

From: **Karen Greenwood Henke** <henke@nimble-press.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:18 AM
Subject: (48) [12-0002-S82](#)
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>

Dear June,

I am writing in reference to Agenda Item No. (48) 12-0002-S82

I would like to express my support for Mr. Huizar's resolution to not recommend that F-7 be included in further study for the 710 extension due to:

- Metro's flawed process and outreach
- Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)
- Unknown impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities
- Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route

I own a successful consulting business and my husband is a Visual Effects Supervisor. We contribute to the intellectual and creative capital of the region and to the community. We earn competitive salaries and contribute to the community through the payroll, property, and sales taxes we pay. We choose to live, work, and grow a family in LA County, but we could go to many different communities around the world to do our jobs.

If the goal of the extension is to improve commerce and provide a route from the Long Beach port to make it more competitive, you must consider 21st century transportation methods that do not rely on diesel fuels and create a modern, forward thinking option for moving goods. This is your opportunity to create a legacy that will make LA a better, more competitive region for the next 100 years. At the very least, we request that you protect all families along all proposed routes by taking the following measures:

Include the Health Impact Assessment (HIA) in the final Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

This health study was completed in 2011, and if included in the final EIR, can identify more accurate health impacts resulting from the project, and help us demand mitigation measures to protect health.

Do not add general purpose lanes. Additional freeway lanes will not address the region's congestion and air quality issues. An induced traffic analysis that looks at future congestion and capacity issues should be conducted on all the alternatives presented.

Make any potential freight corridor for zero-emissions vehicles only. If an alternative is selected, a freight corridor should be for zero-emissions vehicles only so the impact on public health can be minimized.

Extend the public comment period for the draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) from 60 days to 120 days. Sixty days is not enough time for communities and the general public to read through a highly technical report and comment on a project that will have local and national implications on our health.

Eliminating family-oriented neighborhoods in livable cities will drive families like ours from the LA Basin. The LA Basin needs to retain value-add services and creative industries to compete in

the global economy. We cannot be just a thorough fare for goods. That will not lead to sustainable economic development. If you want to destroy the city you have been elected to protect, then support this extension. If you want your legacy to be that you saved the city, oppose it anyway you can.

Thank you,

Karen Greenwood Henke
1469 Cheviotdale Dr
Pasadena, CA 91105
[626-421-6023](tel:626-421-6023)

henke@nimble-press.com

A Resolution requesting support for legislation to create equity in the distribution of revenue generated by fines, fees, assessments and administrative costs of criminal arrests and traffic violations issued by cities will be presented at the upcoming League conference on September 5-7, 2012 by the City of Glendora.

Attached is a letter requesting support for above-mentioned Resolution. In addition, for your reference I have also attached the proposed Resolution, which was also included in the recent Resolution Packet sent by the League to elected officials.

Please forward to your Mayor and City Council members.

Thank you for your assistance.

Kathleen R. Sessman, CMC

City Clerk

Phone: [626.914.8210](tel:626.914.8210) | Fax: [626.963-9275](tel:626.963-9275)

ksessman@ci.glendora.ca.us

City of Glendora

116 E. Foothill Blvd.

Glendora, CA 91741-3380

www.ci.glendora.ca.us

8-28_710

From: **Norma Pierson** <npierson@ruleco.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:07 AM
Subject: no on 710 Expansion and No on Measure J (Rescind Measure R and mandate transparent accounting of all funds)
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org, mayor@lacity.org

June – I am hoping you get thousands of these emails!!

Please pass along to the Los Angeles City Council that we taxpayers insist the hemorrhage of public monies for “old school” planning and spending be stopped NOW.

Please pass along my request that the Los Angeles City Council provide the economic and quality of life leadership we so desperately need.

THANKS

Norma Pierson

737 Wapello St.

Altadena, CA 91001

Below email trail outlines the issues and requested considerations of the Los Angeles City Council:

Please allow time to park and get into City Hall (you must go through security).

Meeting starts at 10am.

***Los Angeles City Hall,
200 N. Spring Street,
City Council Chambers, Room 340,
Los Angeles, CA 90012***

Arrive early to sign up for public comments, or 710 comments during agenda item.

