland. In: Sorkin M (ed.) Variations on a Theme Park: The New American City and the End of Public Space. New York: Hill and Wang, pp. 205–232.

Wilson S (2012) Los Angeles' war on street artists. LA Weekly, 30 August.

Compendium of Recent Research Studies on Distraction from Commercial Electronic Variable Message Signs (CEVMS)

> Prepared by Jerry Wachtel, CPE President, The Veridian Group, Inc. Berkeley, California



February 2016

## **Table of Contents**

Background	. 3
Summary of Findings	. 6
Chan, et al., 2008, USA, Amherst, MA	6
Young, et al., 2009, England	6
Backer-Grøndahl, 2009, Norway	6
Chattington, et al., 2009, England	7
Horberry, et al., 2009, Australia	7
Gitelman, et al., 2010, Israel	7
Bendak & Al-Saleh, 2010, Saudi Arabia	7
Milloy & Caird, 2011, Canada	8
Edquist, et al., 2011, Australia	8
Dukic, et al., 2012, Sweden	8
Perez, et al, 2012, USA, Washington, DC	8
Divekar, et al., 2013, USA, Amherst, MA	9
Roberts, et al., 2013, Australia	9
Herrstedt, et al., 2013, Denmark	9
Hawkins, et al., 2014, USA, College Station, TX	10
Schieber, et al., 2014, USA, Vermillion, SD	10
Gitelman, et al., 2014, Israel	10
Sisiopiku, et al., 2015, USA, Birmingham, AL	11
Rempel, et al., 2015, Canada	11
Samsa & Phillips, 2015, Australia	11
Belyusar et al., 2016, USA, Cambridge, MA	11
Compendium of Recent Research Studies 1	13

## Background

This is the second in a series of brief updates based upon this author's 2009 report for AASHTO through NCHRP Project 20-7/256,<sup>1</sup> which was a comprehensive and critical review of research that had been undertaken, and guidelines that had been developed up to that time that addressed the potential consequences for driver distraction from Commercial Electronic Variable Message Signs (CEVMS) along the roadside.

We critically reviewed all of the research papers (more than 40) that had been published or presented within the prior 30 years. These papers represented the work of academic, industry, and government researchers in many countries (including, but not limited to: Sweden, Denmark, Israel, Canada, US, England, and Australia), and which followed many different research protocols. Whereas earlier studies (primarily those from the 1990s and prior) often suffered from limitations in equipment, methodology, or statistical rigor, leaving their conclusions open to question and controversy, those performed in the more recent past were generally more robust, and tended to reach similar conclusions to each other.

The previous update was done in June, 2013 and presented at a joint meeting of AASHTO's traffic engineering and highway safety subcommittees. The new material in this update includes nine studies in five countries.

Broadly summarized, the more recent studies have tended to find that outdoor advertising signs, particularly CEVMS, attract drivers' attention, and that more dramatic and salient signs attract longer and more frequent glances. This attention is often captured through a "bottom up" physiological process, in which the driver attends to the sign unintentionally and unconsciously, with the eyes captured involuntarily by the sign's changing imagery, brightness, conspicuity, and/or movement.

Several of the reported studies suggested that the distraction caused by outdoor advertising signs could be tolerated by experienced drivers and when attentional or cognitive demands of the driving task were low, but that the risk increased when such signs competed for the driver's visual attention with more demanding road, traffic, and weather conditions, when travel speeds were higher, or when an unanticipated event or action (such as a sudden lane change or hard braking by a lead vehicle) occurred to which the driver had to respond quickly and correctly.

In addition, the more recent research continues to show that the drivers most susceptible to unsafe levels of distraction from roadside billboards are the young (who are more prone to distraction and less adept at emergency vehicle response) and the elderly (who have more difficulty with rapidly shifting attention, poorer night vision and glare susceptibility, and slower mental processing time). As will be seen in this Compendium, these concerns are heightened today, with our elderly driver population growing quickly, traffic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Wachtel, J. (2009). "Safety Impacts of the Emerging Digital Display Technology for Outdoor Advertising Signs: Final Report. NCHRP Report 20-7/256. Available at: <u>http://rightofway.transportation.org/Documents/NCHRP%20Reports/20-</u> 7(256)%20digital%20outdoor%20advertising\_aashto.pdf

increasingly dense, more roads under maintenance or repair (construction and work zones create added risks), and larger, brighter digital and video roadside advertising signs competing for the driver's attention.

Finally, the most recent epidemiological studies (dating from 2014 and 2015) have begun to demonstrate what has long been suspected but not proven – that roadside billboards are associated with increases in crash rates where such billboards are located.

The research and guidelines reviewed in our 2009 report set the stage for the 21 research articles and guidelines that are reviewed and summarized in this compendium.

#### While employing a broad array of approaches and methodologies, the common theme clearly indicates that the more that commercial digital signs succeed in attracting the attention of motorists that render them a worthwhile investment for owners and advertisers, the more they represent a threat to safety along our busiest streets and highways, where these signs tend to be located.

The long awaited study by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), announced on the agency's website on December 30, 2014, is an outlier in this group of recent studies (except for those sponsored by the outdoor advertising industry<sup>2</sup>), in that it found no relationship

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In 2007, two studies sponsored by the outdoor advertising industry (the Outdoor Advertising Association of America [OAAA] and its research arm, the Foundation for Outdoor Advertising Research and Education [FOARE]) were submitted through the peer review process to the Transportation Research Board of The National Academies. Both reports, one a human factors study by the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute (VTTI), and the other an epidemiological study by Tantala and Tantala, received overall negative reviews from peer reviewers, and were therefore rejected by TRB both for presentation and publication. Although Virginia Tech has not performed subsequent work in this field, Tantala and Tantala have continued to perform research under the sponsorship of OAAA/FOARE. However, for whatever reasons, FOARE and OAAA have not made the subsequent studies available to the public, so they could not be addressed in this Compendium of research.

The Tantala and Tantala 2007 study was an epidemiological analyses of crash rates, but the authors established data collection parameters that led them to exclude from examination the very driver cohorts (older drivers) and road locations (interchange areas) known to be at greatest risk for distraction. Subsequent comments from the senior author of these studies, to the effect that their subsequent studies follow the same basic methodology as the one performed in 2007 (with the exception of a more robust statistical technique to analyze the data), remains a cause for concern because of these methodological biases. The other industry study released by FOARE in 2007, the human factors analysis performed by VTTI, actually found that digital signs were associated with more long-duration glances away from the forward roadway than other types of signs, and further found that the problem was considerably worse at night. However, the authors edited their final report to make it seem as if these adverse consequences did not exist, and their industry sponsors terminated the nighttime research after the pilot data had been collected and reviewed. At that time, many experts considered an "eyes-off-road" duration of two seconds or longer to be the threshold for a substantially higher level of crash risk, and the Virginia Tech team actually found a number of instances in which digital signs caused participating drivers to take their eyes off the road for two and three seconds or longer, whereas the other test conditions (areas with traditional billboards and roadway sections devoid of billboards) did not produce this result to the same extent.

between digital billboards and adverse driver scanning behavior. The FHWA study, however, has been severely criticized for faulty methods and analyses in a peer-reviewed critique by the present author<sup>3</sup>. The FHWA study remains available on the agency's website, but has never been formally published.

It has been shown that road environments cluttered with driving-irrelevant material (often called visual complexity) make it difficult to extract critical information necessary for safe driving in a timely manner, a particular problem for older drivers. In addition, with the growing proliferation of CEVMS, ever-newer technology that renders them more compelling, the expansion of on-premise signs using this technology, and several States considering the use of such signs within the right-of-way, it was deemed appropriate to provide an up-to-date review of the most recent research and guidelines.

The next section of this report provides a brief summary of each of the studies. The following section, the Compendium itself, provides further details about each study, including its sponsorship, research protocol, strengths and weaknesses, and source identification. This document concludes with a complete list of references as cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Wachtel, Jerry (2015). "A Peer-Reviewed Critique of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Report Titled: "Driver Visual Behavior in the Presence of Commercial Electronic Variable Message Signs (CEVMS)." Available at:

http://nebula.wsimg.com/722c5bb9d76d4b10b6d7add54d962329?AccessKeyId=388DC3CA49BF0BEF098B &disposition=0&alloworigin=1

## **Summary of Findings**

This section summarizes the major findings of each of the 22 studies discussed in the Compendium. Key conclusions are highlighted in **bold**. The subsequent section of this report, the Compendium itself, provides additional detail about each study, and information about how to access the study, where available.

The studies are cited here, and in the Compendium, in generally chronological order.

### Chan, et al., 2008 – USA, Amherst, MA

The researchers compared susceptibility to distraction from sources inside the vehicle (e.g. phone dialing, map reading) to those outside the vehicle (e.g. billboards) for both young novice drivers and experienced drivers. As predicted, for the in-vehicle distractors, the young drivers looked away from the roadway for extended periods (2 seconds or longer) more than twice as often as the experienced drivers. Surprisingly, however, results showed that: (a) external distractors were even more distracting, and (b) the experienced drivers were just as distracted as the newly-licensed drivers on this critical measure of distraction when they performed the outside-the-vehicle tasks. The authors had assumed that experienced drivers would exercise the same degree of caution with the external distractors as they did with the internal ones. Instead, "the experienced drivers showed little concern for the effect that diverting their attention to the side of the roadway might have had on their ability to perceive potential risks immediately in front." In some 81% of the external tasks, older drivers glanced for longer than 2s away from the forward roadway. The authors concluded by saying: "...we think that our drivers engaged in the external search task were truly distracted with potentially serious consequences."

### Young, et al., 2009 - England

In this driving simulator study, participants drove rural, urban, and highway routes in the presence and absence of roadside billboards, while their driving performance was measured. Billboards had a detrimental effect on lateral control, and appeared to increase crash risk. Longitudinal control was not affected. The most striking effects were found for driver attention. Driver mental workload (using the NASA developed TLX scale) significantly increased in the presence of billboards. On rural roads and motorways, results showed that billboards were consciously attended to at the cost of more relevant road signs. The authors reached a **"persuasive overall conclusion that advertising has adverse effects on driving performance and driver attention**. Whilst there are sometimes conflicts of interest at Local Authority level when authorizing billboards (since Councils often take a share of the profit from roadside advertising), these data could and should be used to redress the balance in favour of road safety."

