

Communication from Public

Name: Lucas Field

Date Submitted: 06/24/2019 08:39 PM

Council File No: 11-2130-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember David Ryu and Members of the Transportation Committee of the City Council, I am writing in support of funding for improved safety measures on Angus Street in Silver Lake which LADOT and David Ryu support, including conversion to one-way stretch between Moreno and Kenilworth "Council File 11-2130-S4" My family and I live on Angus Street. We walk along and across Angus Street daily with our young daughters, one who attends Ivanhoe Elementary and the other in a stroller. We likewise drive on Angus Street daily and find that traffic on our street is often times congested and dangerous due to cut-through traffic issues. I hope that funding is granted to convert this stretch of Angus Street to one-way. Through discussions with the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council and my neighbors, we agree that this conversion is consistent with the vision of LA DOT and the recent recommendations of the Kimley Horn report to address these traffic issues on our small street. Simply put, we believe that the cut through traffic is unsustainable and the one-way conversion is necessary to ensure street safety. These added safety measures would serve to make our community, pedestrians, cyclists and motorists alike, safer and preserve this unique stretch of Los Angeles. I thank you sincerely for the steps previously taken to support Angus Street safety, and for now focusing on these much needed further improvements. Thank you, Lucas Field Resident of Angus Street

Communication from Public

Name: Jack

Date Submitted: 07/08/2019 04:46 PM

Council File No: 11-2130-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to the current proposal. I have lived on Angus Street for 81 years. The study gives options for returning Rowena to a four lane road. This whole problem started with the Rowena "ROAD DIET." We were lied to from the beginning about the road diet. We were originally told we could try it and if we didn't like it that it could be reversed. When asked about reversing it we told oh no we can't do that. The current proposal says it will be tried for one year. Will that turn out to be another lie if we want it reversed? If cars ignore the not a through signage are they not likely wander around on Moreno and other streets on top of the hill looking for a way back to Angus? Jack