WEAR RED

They do not allow any signs or banners (we found out the hard way). They will be confiscated.

If you are attending the meeting, or you are **Emailing this morning before the meeting, be sure to include this information:**

WE ASK EVERYONE TO SEND A PUBLIC COMMENT BY E-MAIL IN SUPPORT OF TODAY'S MOTION REGARDING THE SR-710.

Please send your comments to the City Clerk for the L.A. City Council: June.lagmay@lacity.org

Please reference: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82

The Transportation Committee voted to recommend F-7 be included for the following reasons: (You can use these to support your e-mail)

Metro's flawed process and outreach

Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)

Unknown impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities

Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route

Thanks to everyone for keeping the pressure on! ----- FROM CHARLES E MILLER

Emails For Mr. Huizar:

The 2009 Resolution protected 5 geographic ZONES from the 710, meaning the whole geographic area of NE LA; this new Resolution only talks about specific ROUTES within the geographic Zones. This leaves the door open for other routes to be developed without LA City's being obligated to protect those specific routes. So, we need assurance that the old Resolution is not supplanted by this new one, and the new one exists side-by-side with the still-in-force 2009 Resolution. ---- Nancy Campeau

Tell the entire LA City Council you will VOTE NO ON MEASURE J (formerly Measure R+)

At the LA City Council meeting on Tuesday 8/28/2012, tell our people it is important to emphasize that we oppose Measure J on the November ballot which includes funding for the SR-710 extension. This LA County sales tax will eat into our wallets until 2069---for the next 57 years (gasp)!!!! ---- Mary Ann Parada

2. From the Sunroom Desk News Blog: LA Transportation Cmte Unanimously Opposed to 710 Tunnel; LA City Council Votes on Resolution Tuesday

<http://sunroomdesk.com/2012/08/27/la-transportation-cmte-unanimously-opposed-to-710-tunnel-la-city-council-votes-on-resolution-tuesday/>

3. From the Pasadena Star News:

http://www.pasadenastarnews.com/news/ci_21411261/los-angeles-transportation-committee-votes-oppose-710-tunnel

cc: Governor Brown via fax [916.558.3160](tel:916.558.3160)

From: **Rafael Capiro** <rmcapiro@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:08 AM
Subject: Support for 710 Route F7
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Hi,

I support the 710 freeway extension down St. John Street by the Huntington Hospital, route F7, because it would be the least disruptive route. The homes on the route are already partially owned by Metro and if a tunnel is used no further homeowners would have to be uprooted. Because of the development in the Panama Canal, we must upgrade the access to our ports. The ports represent a huge source of employment.

The other proposed routes for the 710 seem wasteful and harmful to the communities.

Thanks,

Rafael M. Capiro

From: **margaret chew** <mchew999@aol.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:06 AM
Subject: 710 Fwy.
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

To Whom It May Concern:

As concerned citizens for our city of Los Angeles, I'm begging you to please **oppose** the extension of the 710 Fwy. as proposed in the F-7 plans. Please include it on Agenda Item (48) [12-002-S82](#) to be halted and no longer an option for our city. The city is facing a huge financial deficit and we should not be considering this massive expense of building freeways and tunnels. We should not be using Measure R or Measure J money for any of the proposals to extend the 710 Fwy.

Douglas and Margaret Chew

From: <feliglez@earthlink.net>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:04 AM

Subject: public comment -Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

Dear June (City Clerk for the L.A. City Council).

I cannot be in attendance today's 10am meeting at City Hall. I am therefore emailing my public comment in support of Councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012) to oppose ALL surface and 710 tunnel freeway and 710 highway routes currently being studied by Metro, including the H-2, H-6, F-2, F-5, F-6, and F-7. My position is driven by Metro's flawed process & outreach and the impact this will have on surrounding communities.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Feliciano Gonzalez
6417 Elder Street
Los Angeles, CA 90042

From: **Glenda** <panamahatz@aol.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:56 AM
Subject: Agenda Item No. 48 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

My husband and I will VOTE NO ON MEASURE J (formerly Measure R+).