### Backer-Grøndahl, & Sagberg, 2009 - Norway

The authors asked drivers who had actually been involved in a crash to identify, from a list, what they believed were the causes of distraction for that crash. (Cell phone use was excluded). The most frequently reported sources of distraction were: (1)

conversations with passengers, and (2) attending to children in the back seat. However, when the researchers applied the statistical method known as quasi-induced exposure, they found that distractions with the "highest relative risk" were: (1) billboards outside the vehicle, and, (2) searching for addresses. The authors note that both of the highest risk distractors were *visual* distractions, rather than physical, auditory, or cognitive ones.

### Chattington, et al., 2009 - England

The researchers found "significant effects on both drivers' visual behavior and driving performance" in the presence of both static and video billboards. As expected, the video signs were seen as more potent distractors than similarly placed static signs. The authors state that their results "support and extend (the findings of) other studies of driver distraction by advertising," citing studies by Crundall, et al, and of Young and Mahfoud (both of which were extensively reviewed in the Wachtel 2009 report for AASHTO). The study showed that **several aspects of driving performance were adversely affected by both video and static billboards, with the video signs generally more harmful to such performance than the static signs. The authors list these effects as: speed control, braking, and lane position maintenance.** 

### Horberry, et al., 2009 - Australia

Road authorities may be justified in using the best research information available, even if incomplete, coupled with engineering judgment, for the development of billboard guidelines. The authors recommend that their client (Queensland, Australia) adopt advertising restrictions at known areas of high driver workload, including "locations with high accident rates, lane merges, curves/bends, hills and road/works/abnormal traffic flows." (They state that) "this is broadly in line with Wachtel who recommended a restriction of advertisements at times when driver decision, action points and cognitive demand are greatest – such as at freeway exits/entrances, lane reductions, merges and curves. Although useful for all road users, such restrictions would be of specific benefit to older drivers."

### Gitelman, et al., 2010 - Israel

The authors studied crashes at two highway locations along the same heavily traveled freeway – a "treatment" section in which previously visible billboards were covered as part of a trial period, and a "control" section in which the billboards remained visible. At the control sites, crashes remained essentially the same throughout the 3-year study period; at the treatment sites, crashes declined dramatically after the billboards were covered. The results were similar for injury and fatal crashes. After adjusting for traffic volume, **crashes were reduced at the treatment sites (where billboards had been covered) by the following percentages: all crashes by 60%; injury/fatal crashes by 39%; property damage crashes by 72%.** 

#### Bendak & Al-Saleh, 2010 - Saudi Arabia

The authors used a driving simulator in which test subjects drove on two similar roads, one with advertising signs and one without. Twelve male volunteers, ages 23-28,

participated in the study. Driver opinions about billboards were also sought using a simple questionnaire distributed to male drivers at random in the city of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. 160 questionnaires were returned. Results of the simulator study showed that **the driving speed of participants was not affected by the presence of advertising signs.** However, two of the five indicators were statistically significant. Both "drifting unnecessarily from (the) lane" and "recklessly crossing dangerous intersections" were significantly more prevalent in the presence of billboards. Although not reaching statistical significance, each of the other three measures, tailgating, speeding, and failure to signal, were all worse in the presence of billboards. Half of the respondents to the questionnaire indicated that they had been distracted by a billboard, and 22% indicated that they had been put in a dangerous situation due to distraction from billboards.

### Milloy & Caird, 2011 - Canada

This was a driving simulator study that looked at distraction effects of a video billboard and a wind turbine. **The results demonstrated a** *causal* (italics original) relationship between the presence of a video billboard and collisions with, and delays in responding to, the lead vehicle.

### Edquist, et al., 2011 - Australia

"The finding that the presence of billboards increases time to detect changes is an important one." Billboards can automatically attract attention when drivers are engaged in other tasks, delaying their responses to other aspects in the environment. The effect of billboards was particularly strong in scenes where response times are already lengthened by high levels of visual clutter. This is of particular concern because roads with high levels of clutter are the very kind of busy, commercial, high traffic environments where billboards are most often erected."

The results are consistent with growing evidence suggesting that billboards impair aspects of driving performance such as visual search and the detection of hazards, and therefore should be more precisely regulated.

#### Dukic, et al., 2012 - Sweden

In this on-road, instrumented vehicle study, **drivers had a significantly longer dwell time (time looking at the billboards)**, a greater number of fixations, and a longer maximum fixation duration when driving past digital billboards compared to other signs along the same road sections.

#### Perez, et al., 2012 – USA, Washington, DC

The authors of this Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) sponsored study used an instrumented vehicle that recorded volunteer drivers' eye glances as they drove along pre-determined routes in Reading, Pennsylvania and Richmond, Virginia. The routes included digital as well as static billboards, undefined on-premise signs, and areas free of commercial signage. The routes were driven during daylight and at night, and the report found that **digital billboards "were not associated with 'unacceptably long glances away from the road'."** As noted above, however, the draft report of this

study was strongly criticized by the agency's selected peer reviewers, particularly with regard to the efficacy of the obtained eye glance data. Indeed, the participants in the study did gaze more often to digital billboards than to other signs, in some cases more than twice as much. (For example 71% vs. 29% at night in Richmond). As a result of the critical peer reviews, the authors took 33 months to revise the study, which, although dated September 2012, was released on the agency's website on December 30, 2013. This revised report, in turn, was reviewed by the present author, whose critical report was reviewed and agreed-to by 14 independent expert peer reviewers. To our knowledge, the revised FHWA report was not subjected to peer review by the agency prior to its issuance on the agency website, and it has never been given an official agency report number, putting it in a state of uncertainty with regard to its publication.

### Divekar, et al., 2013 – USA, Amherst, MA

Experienced drivers are far less likely to be distracted by inside-the-vehicle tasks (e.g. cell phone, map display, entertainment system) than novice drivers. However, the researchers were surprised to find that **experienced and novice drivers are at an equal and elevated risk of getting into a crash when they are performing a secondary task outside the vehicle such as looking at billboards** 

## Roberts, et al., 2013 - Australia

The appearance of movement or changes in luminance can involuntarily capture attention, and engaging information can capture attention to the detriment of driving performance, particularly in inexperienced drivers. Where this happens in a driving situation that is also cognitively demanding, the consequences for driving performance are likely to be significant. Further, if this results in a situation where a driver's eyes are off the forward roadway for 2 seconds or longer, this will further reduce safety. Additionally, road environments cluttered with driving-irrelevant material may make it difficult to extract information that is necessary for safe driving, particularly for older drivers. The studies that have been conducted show convincingly that roadside advertising is distracting and that it may lead to poorer vehicle control.

## Herrstedt, et al., 2013 - Denmark

The authors studied drivers using an instrumented car equipped with an eye-tracking system, a GPS system for registering the vehicle's speed, and a laser scanner for measurement of following distances to other road users. The overall findings of the studies demonstrate that **"advertising signs do affect driver attention to the extent that road safety is compromised."** In 69% of all drives past advertising signs, the driver glanced at least once at the sign; in almost half of all drives, the driver glanced twice or more at the same sign. For 22% of all drives, the total glance duration of successive glances was two (2) seconds or longer. In 18% of all drives, glance durations of one (1) second or longer was recorded. In approximately 25% of all glances, the safety buffer to the vehicle ahead was less than two (2) seconds, and in 20% of the glances, the safety buffer was less than 1.5 seconds. This study has been praised in independent peer review by Dr. Richard Pain, Transportation Research Board Senior Program Officer, retired. Dr. Pain considered this study to be the best designed and

conducted on-road study in this field, the conclusions of which, he believes, were far more valid and robust than those of the FHWA study (discussed above).

### Hawkins, et al., 2014 – USA, College Station, TX

This study, sponsored by the on-premise signage industry, was a statistical (epidemiological) analysis of crash rates in the vicinity of on-premise digital signs that had been first installed in 2006-07. On premise signs differ from billboards in several ways. Per the common meaning of the term, on-premise signs must advertise only a business or service that is available on the property on which the sign is located. Because of that, on-premise signs typically function to identify the business and, as such, they may have little text or imagery other than that required for such identification. On the other hand, they are often closer to the road than billboards are permitted to be, and it is often possible for them to be larger than billboards and to feature motion or the appearance of motion. This study employed an analysis methodology known as *empirical Bayes* (or EB) to look at before-and-after crash data in four states. A total of 135 sign locations and 1,301 control sites were used, and the researchers found **"no evidence the installation of on-premise signs at these locations led to an automatic increase in the number of crashes."** 

### Schieber, et al., 2014 – USA, Vermillion, SD

In this simulator study the authors varied message length (4, 8, or 12 words) on digital billboards that participants drove past at either 25 or 50 MPH. Although there was no decrement in lane keeping or billboard reading performance at the lower speed on straight roads, "clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher (50 MPH) driving speed." The analysis revealed that, rather than weaving in and out of lane while reading the billboards with longer messages, participants tended to slowly drift away from the lane center and then execute a large amplitude corrective steering input about eight (8) seconds after passing the billboard. Eye gaze analysis showed that information processing overload began to emerge with a message length of eight (8) words, and was clearly present with twelve (12) word messages under the 50 MPH condition.

#### Gitelman, et al., 2014 - Israel

In 2014, these authors had the opportunity to add an additional data set to that in their 2010 study (discussed above), and to reanalyze the data from the original study. This was because the road authorities issued a decision to reauthorize the display of billboards that they had previously had ordered covered. In other words, the authors had the opportunity to study traffic crashes on a single roadway when billboards were: (a) visible, then (b) covered, then (c) visible again. The 2010 study examined conditions (a) and (b), and the 2014 supplement added condition (c) and a reanalysis of (a) and (b). They found that: **"The results support and strengthen the previous findings." Removal/covering of the billboards from the highway (condition [b]) was associated with a 30-40% reduction in injury crashes from condition (a) according to two different databases, whereas the reintroduction/uncovering of the billboards (condition [c]) was associated with a 40-50% or 18-45% increase in such crashes, depending on the database cited. The trends were similar and** 

consistent across damage-only, injury, and total accidents as well as nighttime vs. daytime injury accidents.

## Sisiopiku, et al., 2015 – USA, AL, FL

The authors analyzed crashes from eight (8) digital billboard locations in Alabama and ten (10) in Florida. All sites were on high speed, limited access highways. A total of 377 crashes in Florida and 77 in Alabama were used in the analysis. Actual traffic collision reports were used since the authors discovered numerous errors in coding in the summary crash databases that they initially examined. Although the data set was too small to employ statistical analyses, the authors found that **"the presence of digital billboards increased the overall crash rates in areas of billboard influence compared to control areas downstream of the digital billboard locations. The increase was 25% in Florida and 29% in Alabama."** The predominant crash types that were overrepresented at billboard locations were rear-end and sideswipe collisions, both typical of driver distraction.

## Rempel, et al., 2015 - Canada

These authors, working on behalf of the Transport Association of Canada, developed a set of guidelines for the control of digital and projected advertising signs. The resultant guidelines are based on a comprehensive literature review, a survey of Canadian governmental jurisdictions, a review of existing sign regulations, interviews with international Governmental agencies, discussions with sign industry representatives, and the application of human factors and traffic engineering principles. **The key principle documented in the Guidelines is that they "provide recommendations designed to control (digital billboards)** *such that they emulate static advertising signs* (italics added), and therefore result in a similar distracting and road safety effect as static advertisements."

## Samsa & Phillips, 2015 - Australia

These authors, working on behalf of the Outdoor Media Association of Australia, studied 29 participants, ages 25-54 in an instrumented vehicle. The participants were fitted with "eye tracking glasses" and their eye fixations and driving performance was assessed as they drove a 14.6 km route in Brisbane, Queensland. **The route took them past a "number" of advertising signs, including static, digital, and on-premise signs. The results showed that fixation durations "were well below" 0.75 seconds, and that there were no significant differences in vehicle headways between the three types of signage. One statistically significant finding was that lateral deviation was poorer when billboards were present. (Note that, at present, only an Abstract of this industry-sponsored study is available).** 

## Belyusar, et al., 2016 – USA, Cambridge, MA

In this on-road study, data was collected from 123 subjects, nearly equally divided between males (63) and females (60) and between young (age 20-29, N = 63) and older (age 60-69, N = 60). These volunteers drove an instrumented vehicle under normal driving conditions (with no specific tasks to perform) past a digital billboard on a

posted 65 MPH roadway with four travel lanes in each direction. Data was collected during late morning and early afternoon to avoid commuter traffic. The authors state: "In contrast to the recent FHWA report (Perez, et al., 2012), the findings revealed statistically significant changes in total number of glances and, depending upon the direction of travel, moderate-to-long duration glances in the direction of the billboard." Older drivers were thought to be particularly affected. The authors also found that: "Drivers glanced more at the time of a switch to a new advertisement display than during a comparable section of roadway when the billboard was simply visible and stable." Given typical billboard dwell (cycle) times of six (6) or eight (8) seconds, these findings add to the argument the dwell times for such signs should be considerably longer.

## Compendium of Recent Research Studies on Commercial Electronic Variable Message Signs (CEVMS)

### Key to Codes Used in Tables:

\*Type of Study:

- N = on-road, naturalistic
- Q = on-road, quasi-naturalistic
- C = on-road, controlled
- S = lab, simulator
- L = lab, other
- E = epidemiological, crash data
- R = review of other work
- CR = critical review of other work
- D = discussion /consultation with experts
- G = guidelines or regulations development
- QI = questionnaires, interviews, surveys, focus groups, etc.

\*\*Type of Signs Studied:

- 0 = On-premise
- C = Conventional billboard
- D = Digital billboard
- V = Sign contains video or animation
- H = Official highway sign
- U = Unknown

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2008
published/presented	
Location	U.S. (Massachusetts)
Author(s)	Chan, E., Pradhan, AK, Knodler, MA, Jr., Pollatsek, A. & Fisher, DL
Title	Empirical Evaluation on a Driving Simulator of the Effect of Distractions Inside and
Affiliation	Outside the Vehicle on Drivers' Eye Behaviors
Forum	TRB – presentation and CD ROM
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	National Science Foundation; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)
Type of Study*	S
Type of Signs Studied**	C (simulated)
Brief Description of	Young, novice drivers (age 16-17) are at greatly elevated risk of crashing, and it is
Method	believed that distraction plays a large role in such crashes. More experienced, older teen
	drivers (age 18-19) have also been shown to look away from the forward roadway for
	extended periods of time. This simulator study compared such extended, off-roadway
	glance durations of newly licensed drivers to those of older, experienced drivers, using
	eye movement recordings as participants drove along a simulated roadway and engaged
	in distracting tasks both inside and outside the vehicle.
Summary of Findings	The researchers compared the average maximum duration of an <i>episode</i> , (the maximum
	time that drivers spent continuously looking away from the forward roadway). For the
	in-vehicle distractors, the average was 1.63s for the experienced drivers, and 2.76s for
	the younger drivers. Another measure, the percentage of scenarios in which the
	maximum duration of an episode was greater than 2s, yielded similar findings. The
	results were statistically significant between the two groups. As predicted for in-vehicle
	distractors, the young drivers looked away from the roadway for extended periods (2s or
	longer) more than twice as often as the experienced drivers while engaged in inside-the-
	vehicle distractors (such as phone dialing, map reading, and CD searching). Surprisingly,
	however, results showed that: (a) external distractors were even more distracting, and
	(b) there was no difference between newly-licensed and experienced drivers on this
	critical measure of distraction when the drivers performed outside-the-vehicle tasks,
	specifically, searching for a target letter in a 5x5 grid representative of a billboard. The
	authors had assumed that experienced drivers would exercise the same degree of
	caution with the external distractors as they did with the internal ones. Instead, "the
	experienced drivers showed little concern for the effect that diverting their attention to
	the side of the roadway might have had on their ability to perceive potential risks
	immediately in front. In fact, in 81% of the external tasks, older drivers glanced for
	longer than 2s away from the forward roadway. The authors conclude: "we think that
	our drivers engaged in the external search task were truly distracted with potential
<u> </u>	serous consequences."
Strengths	The study is the first to directly compare the susceptibility to distraction from internal
	and external tasks between newly licensed and experienced drivers.
Weaknesses/Limitations	Older drivers were not included in this study. The representativeness of the outside-the
	vehicle task is questionable.
Availability/Accessibility	TRB 2008 Annual Meeting CD-ROM