We are totally in support of today's motion regarding the SR-710:

Metro's flawed process and outreach
Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)
Unknown impact to El Sereno, San Rafael area and surrounding communities
Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route

Glenda and Bruce Moses

From: **Jacqueline Daniels Campos** <jackiedcampos@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:51 AM
Subject: No 710 Extension
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I support the motion with the AMENDMENT that includes F-7 route. Councilman Huizar's motion that includes....H-2, F-2, F-5, H-6, F-6 and now F-7.

The Transportation Committee voted to recommend F-7 be included for the following reasons: Metro's Flawed Process and Outreach---I live 1 block away from the Avenue64 route in Garvanza/Highland Park and the 1st I was made aware of what was happening was when I saw "NO 710 on Ave 64" signs being put up in people's lawns. Never have I received nor have any of my neighbors received any notice from Metro. You should demand the money back from Metro that was supposed to be used for Outreach and Information---there was none!

Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)

Unknown impact to Garvanza, Highland Park, South Pasadena, El Sereno Glassel Park, Cypress Park and surrounding communities

Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route

NO 710 EXTENSION

Sincerely, Jacqueline Daniels-Campos

Garvanza Resident 6269 Church St. 90042

From: **Jeannette Liu** <jeanliu123@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:46 AM
Subject: Oppose 710 freeway northbound extension
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

I, Jeannette Liu, oppose the all alternatives of the northbound 710 Freeway extension and in **SUPPORT FOR COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012) AND OPPOSITION TO 710 FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.**

The extension will destroy my city, it's community and historical homes.

The city council should look at "green' alternative options, such as railway cargo transportation, mass transit, and traffic flow improvement to solve the 710 freeway issue

Thank you

Jeannette Liu
[\(626\) 482-2049](tel:6264822049)

Sent from my iPhone

From: Julie Battaglia <jdbattaglia@sbcglobal.net>
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2012 8:38 AM
Subject: No on the 710 Tunnel

Please support today's City Council motion re opposing the 710 tunnel.

Los Angeles should be an innovative, forward thinking city leading the way in solving complex transportation problems. Building an enormous, tunnel just to facilitate more freeway traffic is last century's solution for this century's challenges. It is a gross use of resources and will not solve any long-term problems. Please focus on a green-solution for LA and its residents, instead of adding more pollution, traffic and health hazards.

Thank you.

*Julie Battaglia
4365 Encinas Drive
La Canada, CA 91011*

From: **hendersongordon @dslextreme.com**<hendersongordon@dslextreme.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:44 AM
Subject: SR 710 Extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To the Los Angeles City Council,

Please accept my approval and support for Agenda Item Number (48) 12-0002-S82 of the August 28th Los Angeles City Council meeting regarding the motion to oppose the SR-710 Extension Alternatives, H-2, F-2, F-5, H-6, F-6 set forth by Councilmember Huizar and the Amendment that includes the F-7 tunnel route alternative. I would like this document to be filed into the administrative record.

My name is Gordon Henderson, I am a resident of Highland Park. I live a few blocks west of Avenue 64 and would be directly effected by any proposed 710 extension.

Please support and pass CM Huizar's motion to oppose the H2, F2, F5, H6, F6 and now the F7. Make history.

Thank you,

Gordon Henderson

From: **lisa duardo** <liska_fish@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:27 AM
Subject: opposition to connection of the 710 FWY
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org
Cc: liska_fish@yahoo.com

Dear, To whom it may concern,

I am Lisa Duardo and I live in Highland Park 90042. My family has lived here since 1965. I am opposed to conneting the north portion of the 710FWY. I understand the idea is to create a better truck route from the LA Port to the 210 Fwy. Today there are several routes for trucks to take without the continued urbanization of our neighborhoods. As regulation moves to require trucks run on cleaner fuel and mpg's move up, trucks get along well now, and will get along better and more efficiently in the future.

Just because the federal dollars are there does not mean the City has to make wrong decisions to access the funds. Our quality of life for this City and its residents should be your first priority.

Please end this issue today and put the fight to stop the continued interest in connecting the 710 Fwy to rest.

Sincerely,

Lisa Duardo
5556 Echo St.
L.A., CA 90042

From: **Alberto** <amendezacastro@aol.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:22 AM
Subject: Agenda Item 48 (12 0002 S82)
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Hello, I'm a resident and owner of a beautiful 1889 craftsman in Highland Park.

I'm very disappointed with mta's process all the way around as it relates to the 710 expansion.

I oppose the 710 expansion and especially the proposed routes.

Thank you
Alberto Mendoza
6012 Hayes Avenue
Los Angeles, 90042

From: <rmcalpine@aol.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:06 AM
Subject: Agenda item 48 -710 extension
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I am writing to ask the City Council to support the resolution contained in Agenda item 48 on today's calendar. This would include F-7, the tunnel through El Sereno, South Pasadena, and Pasadena to extend the 710 freeway.

This project would bring extensive disruption and deterioration to these neighborhoods. It would certainly involve heavy health risks due to air pollution which would be brought to the surface from the tunnel into the communities. The expense does not warrant the project and other solutions to traffic problems would be far superior.

Please pass this resolution today.

Thank you,
Rod and Alison McAlpine
1124 Cresthaven Dr
Los Angeles, Ca 90042

From: **Brian Shumake** <shumakelaw@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:16 AM
Subject: Today's Meeting -- Opposition to F-7
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

My name is Brian Shumake. I am a resident of South Pasadena.

I am opposed to the F-7 route due to the prohibitive cost, which has been stated at the outset but will no doubt double or triple by the time the project is completed.

I am also opposed due to the risk that it will so divide El Sereno that it will become fragmented as to be disfunctional from a cohesive and productive neighborhood standpoint, resulting in higher crime, and an unsafe and unhealthy mixed-use area.

Please stand with me in opposition.

Thank you. Brian Shumake, 725 Fremont Ave, South Pasadena, CA 91030

From: **Joan Probst** <joan.probst@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 2:22 PM
Subject: Huizar Resolution
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I SUPPORT COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012) AND I STRONGLY OPPOSE 710 FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.

This process has been flawed with lack of outreach. The cost of F7 is prohibitive and the impact to affected communities is unknown. Metro has failed to provide appropriate technical analysis to recommend this route.

**Joan Probst
472 Lewis St.
Los Angeles, CA 90042**

From: **Rick Madden** <rickmadden@yahoo.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:48 AM

Subject: Public agenda item 12-0002-S82

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I am writing in support of the above referenced resolution by Councilman Huizar. Metro's entire process as it relates to the closing of the "710 gap" has been flawed from the first. The open houses have delivered different answers to different constituencies and some statements that have been made by Metro could only charitably be called inaccurate. The cost of the proposed tunnel routes would be prohibitive. In a time when Los Angeles is being recognized as a new-come innovator to public transit, why is a freeway solution so paramount to Metro and Caltrans? What is needed is a means of redirecting demand to less environmentally damaging alternatives that reduce the threat to historic neighborhoods such as El Sereni. I strongly urge the city council to vote in favor of this resolution.

From: **Rick Madden** <rickmadden@yahoo.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:48 AM

Subject: Public agenda item 12-0002-S82

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I am writing in support of the above referenced resolution by Councilman Huizar. Metro's entire process as it relates to the closing of the "710 gap" has been flawed from the first. The open houses have delivered different answers to different constituencies and some statements that have been made by Metro could only charitably be called inaccurate. The cost of the proposed tunnel routes would be prohibitive. In a time when Los Angeles is being recognized as a new-come innovator to public transit, why is a freeway solution so paramount to Metro and Caltrans? What is needed is a means of redirecting demand to less environmentally damaging alternatives that reduce the threat to historic neighborhoods such as El Sereni. I strongly urge the city council to vote in favor of this resolution.

From: <nakaquan@netscape.net>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:48 AM

Subject: Los Angeles City Council meeting for Tuesday, August 28, 2012

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

Dear Los Angeles City Council,

Please submit for the Los Angeles City Council meeting on Tuesday, August 28, 2012

RE: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82 / SR 710 Extension
No 710 Extension Anywhere
I APPROVE OF COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S MOTION.

I do not want the 710 extension to be built in the City of Pasadena because of the negative impacts to my City. I also want NO BUILD ANYWHERE.

The Transportation Committee voted to recommend F-7 be included for the following reasons:

Metro's flawed process and outreach.

Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?).

Unknown impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities.

Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend the F-7 route.