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2009
published/presented	
Location	UK (England, London)
Author(s)	Young, MS, Mahfoud, JM, Stanton, N. Salmon, PM, Jenkins, DP & Walker, GH.
Title	"Conflicts of Interest: The implications of roadside advertising for driver attention."
Affiliation	Brunel University, West London, England
Forum	Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, Vol. 12(5), September 2009, 381-388.
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Insurance company – The Rees Jeffreys Road Fund
Type of Study*	S
Type of Signs Studied**	С, Н
Brief Description of Method	The study was conducted in the University's driving simulator. 48 drivers drove urban, rural, and motorway routes in the presence and absence of billboards. Dependent variables included measures of speed and lateral control, and driver attention (mental workload, eye movements, and recall of signs and billboards).
Summary of Findings	The presence of billboards had a detrimental effect on lateral control, and appeared to increase crash risk. Longitudinal control was not affected. More striking effects were found for driver attention. Driver mental workload significantly increased in the presence of billboards. On rural roads and motorways, results showed that billboards were consciously attended to at the cost of more relevant road signs. "We must once again emphasize the persuasive overall conclusion that advertising has adverse effects on driving performance and driver attention. Whilst there are sometimes conflicts of interest at Local Authority level when authorizing billboards (since Councils often take a share of the profit from roadside advertising), these data could and should be used to redress the balance in favour of road safety."
Strengths	A fully interactive high fidelity simulator was used. The use of the NASA-TLX instrument for measuring subjective mental workload was a useful tool that is used too infrequently in studies of driver performance. All participants experienced identical road and sign condition the only manipulation being the presence or absence of billboards.
Weaknesses/Limitations	The sample of participants did not include either older or younger drivers – the age groups thought to be at greatest risk for adverse consequences of billboard distraction. Measures of lateral and longitudinal variability were constrained by the study design and were not fully representative of the measures of these variables used most commonly in the US.
Availability/Accessibility	Journal is available online.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2009
published/presented	
Location	Norway
Author(s)	Backer-Grøndahl, A., & Sagberg, F.
Title;	"Relative crash involvement risk associated with different sources of driver distraction."
Affiliation	Institute of Transport Economics, Norway
Forum	First International Conference on Driver Distraction and Inattention
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Unknown
Type of Study*	E, QI
Type of Signs Studied**	С
Brief description of	Used web- and paper-based questionnaire to ask 4300+ drivers who had been in a crash
method	to identify from a list of possible choices the cause of their crash. Separated those at fault
	from those not at fault. Relative crash risk of each factor was estimated using the quasi-
	induced exposure method.
Summary of Findings	The most <i>frequent</i> sources of distraction were: (1) conversations with passengers, and
	(2) attending to children in the back seat. When the statistical method was applied to the
	data, it was found that distractions with the " <i>highest relative risk</i> " were: (1) billboards
	outside the vehicle, and, (2) searching for addresses. The authors note that both of the
	highest risk distractors were <i>visual</i> distractions, vs. physical, auditory, or cognitive.
Strengths	Authors controlled for possible confounding variables (such as age, gender, driving
	experience [years] and annual mileage driven) using logistical regression with culpability
	as the dependent variable.
Weaknesses/Limitations	Some researchers question the viability of the quasi-induced exposure method; cell
	phone use was (intentionally) excluded from the questionnaire. (It likely would have
	proven to be the highest risk factor). Confidence intervals were quite large.
Availability/Accessibility	Presented at large international conference; published in conference proceedings.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2009
published/presented	
Location	UK - England
Author(s)	Chattington, M., Reed, N., Basacik, D., Flint, A., & Parkes, A.
Title	"Investigating Driver Distraction: The Effects of Video and Static Advertising:
Affiliation	Transport Research Laboratory
Forum	Report
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Transport for London
Type of Study*	S
Type of Signs Studied**	<b>C</b> , V
Brief Description of	Used the high fidelity TRL driving simulator, with a specifically designed
Method	urban/suburban database typical of the area around London. 48 participants drove 4 different routes, each of which required about 15 minutes. Participants did not know the purpose of the study. Their eye movements were unobtrusively recorded. Roadside advertising was designed to vary by: location (placement within the scene); type (static or video); and exposure duration (at 30 MPH, drivers could see at least 50% of the advertisement for either 2, 4, or 6+ seconds. Video ads ran in a 6-second loop.
Summary of Findings	performance when static and video adverts are present and that the video adverts seem more potent distractors than similarly placed static adverts. The results support and extend (the findings of) other studies of driver distraction by advertising." (Here, the
	authors cite the work of Crundall, et al, and of Young and Mahfoud, both of which were extensively reviewed in the Wachtel 2009 report for AASHTO).
	The study showed that several different aspects of driving performance were adversely affected both video and static billboards, with the video signs generally more harmful to such performance than the static signs. The authors describe these effects as being "fundamental to the safe control of the vehicle." The effects include: speed control, braking, and the variability of each of these measures, as well as drivers showing that they are "less able to maintain a consistent lane position"
Strengths	A very comprehensive and sophisticated simulation study. The researchers went so far as to pre-screen the content of the simulated advertisements to ensure that they were of equivalent interest to the different age groups in their participant population.
Weaknesses/Limitations	It is important to note that this study compared digital video billboards to traditional static billboards (i.e. it did not examine digital billboards with intermittent displays (i.e. those that change their message every 6-8 seconds) that are typical in the U.S. Although the authors state that their participants represented a "wide range of ages," it is not known how well young and old drivers were represented in the study. This is of concern because these two age groups at the ends of the driving population distribution are known to have the greatest degree of difficulty with attention and distraction
Availability/Accessibility	TRL Report Number RPN256.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2009
published/presented	
Location	Australia, Queensland
Author(s)	Horberry, T., Regan, MA, & Edquist, J.
Title	Driver Distraction from Roadside Advertising: The clash of road safety evidence, highway
Affiliation	authority guidelines, and commercial advertising pressure.
	University of Queensland (Australia), INRETS (France), Monash University (Australia).
Forum	Unknown
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Swedish National Road and Transport Institute, VTI
Type of Study*	CR, D, G
Type of Signs Studied**	C, D
Brief Description of Method	Critical review of the research, worldwide, as well as existing guidelines and regulations.
Summary of Findings	"Road authorities around the world may be justified in using the best research information available (albeit incomplete) coupled with engineering judgment for the development of 3 <sup>rd</sup> party advertising guidelines." The authors recommend that Main Roads Queensland adopt advertising restrictions at known areas of high driver workload including "locations with high accident rates, non-junction related lane merges, curves/bends, hills and road/works/abnormal traffic flows. This is broadly in line with Wachtel who recommended a restriction of advertisements at times when driver decision, action points and cognitive demand are greatest – such as at freeway exits/entrances, lane reductions, merges and curves. Although useful for all road users, such restrictions would be of specific benefit to older drivers." The authors correctly point out the flaw in arguments that suggest that guidance or regulatory controls are premature because there is a lack of data showing a causal relationship between billboards and accidents
Strengths	The study examined in detail the existing (2002) guidelines that seek to "minimize the possibility for 3 <sup>rd</sup> party roadside advertisements to distract drivers" with an intent toward developing upgraded guidelines.
Weaknesses/Limitations	The review of current guidelines, worldwide, is somewhat superficial.
Availability/Accessibility	https://document.chalmers.se/download?docid=653291678

Data 1st	2010
Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2010
Location	Israel (Tel Aviv)
Author(s)	Gitelman V Zaidel D & Doveh E
Title	"Influence of Billhoards on Driving Behavior and Road Safety"
Affiliation	initiacitée of binbourds on briving benavior and Road barety,
Forum	Presented at: Fifth International Conference on Traffic and Transportation Psychology
	(2012): and at Annual Meeting of Transportation Research Board of the National
	Academies (2013)
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Israel National Roads Authority
Type of Study*	E
Study Design	Quasi-experimental: Before and after crash date with controls – Crash data with DBBs
	present (2006-7) and absent (2008), with and without signs that were covered.
	Dependent measure – crashes and injuries. Control variable – traffic volume. Study sites
	– 8 treatment and 6 control.
Type of Signs Studied**	С
Brief Description of	Because of complaints, Israel's Supreme Court ruled that a series of billboards on an
Method	urban freeway near Tel Aviv had to be removed for 1 year while an evaluation took
	place. At control sites, the billboards remained visible throughout the study period. At
	treatment sites, billboards were visible in the "before" period (2006-7), and were
	covered during the "after" period (2008). Crashes were recorded and categorized
	(property damage only, injury or fatality) under four conditions: (a) at treatment sites
	while signs were visible; (b) at treatment sites after signs were covered; (c) at control
	sites where signs were visible; and (d) at the same control sites while signs were still
	visible but signs were covered at the treatment sites.
Summary of Findings	At control sites, crashes remained essentially the same throughout the 3-year study
	period; at the treatment sites, crashes declined dramatically after the billboards were
	covered. The results were the same for injury and fatal crashes. After adjusting for
	traffic volume, crashes were reduced at the treatment sites (where billboards were
	visible in the "before" period but covered during the "after" period) by the following
	percentages: all crashes by 60%; injury/fatal crashes by 39%; property damage crashes
	by 72%.
Strengths	For a field study, this used a well-controlled research design. Before-and-after measures
	were obtained both for sites where the billboards were covered during the study, and
	for the sites where the billboards remained visible during this same time period. Road
	sections were in close proximity, on the same nighway, ensuring that traffic speeds and
	Volumes, as well as weather conditions, law enforcement activity, etc. were comparable.
weaknesses/Limitations	I nere might have been differences in certain roadway characteristics between the
	treatment and control sites (e.g. curves, merges, etc.) that were not identified.
Availability/Accessibility	Findings available as PowerPoint from either conference; original study is in Hebrew
	only; English translation not yet available.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2010
published/presented	
Location	Saudi Arabia
Author(s)	Bendak, S., & Al-Saleh, K.
Title	"The Role of Roadside Advertising Signs in Distracting Drivers."
Affiliation	King Saud University
Forum	International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 40, 233-236.
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Research Centre of the College of Engineering, King Saud University
Type of Study*	S, QI
Study Design	
Type of Signs Studied**	O, C, D, V
Brief Description of	Twelve male drivers, age 23-28, drove a simulator consisting of two urban roadways,
Method	each 9.3-km long, and matched for physical, environmental and traffic characteristics.
	One road contained advertising signs; the other was devoid of advertisements.
Summary of Findings	The average driving duration was 12.83 minutes for each route showing that the
	presence of advertising signs did not materially affect driving speed. There were no
	accidents. Lane placement and position maintenance suffered significantly in the
	presence of advertising signs. According to the authors: "swinging and drifting from lan $\epsilon$
	in the presence of advertising signs is a strong indication of how such signs distract
	drivers and affect their performance." A second finding was that "recklessly crossing
	dangerous intersections" was also significantly and adversely affected by the presence
	of advertising signs. This finding, according to the authors "indicates the loss of this fine
	coordination between paying attention and driving This can reasonably attributed
	to the longer reaction time needed in the presence of hazards due to being distracted."
	All three of the other measures: tailgating, "overspeeding," and failure to signal, were
	poorer in the presence of advertising signs, but these were not statistically significant. Ir
	response to the questionnaire, 50% of the 160 respondents said they had been
	distracted by advertising signs, and 22% reported having been in a dangerous situation
	at least once due to being distracted by advertising signs.
Strengths	The two simulated routes driven were matched for key characteristics; the differences
	between them were essentially only in the presence or absence of advertising signs.
Weaknesses/Limitations	No females and no drivers older than 28 were included. "Advertising" signs of many
	different types were comingled, so it was impossible to identify the effects of any one
	category of signs, such as billboards. No definition is provided of the behavior identified
	as "recklessly crossing dangerous intersections." The authors attribute poorer
	performance in this measure to longer reaction time in the presence of the advertising
	signs, but there is no indication that they measured this response. The questionnaire
	completed by 160 respondents was not included in the paper.
Availability/Accessibility	www.elsevier.com/locate.ergon