No build anywhere.

I WILL VOTE NO ON MEASURE J (formerly Measure R+). I do not want any of my tax dollars to support the funding of the SR-710

Regards,
Miriam Nakamura-Quan
938 Kewen Drive
Pasadena, CA 91108

From: **Erik Silber** <eriksilber@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:06 AM

Subject: Support of Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0082-S82

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I support Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0082-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012) and I oppose all 710 freeway, highway, and tunnel expansion options.

--Erik Silber

From: **Tony Dohi** <tonydohi@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:30 AM
Subject: 710 Extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org
Cc: ago@huntington.org

Dear City Clerk,

I am writing this letter as a show of support of Councilman Huizar's resolution 10-0002-S82, opposing the 710 freeway extension through Pasadena and South Pasadena. As a long-time resident, it is clear that the impact to the neighborhood would be devastating and irreversible.

Tony Dohi
946 Burleigh Dr.
Pasadena 91105

From: **Tony Dohi** <tonydohi@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:30 AM
Subject: 710 Extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org
Cc: ago@huntington.org

Dear City Clerk,

I am writing this letter as a show of support of Councilman Huizar's resolution 10-0002-S82, opposing the 710 freeway extension through Pasadena and South Pasadena. As a long-time resident, it is clear that the impact to the neighborhood would be devastating and irreversible.

Tony Dohi
946 Burleigh Dr.
Pasadena 91105

From: **Steve Jones** <steven@bettershelter.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:06 AM
Subject: I oppose the 710 freeway
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I work in the area rehabbing homes and right now the proposed freeway has buyers scared and it is their #1 concern.

I AM OPPOSED TO ANY SORT OF 710 FREEWAY!!

Kind regards,
Steve

Steve Jones
b e t t e r s h e l t e r
<http://bettershelter.com/>
you tube: <http://bit.ly/n8K60b>
facebook: <http://on.fb.me/n7AoMX>
[323-286-7214](tel:323-286-7214)

From: **Emanuel Cosentino** <mannycoz@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 6:29 AM
Subject: Support for RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

To the City Clerk of Los Angeles,

This email is to show my support for Councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-S82, (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012.) I cannot attend the city council meeting this morning, but I want to let you and the city council know how much I support this resolution, and also how much I oppose all options to extend the 710 freeway.

Sincerely,

Emanuel Cosentino
6523 Repton Street,
Los Angeles, CA 90042

From: **Sandra Enslow** <senslow@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:32 AM
Subject: Support for RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I wish to state my support for COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012).

I live in Glendale, a neighbor to LA, and feel this is a quality of life issue for all Angelenos in the county of LA
Thank you

Sandra Enslow
2835 Manhattan ave
Glendale CA 91214

[\(818\) 939-9872](tel:(818)939-9872)

From: **Jeremy Myers** <jeremym9@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 5:08 AM
Subject: No on SR-710
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

No way through Highland Park, Garvanza on Ave 64. Not a tunnel, not a highway.
Nothing.

The only only only build possibility this voting citizen would tolerate is a tunnel under South Pas, connecting the current terminus of the 710 with the current drop down from the 210. That's it. It's the only one that makes geographic sense. And that's only if the proper, full and complete and entirely transparent EIR studies were done.
thank you.

From: **Jamie Poster** <poster.jamie@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 4:27 AM
Subject: I oppose 710 extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Hello!

I can't make it to the meeting today, but I just wanted to show my support for the neighborhoods of Northeast Los Angeles who oppose the 710 extension. Thanks!

Jamie

From: **godohi** <godohi@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 11:50 PM
Subject: Anti 710 proposals
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Please DO NOT build any 710 freeways, highways, and/or tunnels through the Pasadena or South Pasadena areas!!!! Please DO NOT destroy beautiful and historic neighborhoods in Pasadena, it is one of the loveliest, one of the most scenic and one of the most charming communities in the U.S.!! Please spend money and energy into creating more convenient public transportation instead, it is the more intelligent solution for the environment!! Petroleum will run out, therefore please do not invest money, time and energy into perpetuating numerous environmental problems by encouraging more cars to be driven!!