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2011
published/presented	
Location	Canada (Calgary, Alberta)
Author(s)	Milloy, SL; and Caird, JK.
Title	"External Driver Distractions: The Effects of Video Billboards and Wind Farms on Driver
Affiliation	Performance."
	University of Calgary
Forum	Book chapter
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Unspecified
Type of Study*	S
Type of Signs Studied**	V (simulated)
Brief Description of	The contribution to driver distraction from in-vehicle technologies such as cell phones, I-
Method	Pods, and navigation systems have been studied extensively. But it is external distractions that compose the single largest category of distraction-related crashes. The least is known about such crashes, possibly because the variety of people, objects and events that make up external distractions are very difficult to study in a controlled empirical fashion. In theory, drivers often have spare cognitive capacity that they can allocate toward distractors such as billboards. The question asked here was: what happens when an unlikely but totally plausible emergency event takes place – can the driver "reallocate" his or her attention so as to respond to the event in a timely manner. In this "event-based" scenario, either the driver responds adequately or not. In this simulator study, drivers on a freeway moving at 80 km/h (50 mph) in an industrial environment passed a video billboard at the same time that a lead vehicle suddenly braked hard.
Summary of Findings	The results found a <i>causal</i> (italics original) relationship between the presence of the video billboard and collisions with, and delays in responding to, the lead vehicle. The authors note that the billboards in this study were less able to capture the drivers' attention than video billboards in the real world because the simulated billboards were not as bright as actual billboards, and because the study was not conducted at night, where the distracting effects were believed to be greater. The implication is that real world safety problems may be more significant than those indicated by the study.
Strengths	A high fidelity, interactive driving simulator with a 150-degree forward field of view was used. All 21 subjects made three drives, and viewed two static and two video billboards in each. The images on the billboards were different in each presentation. A lead vehicle appeared intermittently, and, twice during each presentation, braked suddenly so that the subject had to respond quickly to avoid a collision
Weaknesses/Limitations	Younger and older drivers, those believed to be most susceptible to such distractions, were not included in the study. Learning may have occurred from earlier drives, and subjects may have come to use the appearance of billboards as a visual cue to prepare to brake for the lead vehicle.
Availability/Accessibility	Published in: "Handbook of Driving Simulation for Engineering, Medicine and Psychology." Edited by: D.L. Fisher, M. Rizzo, J.K. Caird, & J.D. Lee. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2011
published/presented	
Location	Australia, Perth
Author(s)	Edquist, J., Horberry, T., Hosking, S. & Johnston, I
Title	"Advertising billboards impair change detection in road scenes"
Affiliation	Monash University Accident Research Centre
Forum	2011 Australasian Road Safety Research, Education & Policing Conference
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Unknown
Type of Study*	L
Type of Signs Studied**	С, Н
Brief Description of	The authors used a "change detection" paradigm to study how billboards affect visual
Method	search and situation awareness in road scenes. Change detection time has been shown
	to correlate with at-fault errors in a simulated driving task. In a controlled experiment,
	inexperienced (mean age 19.3), older (73.0), and comparison (34.8) drivers searched
	for changes to road signs and vehicle locations in static photographs of road scenes. The
	road scenes ranged from suburban main streets to multilane highways to provide
	varying levels of background clutter. The actual experimental protocol is too complex to
	include in this summary, but may be found in the original article.
Summary of Findings	"The finding that the presence of billboards increases time to detect changes is an
	important one. This result lends support to the idea that billboards can automatically
	attract attention when drivers are engaged in other tasks, delaying their responses to
	other aspects in the environment The effect of billboards was particularly strong in
	scenes where response times are already lengthened by high levels of built or designed
	clutter. This is particularly concerning, as road scenes with high levels of built and/or
	designed clutter are just the sort of busy, commercial, high traffic environments where
	billboards are most often erected." Participants took longer to detect changes in road
	scenes that contained advertising billboards. This finding was especially true when the
	roadway background was more cluttered, when the change was to an official road sign,
	and for older drivers. The results are consistent with the small but growing body of
	evidence suggesting that roadside billboards impair aspects of driving performance
	such as visual search and the detection of hazards, and therefore should be more
Strongths	The change detection tack has been shown to be relevant to safe driving performance
Strengths	but has been underutilized in research. The inclusion of three diverse age schorts
	addrossos limitations in many other studies
Wasknesses / Limitations	The study did not include an actual or simulated driving task: rather a surrogate
Weakiesses/Emilations	measure for visual subtasks required during driving (However, the results are
	consistent with mounting evidence showing that roadside hillhoards impair key aspects
	of driving performance) Horberry et al. (2009) argue that: "rather than waiting until it
	can be proven beyond doubt that roadside advertising is responsible for a particular
	collision, road authorities should regulate billboards to minimize the probability of
	interference with driving."
Availability/Accessibility	http://casr.adelaide.edu.au/rsr/RSR2011/4CPaper%20166%20Edquist.pdf
Strengths Weaknesses/Limitations	attract attention when drivers are engaged in other tasks, delaying their responses to other aspects in the environment The effect of billboards was particularly strong in scenes where response times are already lengthened by high levels of built or designed clutter. This is particularly concerning, as road scenes with high levels of built and/or designed clutter are just the sort of busy, commercial, high traffic environments where billboards are most often erected." Participants took longer to detect changes in road scenes that contained advertising billboards. This finding was especially true when the roadway background was more cluttered, when the change was to an official road sign, and for older drivers. The results are consistent with the small but growing body of evidence suggesting that roadside billboards impair aspects of driving performance such as visual search and the detection of hazards, and therefore should be more precisely regulated in order to ensure a safe road system. The change detection task has been shown to be relevant to safe driving performance, but has been underutilized in research. The inclusion of three diverse age cohorts addresses limitations in many other studies. The study did not include an actual, or simulated driving task; rather a surrogate measure for visual subtasks required during driving. (However, the results are consistent with mounting evidence showing that roadside billboards impair key aspects of driving performance). Horberry, et al., (2009) argue that: "rather than waiting until it can be proven beyond doubt that roadside advertising is responsible for a particular collision, road authorities should regulate billboards to minimize the probability of interference with driving." http://casr.adelaide.edu.au/rsr/RSR2011/4CPaper%20166%20Edquist.pdf

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2012
published/presented	
Location	Sweden (Stockholm)
Author(s)	Dukic, T., Ahlstrom, C., Patten, C., Kettwich, C., & Kircher, K.
Title	"Effects of Electronic Billboards on Driver Distraction."
Affiliation	Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute, and Karlsruhe Institute of
	Technology
Forum	Journal of Traffic Injury Prevention
Peer Reviewed?	Y
Sponsor/funding source	Swedish Transport Administration
Type of Study*	Q
Type of Signs Studied**	D
Brief Description of Method Summary of Findings	The Swedish government allowed 12 digital billboards to be erected along highways near Stockholm for a trial period during which this, and related research was conducted. 41 volunteers drove an instrumented vehicle past 4 of the billboards in both day (N = 20) and night (N = 21) conditions. Eye movements (and other measures) were recorded. "A driver (was) considered to be visually distracted when looking at a billboard continuously for more than two seconds with a single long glance, or if the driver looked away from the road for a 'high percentage of time'." (This is defined in the study based on prior research, but is too complex for inclusion in this brief summary). Dependent measures were eye tracking and driving performance measures. Drivers had a significantly longer dwell time (time looking at the billboards), a greater number of fixations, and a longer maximum fixation duration when driving past a DBB compared to other signs along the same road sections. No differences were found for day-night, or for specific driver performance variables.
Strengths	Excellent review of the relevant literature and explanation of the psycho-physiological processes involved
Weaknesses/Limitations	It is known from other research that younger drivers (e.g. those under age 25) and older drivers (e.g. those over age 65) are more likely to be distracted by roadside stimuli that are irrelevant to the driving task; this study was limited to drivers between the ages of 35 and 55.
Availability/Accessibility	<u>http://www.tahufohille.com/uor/abs/10.1000/15505500.2012.751540</u>