Angel Go

From: **Tim Everett** <timeverett@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 5:48 AM
Subject: Opposition to 710
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

I oppose extending the 710 through peaceful and family neighborhood. It will destroy families and historic communities. The old ideas of pave and drive should make way to other ideas that consider the environment and communities. Thanks,

Sent from my iPhone.
Tim Everett
[626-318-5254](tel:626-318-5254)

From: **Mary Ferrero** <mnfaro@mac.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 3:53 AM

Subject: no 710

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I support Councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-s2 (agenda item 48 on tuesday, August 28,2012) and opposition to 710 Freeways and and highways

to oppose all surface and freeway routes currently being studiedly Metro, including the H-2,H-6,F-2,F-5 F,-6 and F-7

mary Ferrero

From: **David Schaar** <dwschaar@yahoo.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:04 AM

Subject: sr-710

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

i am in support of todays motion before city council on sr-710.....

taxpayer, and home owner,

dave schaar
535 Lakeview Road
Pasadena, Ca. 91105

From: **Louisa Van Leer** <l.vanleer@pacbell.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:13 AM
Subject: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

To LA City Council,

RE: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82

I am writing to show support for the transportation Committee's unanimous decision to vote in opposition to all proposed SR 710 Routes. I am writing today to encourage LA city Council to also vote against all SR-710 Routes including the F-7 Tunnel option.

The F-7 Freeway Tunnel is flawed for the following reasons:

- Metro's flawed process and outreach
- Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)
- Unknown impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities
- Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route

Sincerely,

Louisa Van Leer
6113 Piedmont Ave
Los Angeles 90042
Council District One

From: redgirl <uncommonindustries@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:11 AM
Subject: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I am a resident of Highland Park and I oppose ALL above and below ground routes proposed for the 710, including the F-7 route for the following reasons:

Metro's flawed process and outreach
Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)
Unknown impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities
Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route
Interruption to services of Huntington Hospital and negative impacts on air quality near hospital.

I VOTE NO ON MEASURE J (formerly Measure R+)

**Best,
Jessica Lopez
928 Cresthaven Drive, 90042**

From: **Robert Napton** <robertnapton@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:44 AM
Subject: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

To Whom It May Concern:

As a concerned citizen and resident of Pasadena I wanted to express my support for today's resolution which stands against all the proposed routes including F-7.

Metro's agenda and study process has been flawed from the outset. It is very surprising they can recommend the F-7 route for further study when their was a lack of technical analysis about the unknown impact this route would have on the neighborhoods of Pasadena and El Sereno.

Further, the severe cost of this project is fiscally irresponsible considering the cities other needs.

We would ask today's measure to be passed and the 2009 resolution to be upheld so these resolutions act side by side to dissuade further exploration of this unwarranted and irresponsible project. Building more freeways is a 20th century solution to a 21st century problem.

Thank you for your time,

Robert Napton
Resident, Pasadena

From: **Ryan Green** <rynostevie@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:24 AM
Subject: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I am opposed to an extension of the 710 freeway, regardless of route; and that includes F-7.

I am most appalled by the lack of transparency on the part of Metro; that plans can be made without any accountability or discussion with those actually effected by said decisions.

--

Ryan Steven Green • [626.644.4127](tel:626.644.4127) • Ryan@Rynostevie.com • www.Rynostevie.com
Frontiersman Pictures • 1034 Lantana Dr. • Los Angeles, CA. 90042

From: <ann.i.kelley@att.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 7:39 AM
Subject: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

June:

I urge the LA City Council to pass Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82 for the following reasons:

Metro's flawed process and outreach
Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)
Unknown impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities
Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route
The refusal of Metro and Caltrans to look for feasible and acceptable alternatives to solving the
"movement of goods" problem from the Port of Los Angeles.

Ann I Kelley
Registered Representative
Transamerica Financial Advisors, Inc.
135 W. Magnolia Blvd Suite 300-F
Burbank, CA 91502
[626-422-4273](tel:626-422-4273) Cell
[800-648-7741](tel:800-648-7741) Branch Office
[818-459-3979](tel:818-459-3979) Branch Fax

From: **Patrizzi Intergalactica** <thaddius.d.patrizzi@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 12:36 AM
Subject: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I SUPPORT Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82 that is opposed to ALL 710 Freeway extension routes by highway and/or freeway to include ALL tunnel routes:

H-2, F-2, F-5, H-6, F-6 and now F-7.