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2012
published/presented	
Location	USA
Author(s)	Perez, WA, Bertola, MA, Kennedy, JF, & Molino, JA
Title	"Driver Visual Behavior in the Presence of Commercial Electronic Variable Message Signs
	(CEVMS)."
Affiliation	SAIC (now Leidos)
Forum	Unnumbered FHWA Report
Peer Reviewed?	N <sup>4</sup>
Sponsor/funding source	Federal Highway Administration
Type of Study*	С
Type of Signs Studied**	O, C, D, H
Brief Description of	FHWA contractor used instrumented vehicle with on-board eye glance data recording as
Method	participant drivers drove along predetermined routes in Reading, PA and Richmond, VA.
	Each route took the participants past a series of on-premise and off-premise (billboard)
	signs, apparently both conventional and digital, during daytime and at night.
Summary of Findings	Gazes to the road ahead were high across all test conditions; however, in three of the four
	test conditions digital and conventional billboards resulted in a lower probability of
	gazes to the road ahead as compared to the control conditions in which billboards were
	not present (although on-premise signs, including, potentially, electronic signs, might
	have been present). In Richmond, drivers gazed more at the digital than standard
	billboards at night, but this difference was not found in Reading.
Strengths	The study used state-of-the-art eye glance recording equipment. The study route had
	drivers pass signs on rural and urban routes, and surroundings that differed in visual
	complexity.
Weaknesses/Limitations	Numerous critical discrepancies between draft and final reports; errors in identifying
	biliboard locations including size, distance from road edge, side of road; both far and
	near distances at which eye glances to biliboards were recorded were artificially
	truncated; two experimenters sat in the venicle with the participant driver; data overloat
	required experimental venicle to pull oil road for resets; inappropriate recordation of hillboard luminon as levels, confounding of hillboards with on promise signs
Availability / Accossibility	Deport is quailable on the EHWA website at
Availability/Accessibility	http://www.fbwa.dot.gov/roal_ostate/oac/visual_bobavior_roport/final/coursefinal.ndf
	I IIIID.// W W W IIIWA.UUI.200/IEAI ESIAIE/UAU/VISUAI DEIIAVIUI IEDUII/IIIAI/CEVIIISIIIAI.DUI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In March 2011, FHWA released a draft version of the report to three pre-selected peer reviewers. The reviewers were not identified and the draft report was not made available to the public. The comments of two of the three reviewers (the third did not provide meaningful or comprehensive comments) were so critical of the draft report (stating, in essence, that the report's findings about eye glance durations to billboards were not credible) that FHWA spent the next 33 months revising and rewriting the report. A final report, which was *not* peer reviewed, was released on the agency's website on December 30, 2013, although the report was dated September 2012. Although the unreleased draft report was given the official agency report number FHWA-HEP-11-014, the final report remains unnumbered and unpublished.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2013
published/presented	
Location	U.S. (Massachusetts, Amherst)
Author(s)	Divekar, G., Pradhan, AK, Pollatsek, A., & Fisher, DL;
Title	"Effects of External Distractions"
Affiliation	University of Massachusetts, Amherst
Forum	Journal
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	National Institutes of Health, National Science Foundation, Arbella Insurance Group Charitable Foundation
Type of Study*	S
Type of Signs Studied**	D (simulated)
Brief Description of Method	Following previous research in the same lab, the authors sought to understand: (a) why experienced drivers were taking such long glances at external distractions (simulated billboards) when they were unwilling to do so for distractors inside the vehicle, and (b) if these experienced drivers were sacrificing some of their ability to monitor visible hazards in the roadway ahead of their vehicle, are they sacrificing even more of their ability to anticipate unseen hazards. Novice and experienced drivers performed an external search task (reading a simulated billboard) while driving in a simulator. Eye movements were recorded, as were vehicle performance.
Summary of Findings	Distractions are a major contributor to crashes, and almost one-third of such distractions are caused by sources external to the vehicle. Of these, digital billboards stand out because of their brightness and changing imagery. Recent research indicates that such billboards may attract attention away from the forward roadway for extended periods of time, and converging evidence shows that looking away from the forward roadway for such extended periods is associated with elevated crash risk. The external tasks in this study were designed to be similar to scanning a sign dense with information in the real world, such as a digital billboard that changed message every few seconds. "This study provides clear evidence that external tasks are distracting not only for novice drivers, but also for more experienced drivers." For both groups, external distractions significantly affect the drivers' anticipation of hazards. Overall the study showed that experienced as well as novice drivers are at an elevated risk of getting into a crash when they are performing a secondary task such as looking at a billboard.
Strengths	Sophisticated driving simulator with realistic hazard scenarios.
Weaknesses/Limitations	The simulated billboards, although requiring an external, visual distraction task, were not very representative of roadside billboards. There was no effort to study the effects of such external distractions on older drivers, a group known to be at high risk for such distraction
Availability/Accessibility	Transportation Research Record, Journal of the Transportation Research Board No. 2321.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2013
published/presented	
Location	Australia
Author(s)	Roberts, P., Boddington, K., & Rodwell, L.
Title	"Impact of Roadside Advertising on Road Safety"
Affiliation	ARRB Group (formerly Australian Road Research Board)
Forum	Austroads Road Research Report: Publication No. AP-R420-13
Peer Reviewed?	Unknown
Sponsor/funding source	Austroads (The Association of Australian and New Zealand Road Transport and Traffic
	Authorities)
Type of Study*	CR, G
Type of Signs Studied**	O, C, D, V
Brief Description of	(a) A critical review of existing literature to study the risk of distraction from roadside
Method	advertising, and to communicate these findings; (b) document and review existing
	guidelines across different highway agencies to identify gaps and inconsistencies; (c)
	develop guiding principles and make guidance recommendations that could be used to
	create guidelines and to harmonize guidelines across diverse agencies.
Summary of Findings	Most drivers, under most conditions, most of the time, probably possess sufficient spare
	cognitive capacity that they can tolerate driving-irrelevant information. The problem
	comes in some driving situations where it becomes likely that (the appearance of)
	movement or changes in luminance will involuntarily capture attention and that
	particularly salient emotional or engaging information will capture attention to the
	detriment of driving performance, particularly in inexperienced drivers. Where this
	happens in a driving situation that is also cognitively demanding, the consequences for
	driving performance are likely to be significant. Further, if this attentional capture also
	results in a situation where a driver's eyes are off the forward roadway for a significant
	amount of time (i.e. 2 seconds or longer) this will further reduce safety. Additionally,
	road environments cluttered with driving-irrelevant material may make it difficult to
	extract information that is necessary for safe driving, particularly for older drivers. The
	studies that have been conducted show convincingly that roadside advertising is
	distracting and that it may lead to poorer vehicle control. Results from the Klauer, et al
	(2006) studies show that looking at an external object increased the crash risk by nearly
	four times, nonetheless the number of crashes resulting from such distraction is
	probably quite small. This suggests that the contribution of roadside advertising to
	crashes is likely to be relatively minor. Nonetheless, from the Safe System perspective it
	would be difficult to justify adding any infrastructure to the road environment that
	could result in increased distraction for drivers. The exception to this may be in the case
	long drives on monotonous roads where drivers are likely to suffer the effects of passive
	fatigue.
Strengths	A comprehensive review, not only of existing research, but also of relevant human
	factors principles, advertising sign technology, and best practices.
Weaknesses/Limitations	Although the authors extensively review and comment on existing regulations and
	guidelines, only brief mention is made of guidelines in the U.S.
Availability/Accessibility	Available on the Austroads website

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2013
published/presented	
Location	Denmark
Author(s)	Herrstedt, L., Greibe, P., & Andersson, P.
Title	"Roadside Advertising Affects Driver Attention and Road Safety."
Affiliation	Trafitec, Denmark
Forum	International Conference
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Unknown
Type of Study*	Q
Type of Signs Studied**	C, D
Brief Description of	32 drivers, both men and women between the ages of 23 and 70, drove an instrumented
Method	vehicle on one of several comparable routes. Drivers had to have a current license and not require eyeglasses while driving. Drivers were not informed in advance of the purpose of the drive. The car's instruments recorded eye movements, vehicle speed and position, and proximity to vehicles ahead of the test vehicle. A "safety buffer" was calculated which reflected the time available for the driver to respond to a sudden critical situation requiring immediate action to avoid an accident.
Summary of Findings	A total of 109 drives past advertising signs were completed, and a total of 233 glances to the 16 roadside advertising signs were recorded. Results showed that, in 69% of all drives, the driver glanced at the advertisement at least once. In nearly half of all drives, the driver glanced two or more times to the same billboard. 18% of all glances lasted for 1 second or longer, and the total duration of successive glances on a single drive was 1.5 seconds or longer in 29% of trials, 2.0 seconds or longer in 22% of trials, and 3.0 seconds or longer in 10% of trials. In 65 of the 233 glances (28%), a vehicle ahead was present within a time gap of less than 3.0 seconds. In 59 cases (25%) the safety buffer was less than 2.0 seconds, and in 20% of all cases, the safety buffer was reduced because the safety buffer was less than 2 seconds to the lead vehicle. Further, in 16% of all drives (17 out of 109), the sum of cumulative glances to the same billboard resulted in visual distraction using the method developed by VTTI (2.0 seconds or more within a 6.0 second window). In other words, the authors state: "In more than every sixth drive past, visual distraction occurs as a result of the advertising sign." Their overall conclusion was that "the investigated advertising signs do capture drivers' attention to the extent that it impacts road safety."
Strengths	This is one of only two known on-road studies to combine measures of driver glance behavior (number and duration of glances to billboards) with the simultaneous measure of following distance to a vehicle ahead, and the only one to (apparently) calculate such following distances via laser scanner for accuracy. Older drivers were included in the participant group.
Weaknesses/Limitations	More details about the specific billboards studied would have been helpful.
Availability/Accessibility	Proceedings of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Driver Distraction and Inattention.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2014
published/presented	
Location	US
Author(s)	Hawkins, HG, Jr., Kuo, P-F, & Lord, D.
Title	"Statistical Analysis of the Traffic Safety Impacts of On-Premise Digital Signs"
Affiliation	Texas A&M University
Forum	93 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	On-premise sign industry (Signage Foundation, Inc.)
Type of Study*	Е
Type of Signs Studied**	0
Brief Description of	135 sites in four states, where on premise signs had been installed in 2006-07, were
Method	compared to 1,301 control sites using the Empirical Bayes (EB) statistical methodology.
Summary of Findings	There were no statistically significant changes in crash frequency associated with the
	index was equal to 1.00, with the 95 percent confidence interval between 0.93 and 1.07.
	The findings were similar for each of the four investigated States. The researchers
	concluded that "there is no evidence (that) the installation of on-premise signs at the
	locations (studied) led to an automatic increase in the number of crashes." The authors
	point out in their conclusions that it might be of interest to examine whether or not the
	index varies as a function of sign design and operation or characteristics of the crashes
	themselves.
Strengths	The study employed a large database and a robust statistical analysis procedure.
Weaknesses/Limitations	The on-premise signs to be studied were chosen by the sponsor and individual sign
	companies rather than by the authors or at random. It is possible that the selection
	criteria included a bias toward the least potentially distracting signs (in terms of size,
	color, contrast, animation, video, etc.).
Availability/Accessibility	Paper No.: 14-2772 of the 93 <sup>rd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board.

published/presented       Location     USA       Author(\$)     Schieber, F., Limrick, K., McCall, R., & Beck, A.       Title     "Evaluation of the Visual Demands of Digital Billboards Using a Hybrid Driving Simulator"       Affiliation     Simulator"       Deer Reviewed?     Yes       Sponsor/funding source     Unknown       Type of Sings Studied**     D       Brief Description of     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove— this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital bilboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance encreged q/ter the participants ware required to read digital bilboards wift 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement	Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2014
Location     USA       Author(s)     Schieber, F, Limrick, K, McCall, R, & Beck, A.       "Title     "Evaluation of the Visual Demands of Digital Billboards Using a Hybrid Driving Simulator"       University of South Dakota     Iouriversity of South Dakota       Forum     Journal       Peer Reviewed?     Yes       Sponsor/finding source     Unknown       Type of Study*     S       Type of Signs Studied**     D (Simulated)       Brief Description of     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving. "The driving task and the view of the inits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the torad ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards wills 0 armorew	published/presented	
Author(s)     Schieber, F., Limrick, K., McCall, R., & Beck, A.       Title     "Evaluation of the Visual Demands of Digital Billboards Using a Hybrid Driving Affiliation       Simulator"     University of South Dakota       Peer Reviewed?     Yes       Sponsor/funding source     Unknown       Type of Study*     S       Type of Study*     S       Type of Sign Studied**     D (Simulated)       Brief Description of     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove - this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance were apparent at the higher speed. This decrement be came graeter when the sign co	Location	USA
Title   "Evaluation of the Visual Demands of Digital Billboards Using a Hybrid Driving Simulator"     University of South Dakota   Iournal     Peer Reviewed?   Yes     Sponsor/funding source   Unkown     Type of Study*   S     Type of Study*   S     Type of Study*   D (Simulated)     Brief Description of Method   The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove - this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and gain at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.     Summary of Findings   The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrement in lane keeping performan	Author(s)	Schieber, F., Limrick, K., McCall, R., & Beck, A.
Affiliation   Simulator"     Peer Reviewed?   Yes     Sponsor/funding source   Unknown     Type of Signs Studied**   D (Simulated)     Brief Description of   The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video ceroding of each participant's face as they drove – this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.     Summary of Findings   The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became grampt the participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement in lane keeping performance energed <i>Afet</i> the participants are reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-secon interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eve gaze statistics and reading the sign. The pa	Title	"Evaluation of the Visual Demands of Digital Billboards Using a Hybrid Driving
University of South DakotaForumJournalPeer Reviewed?YesSponsor/funding sourceUnknownType of Study*SType of Study*D (Simulated)Brief Description of MethodThe authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the inmits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road head used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove - this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing	Affiliation	Simulator"
Forum     Journal       Peer Reviewed?     Yes       Sponsor/funding source     Unknown       Type of Signs Studied**     D (Simulated)       Brief Description of     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance merged <i>after</i> the participants ware required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement he can egreater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrement in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were dis		University of South Dakota
Peer Reviewed?     Yes       Sponsor/funding source     Unknown       Type of Signs Studied**     D (Simulated)       Brief Description of     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving," The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital bi	Forum	Journal
Sponsor/funding source     Unknown       Type of Study*     S       Type of Study*     D (Simulated)       Brief Description of     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator, but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (ZS MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drif away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly	Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Type of Study*     S       Type of Signs Studied**     D (Simulated)       Brief Description of     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator, but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participants' face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrement is nane keeping performance emerged <i>difer</i> the participants and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.       Strengths     Sophisticated, hybrid driving simulator out action on the billboard.  <	Sponsor/funding source	Unknown
Type of Signs Studied**     D (Simulated)       Brief Description of Method     The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove - this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.       Summary of Findings     The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>ofter</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length o	Type of Study*	S
Brief Description of MethodThe authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/Limitations	Type of Signs Studied**	D (Simulated)
Methodthe limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove - this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>qfter</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator constraints on sign leg	Brief Description of	The authors used a purpose-built hybrid driving simulator designed "for investigating
the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 le	Method	the limits of sign reading performance while driving." The driving task and the view of
stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital bilboards contained different, common words		the road ahead used a validated, commercial simulator; but the digital billboard
actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the 		stimulus was implemented on a separate 20:1 scaled LCD display mounted on a linear
velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated. Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>qfter</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital bilboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the bilboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studie		actuator rail that could move the simulated sign toward the observer at angular
Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this s		velocities simulating speeds up to 55 mph. 18 university undergraduates participated.
participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital bilboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital bilboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the bilboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated bilboards.Availability/Accessibility </td <td></td> <td>Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each</td>		Gaze direction (road ahead vs. billboard) was captured by a video recording of each
senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting,		participant's face as they drove- this technique was previously demonstrated by the
stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or 12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards.Availability/Accessibility <i>Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting</i> , 2214- 2218.		senior author. Participants drove once at 25 and again at 50 mph. Digital billboard
12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged after the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		stimuli were presented at predetermined random intervals, and contained either 4, 8, or
Summary of FindingsThe authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards.Availability/Accessibility <i>Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting</i> , 2214- 2218.		12 frequently used English words, also displayed at random.
Performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged after the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.	Summary of Findings	The authors state: "Although little or no decrement in lane keeping or reading
impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.	, 0	performance was observed at slow speed (25 MPH) on straight roads, clear evidence of
keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/Accessibility <i>Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting</i> , 2214- 2218.		impaired performance became apparent at the higher driving speed (50 MPH). Lane
read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/Accessibility <i>Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting</i> , 2214- 2218.		keeping performance was significantly degraded when participants were required to
became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		read digital billboards with 8 or more words at the higher speed. This decrement
keeping performance emerged after the participants had finished reading the sign. The participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		became greater when the sign contained 12 words. Surprisingly, the decrements in lane
participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		keeping performance emerged <i>after</i> the participants had finished reading the sign. The
large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		participants tended to slowly drift away from the center of the lane, and then executed a
encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		large amplitude corrective steering input during the 8-second interval after
that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		encountering the digital billboard. Eye gaze statistics and reading performance showed
and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		that information processing overload began to emerge at a message length of 8 words
StrengthsSophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		and was clearly present when 12 words were displayed.
designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.	Strengths	Sophisticated, hybrid driving simulator with a custom built zoomed image sign projector
distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.	C	designed to overcome traditional simulator constraints on sign legibility at realistic
each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		distances. Simulated digital billboards contained different, common words of 4-5 letters
Weaknesses/LimitationsNo older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.		each, and each was presented in the same size and location on the billboard.
driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft. in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.	Weaknesses/Limitations	No older drivers were studied. There is no discussion of the validity of the hybrid
in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.Availability/AccessibilityProceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214- 2218.	,	driving simulator for this specific application. The simulated billboards were only 10 ft.
Availability/Accessibility Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting, 2214-2218.		in width, only about one-fifth the width of typical highway billboards.
2218.	Availability/Accessibility	Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214-
	- · · · ·	2218.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2014
published/presented	
Location	Israel (Tel Aviv)
Author(s)	Gitelman, V., Zaidel, D., Doveh, E., & Silberstein, R.
Title	"Accidents on Ayalon Highway - Three Periods Comparison: Billboards Present,
Affiliation	Removed, and Returned"
Forum	
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Israel National Roads Authority
Type of Study*	E
Study Design	Quasi-experimental: Billboards present (2006-07), absent (2008), present again (2009- 12) with controls. Dependent measure – property damage and injury crashes. Control variable – traffic volume. Study sites – 8 treatment and 6 control.
Type of Signs Studied**	С
Brief Description of Method	Because of complaints, Israel's Supreme Court ruled that a series of billboards on an urban freeway near Tel Aviv had to be removed, i.e. covered, for one year while an evaluation took place. At the end of the experimental period, the billboards were uncovered such that they were again visible to motorists. At control sites, the billboards remained visible throughout the study period. At treatment sites, billboards were visible in the "present" period (2006-7), covered during the "removed" period (2008), and visible again in the "returned" period (2009-12). Crashes were recorded and categorized (property damage only, injury or fatality) under six conditions: (a) at treatment sites while signs were visible; (b) at treatment sites after signs were covered; (c) at treatment sites where signs were visible again after having been uncovered; (d) at control sites where signs were visible; and (e) at the same control sites while signs were still visible but signs were covered at the treatment sites; and (f) at control sites while signs were again visible at the treatment sites.
Summary of Findings	At control sites, crashes remained essentially the same throughout the 6-year study period; at the treatment sites, crashes declined dramatically after the billboards were covered, and returned just as dramatically once the billboards were uncovered and therefore again visible. The results were the same for injury and fatal crashes. After adjusting for traffic volume, crashes were reduced at the treatment sites (where billboards were visible in the "before" period but covered during the "after" period) by the following percentages: all crashes by 60%; injury/fatal crashes by 39%; property damage crashes by 72%.
Strengths	For a field study, this used a well-controlled research design. Before-and-after measures were obtained both for sites where the billboards were covered during the study, and for the sites where the billboards remained visible during this same time period. Road sections were in close proximity, on the same highway, ensuring that traffic speeds and volumes, as well as weather conditions, law enforcement activity, etc. were comparable.
Weaknesses/Limitations	There might have been differences in certain roadway characteristics between the treatment and control sites (e.g. curves, merges, etc.) that were not identified.
Availability/Accessibility	Complete study is in Hebrew only; English translation is available for the Executive Summary only.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2015
published/presented	
Location	USA
Author(s)	Sisiopiku, VP, Islam, M., Haleem, K., Alluri, P. & Gan, A.
Title	"Investigation of the Potential Relationship between Crash Occurrences and the
Affiliation	Presence of Digital Billboards in Alabama and Florida"
Forum	Conference Paper
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	U.S. Department of Transportation/RITA, Alabama Department of Transportation, Florida Department of Transportation
Type of Study*	E
Type of Signs Studied**	D
Brief Description of Method	The authors analyzed historical crash records from the states of Alabama and Florida. They identified locations of digital billboards along major limited-access roadways and chose 18 suitable sites for analysis, each with its own control site. Crash records were obtained for a five-year period from a centralized database in Alabama, and crash rates were determined per million vehicle miles travelled at each site. The procedure was similar in Florida, although only three years were studied. Because many crashes in the vicinity of the billboards were found to be located incorrectly, the authors retrieved the actual police traffic collision reports for 783 crashes. Of these, 406 had to be eliminated due to coding errors in the original summary reports, leaving a total of 377 crashes for the safety assessment.
Summary of Findings	The authors state: "The overall results were consistent between the two states. The presence of digital billboards increased the overall crash rates at "digital advertising billboard influence zones" by 25% in Florida and 29% in Alabama, compared to control sites. In addition, sideswipe and rear-end crashes were overrepresented at digital billboard influence zones compared to control sites.
Strengths	Included in their influence zone was a short distance (minimum 0.05 mile) downstream of each billboard. This is in keeping with the findings of Schieber, et al., discussed elsewhere in the present document. The influence zone and associated control zone for each billboard were matched for traffic and roadway conditions.
Weaknesses/Limitations	The authors provide no explanation for how the specific billboard locations were chosen out of all possibilities that they identified. Apparently, they identified "influence zones" by calculating the distances upstream of each digital billboard from which the sign could be seen, using Google Street View. There seems to have been no effort to relate sight distance in the real world to that shown in the Google Street View images. It is unclear whether their 5 years of data (AL) and 3 years (FL) correspond to periods when the billboards studied were actually in place, given that the authors seem to have selected sites from Google Street View.
Availability/Accessibility	<i>Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58th Annual Meeting, 2214-2218.</i>