Sincerely,

Dianne Patrizzi
Pasadena Resident and Homeowner

From: <MSmith72719@aol.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 11:25 PM
Subject: Councilman Huizar's resolution
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I am writing to express my support for Councilman Huizar's resolution to OPPOSE all extensions of the 710 freeway, and specifically any tunnel, and to urge the council to approve it.

I am not able to be present at the meeting on Tuesday, August 28, but I wanted to make sure that my voice is heard by the council.

It is time to make better use of precious tax dollars and invest in 21st century solutions to the problems of the transport of goods and people.

The tunnel is a particularly bad concept, given that it will be a money pit of taxpayer monies and will destroy so many neighborhoods.

Please convey to Councilman Huizar and the entire Los Angeles City Council that our family adamantly opposes Metro's dogged push to build a tunnel, and opposes any extension of the freeway. There are better solutions than reverting to 60 year old, obsolete transportation methods.

We urge the council to approve this resolution -- and take a stand for reason and common sense, and to support the quality of life in all the affected communities.

Regards,

Marilyn M. Smith
4469 Saint Francis Place
La Canada, CA 91011

From: **Andy Rodriguez** <arodriguez@worksusa.org>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 11:12 PM
Subject: I Oppose any 710 Freeway Expansion!
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Sent from my iPhone

From: **lindsey wells** <babswells@yahoo.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 10:31 PM

Subject:

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I STRONGLY SUPPORT COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 AND
OPPOSE THE 710 FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.

Thanks,

Lindsey Anderson

From: **marsha connor** <marshaconnor@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 10:35 PM
Subject: Support councilman Huizars resolution.
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Hello, I want you to know that I, as a Mt. Washington resident, oppose the 710 freeway expansion and am in full support of Councilman Jose Huizars resolution.

Marsha
Sent from my iPad

From: **Chana Smith** <chana_smith@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 4:58 AM
Subject: agenda item no.48, 12-0002 S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing to register my support of The Transportation Committee's motion to oppose the F7 option of the 710 Freeway extension. As a homeowner in the historic Highland Park area and the parent of a fourth grader, I am greatly concerned that we have been completely disregarded in Metro's so-called outreach efforts. I only learned about the proposed extensions through a neighbor. Given the critical budget shortages faced by our state, I as a taxpayer would very much like to know where the funds for pushing an 8-lane freeway through our community would come from. Where is the justification for such spending when my tax dollars cannot even keep our public schools afloat? What are the impacts on my daughter's lungs of an 8-lane tunnel under her neighborhood? We are already bounded by three freeways--the 110, the 2 and the 134...Neither our lung capacity nor our budget can accommodate such an outrageous project. As tax paying, voting residents of the communities of Northeast Los Angeles, we have right to full disclosure regarding the impact on our communities and families, including all relevant technical information, before any further developments are pursued by Metro/Caltrans.

Respectfully,

Chana Smith
867 Crestwood Terrace
Los Angeles, CA 90042

From: **Chana Smith** <chana_smith@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 5:48 AM
Subject: agenda item no.48, 12-0002 S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing to support Councilman Huizar's proposed motion to oppose the F7 option of the 710 Freeway extension. As a taxpayer and homeowner in the historic Highland Park neighborhood, I'm particularly concerned about the costs associated with the F7 option. At a time when my daughter's school can barely make ends meet, I wonder who will bear the burden of paying for this project. I wonder what the social and financial damage would be to Highland Park and neighboring communities. As a parent and asthma sufferer, I am deeply concerned about the effects of increased pollution on my daughter's already compromised lung capacity. Metro has been woefully inadequate in answering these questions. In fact, my family and neighbors only became aware of the proposed 710 freeway extensions by word-of-mouth from a single neighbor. Where is the technical data that addresses these and other concerns from the community? As residents who would be directly impacted by any extension of the 710-whether above or below ground-we have a right to full disclosure and demand accountability from our government representatives at all levels. Please support us by doing your jobs and by doing what is right for our health and well-being.