Data 1st	2015
Date 1 <sup>55</sup>	2015
published/presented	
Location	Canada
Author(s)	Rempel, G., Montufar, J., Forbes, G., & Dewar, R.
Title	"Digital and projected advertising Displays: Regulatory and Road Safety Assessment
Affiliation	Guidelines."
	MORR Transportation Consulting, Ltd., Intus Road Safety Engineering, Inc, Western
	Ergonomics, Inc.
Forum	Transportation Association of Canada Report
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Transportation Association of Canada
Type of Study*	CR
Type of Signs Studied**	0, D
Brief Description of	The authors performed a critical literature review, met with representatives of Canadian
Method	government agencies and outdoor advertising companies, investigated practices and
	regulations/guidelines in other countries, and applied human factors principles toward
	the development of guidelines for Canada.
Summary of Findings	The resultant guidelines are specific to traffic safety issues – they do not address the
	aesthetic, "nuisance," or economic factors of such signs. Guidance is developed for sign
	density, spacing, dwell time (which they call "frame duration"), illuminance (which they
	authors call "brightness"), proximity to traffic control devices and driver decision points.
	message sequencing and text scrolling, animation, and transition time between
	messages. The overriding principle proposed in this report is that digital advertising
	signs should "emulate" traditional signs.
Strengths	A comprehensive review, not only of existing research, but also of relevant human
0	factors principles, advertising sign technology, and best practices.
Weaknesses/Limitations	Accepted industry practices regarding DBB lighting rather than getting the views of
,	lighting experts or undertaking their own independent evaluation.
Availability/Accessibility	Available for purchase from Transportation Association of Canada at http://tac-
<i>.</i>	atc.ca/en/digital-and-projected-advertising-displays-publication-now-available

Data 1st	20152
	20152
published/presented	
Location	Australia
Author(s)	Samsa, C., & Phillips, T.
Title	"Digital Billboards 'Down Under': Are they Distracting to Drivers and can Industry and
Affiliation	Regulators Work Together for a Successful Road Safety Outcome?"
	Samsa Consulting, Outdoor Media Association of Australia
Forum	4 <sup>th</sup> International Conference on Driver Distraction and Inattention
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	Outdoor Media Association of Australia
Type of Study*	С
Type of Signs Studied**	C, D, O
Brief Description of	29 participants, ages 25-54, drove an instrumented vehicle along a 14.6 km route in
Method	Brisbane, Queensland. Drivers were fitted with "eye tracking glasses."
Summary of Findings	Average fixation durations were "well below 0.75 s". There were no significant
	differences in average vehicle headway between the three signage types. There was a
	statistically significant difference in lateral deviation when billboards were present.
Strengths	The data showing significant differences in lateral deviation in the presence of
5	billboards is in accord with findings from other recent studies.
Weaknesses/Limitations	No older drivers were studied. There is little description of the eye tracking glasses
,	used, but this apparatus is not known to provide the precision necessary to determine
	exactly where the wearer is looking. No information is provided to enable the reader to
	determine how vehicle headways were measured: as such it is not possible to compare
	this study to the one conducted in Denmark where headway measurement was clearly
	described.
Availability/Accessibility	https://www.ivvv.com/event/DD2015
Tranability/fiecessibility	https://www.ivy.com/cvenc/DD2015

<sup>2</sup>At the present time, this paper is available only as an Abstract. Our comments might change once we are able to review the complete paper.

Date 1 <sup>st</sup>	2016
published/presented	
Location	USA
Author(s)	Belyusar, D., Reimer, B. Mehler B., & Coughlin, JF.
Title	"A Field Study on the Effects of Digital Billboards on Glance Behavior During Highway
Affiliation	Driving."
	New England University Transportation Center & MIT Age Lab
Forum	Accident Analysis and Prevention, 88, 88-96
Peer Reviewed?	Yes
Sponsor/funding source	US Department of Transportation, Region 1 New England, University Transportation
	Center at MIT, and the Toyota Class Action Settlement Safety Research and Education
	Program.
Type of Study*	Q
Type of Signs Studied**	D
Brief Description of	This on-road study had 123 subjects, nearly equally divided between males and females
Method	and between young and old. Participants drove an instrumented vehicle under normal
	driving conditions, with no specific tasks to perform, past a digital billboard on a
	highway with a speed limit of 65 MPH.
Summary of Findings	The authors found statistically significant changes in total number of glances and,
	depending upon the direction of travel, moderate-to-long duration glances in the
	direction of the billboard as compared to sections of the roadway in which the billboard
	was not visible. Older drivers were particularly affected. The authors also found that:
	"Drivers glanced more at the time of a switch to a new advertisement display than
	during a comparable section of roadway when the billboard was simply visible and
	stable." They concluded: "Given typical billboard dwell (cycle) times of six (6) or eight
	(8) seconds, these findings add to the argument the dwell times for such signs should be
	considerably longer."
Strengths	The driving task was quasi naturalistic; both young and old drivers, and both males and
5	females, were equally represented.
Weaknesses/Limitations	Only one billboard, with two faces, was used in the analysis. There could be
	characteristics of that sign, or its location, which make the results not generalizable to
	other billboards.
Availability/Accessibility	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001457515301664

Citations:

Backer-Grøndahl, A., & Sagberg, F. (2009). "Relative crash involvement risk associated with different sources of driver distraction." Presented at the First international Conference on Driver Distraction and Inattention. Gothenburg, Sweden: Chalmers University.

Belyusar, D., Reimer, B., Mehler, B., & Coughlin, JF. (2016). "A field study on the effects of digital billboards on glance behavior during highway driving." *Accident Analysis and Prevention, 88,* 88-96.

Bendak, S., & Al-Saleh, K. (2010). "The role of advertising signs in distracting drivers." *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 40,* 233-236.

Chan, E., Pradhan, AK, Knodler, MA, Jr., Pollatsek, A. & Fisher, DL. (2008). "Empirical Evaluation on a Driving Simulator of the Effect of Distractions Inside and Outside the Vehicle on Drivers' Eye Behaviors," Washington, DC: 87th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.

Chattington, M., Reed, N., Basacik, D., Flint, A., & Parkes, A. (2009). "Investigating Driver Distraction: The Effects of Video and Static Advertising." Report No. RPN256. United Kingdom: Transport Research Laboratory

Divekar, G., Pradhan, AK, Pollatsek, A., & Fisher, DL. (2013). "External Distractions": Evaluations of their effect on younger novice and experienced drivers' behavior and vehicle control." Transportation Research Record, Journal of the Transportation Research Board No. 2321. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.

Dukic, T., Ahlstrom, C., Patten, C., Kettwich, C., & Kircher, K. (2012). "Effects of Electronic Billboards on Driver Distraction." Journal of Traffic Injury Prevention, *14*, 469-476.

Edquist, J., Horberry, T., Hosking, S. & Johnston, I. (2011). "Advertising billboards impair change detection in road scenes." Paper presented at the 2011 Australasian Road Safety Research, Education & Policing Conference.

Gitelman, V., Zaidel, D., & Doveh, E. (2012). "Influence of Billboards on Driving Behavior and Road Safety," Presented at: Fifth International Conference on Traffic and Transportation Psychology. Groningen, The Netherlands: University of Groningen.

Gitelman, V., Zaidel, D., Doveh, E., & Zilberstein, R. (2014) "The Impact of Billboards on Road Accidents on Ayalon Highway Three Periods Comparison – Billboards Present, Removed, and Returned." Report to the Israeli National Road Authority.

Hawkins, HG, Jr., Kuo, PF, & Lord, D. (2014). "Statistical Analysis of the Traffic Safety Impacts of On-Premise Digital Signs." Paper No: 14-2772. Presented at the 93<sup>rd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board. Herrstedt, L., Greibe, P. & Andersson, P. (2013). "Roadside Advertising Affects Driver Attention and Road Safety." *Proceedings of the* 3<sup>rd</sup> *International Conference on Driver Distraction and Inattention, Gothenburg, Sweden.* 

Horberry, T., Regan, MA, & Edquist, J. (2009). Driver Distraction from Roadside Advertising: The clash of road safety evidence, highway authority guidelines, and commercial advertising pressure. Downloaded from the web at: <u>https://document.chalmers.se/download?docid=653291678</u>

Milloy, SL and Caird, JK. (2011). "External Driver Distractions: The Effects of Video Billboards and Wind Farms on Driver Performance." Published in: *Handbook of Driving Simulation for Engineering, Medicine and Psychology.* Edited by: D.L. Fisher, M. Rizzo, J.K. Caird, & J.D. Lee. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

Perez, WA., Bertola, MA, Kennedy, JF, & Molino, JA. (2012). "Driver Visual Behavior in the Presence of Commercial Electronic Variable Message Signs (CEVMS)." Unnumbered Report, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC. Downloaded from the web at: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/real\_estate/oac/visual\_behavior\_report/final/cevmsfinal.pdf

Rempel, G, Montufar, J., Forbes, G. & Dewar, R. (2015). "Digital and Projected Advertising Displays: Regulatory and Road Safety Assessment Guidelines." Unnumbered Transportation Association of Canada Report.

Roberts, P., Boddington, K., & Rodwell, L. (2013). Impact of Roadside Advertising on Road Safety. Austroads Road Research Report: Publication No. AP-R420-13. City: Australia, ARRB Group.

Samsa, C., & Phillips, T. (2015). Digital Billboards 'Down Under'. Are they Distracting to Drivers and can Industry and Regulators Work Together for a Successful Road Safety Outcome? *Paper Presented at the 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Driver Distraction and Inattention,* Sydney, Australia.

Schieber, F., Limrick, K. McCall, R, & Beck, A. (2014). Evaluation of the Visual Demands of Digital Billboards Using a Hybrid Driving Simulator. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 58<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting*, 2214-2218.

Sisiopiku, VP, Islam, M, Haleem, K, Alluri, P. & Gan, A. (2014). Investigation of the Potential Relationship between Crash Occurrence and the Presence of Digital Advertising Billboards in Alabama and Florida. *Proceedings of the Transportation Research Board (TRB)* 94<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting.</sup>

Young, MS, Mahfoud, JM, Stanton, N. Salmon, PM, Jenkins, DP & Walker, GH. (2009). "Conflicts of Interest: The implications of roadside advertising for driver attention." *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, Vol. 12*(5), 381-388.