Respectfully,

Chana Smith
867 Crestwood Terrace
Los Angeles, CA 90042

From: <leslie9191@aol.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 11:49 PM

Subject: SUPPORT FOR HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

SUPPORT COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012) AND OPPOSITION TO 710 FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.

I am a resident of Highland Park/90042

**Sincerely,
~Leslie Mylius**

From: **Julia Hastings** <jahastings@att.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 10:07 PM
Subject: We Support Huizar's Resolution
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

We are writing to voice our **strong support** for Council Member Jose Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-582, Item 48 on tomorrow's Council Agenda, as well as our **strong opposition** to any outmoded plans to extend the 710 by freeway, highway, or tunnel along any route.

The Los Angeles City Council has the opportunity to support a forward-thinking, innovative, environmental approach to transportation in the greater Los Angeles area by approving Council Member Huizar's resolution. We urge the Mayor and Council Members to endorse long-term transportation solutions which place a priority on quality of life for residents: move cargo from ports by rail to outlying distribution centers; support light rail, bus, and bicycle transportation projects; make our city more pedestrian-friendly.

Los Angeles could -- and should-- be a cutting-edge leader in pioneering new transport paradigms. To this end, we urge your approval of Council Member Huizar's resolution.

Thank you,

Lewis and Julia Hastings
4855 Grand Avenue
La Cañada, CA 91011

From: **Tom Savio** <railwaybaron@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 10:01 PM
Subject: NO on I-710
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Dear Los Angeles City Council,

It is time that the people stand up and reject the 50 year old plan to extend I-710 through our neighborhoods to I-210 and I-134. I-710 is a Zombie Freeway that refuses to die. We must stop it before it destroys our homes and way of life! This is the 21st Century, we need 21st Century traffic solutions not more traffic and smog!

Thank you,

Thomas R. Savio
Yvonne D. Savio

385 Malcolm Dr.

Pasadena, Cal.

91105

From: **Elizabeth Garrison** <lizgarrison@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 9:26 PM

Subject: 710 expansion recommendations

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I SUPPORT Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82 that is opposed to ALL 710 Freeway extension routes by highway and/or freeway to include ALL tunnel routes:

H-2, F-2, F-5, H-6, F-6 and now F-7.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Garrison

From: **F Hannan** <freddiehannan@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 11:20 PM
Subject: support resolution 12-0002-s82
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

opposition to all 710 freeways
support CM Huizar's resolution 12-00020s82

Mr and Mrs Edward J Hannan - Pasadena
Mr and Mrs Sergio Magos – Duarte

From: **Tina Gulotta-Miller** <tmgulotta@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 10:56 PM
Subject: SR-710 Extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To the Los Angeles City Council,

Please accept my approval and support for Agenda Item Number (48) 12-0002-S82 of the August 28th Los Angeles City Council meeting regarding the motion to oppose the SR-710 Extension Alternatives, H-2, F-2, F-5, H-6, F-6 set forth by Councilmember Huizar and the Amendment that includes the F-7 tunnel route alternative. I would like this document to be filed into the administrative record.

My name is Tina Gulotta-Miller and I live in Garvanza, and along with Rosa Rivas, we have teamed up to form the Garvanza Improvement Association in 2003. The GIA is over 100 years old and has a lot of history. We are part of the Highland Park - Garvanza Historic Preservation Overlay Zone. We are a historic pre-World War I neighborhood in Northeast Los Angeles and a small enclave inside the community of Highland Park. Rosa and I spent 15 years working closely with many city leaders to accomplish this goal. CM Huizar helped us achieve this goal through several years of hard work and collaboration to protect our community and keep it intact as a historic district.

It is with complete frustration that I share with you my dismal experience regarding my interactions with the Metro Board either by email, Facebook or personally at the CLC meetings that they have hosted at Ramona Hall in Highland Park and the South Pasadena meeting locations as well. I have made posts in their SR-710 Study Facebook page numerous times requesting information only to find my request deleted and/or unanswered. I had requested over the last year and a half for the "boring" results that they conducted in our communities. I have been interested in the data to see what was collected, analyzed and disbursed for information to the public. I never received any response. When Metro finally got around to having CLC meetings again at Ramona Hall, I attempted to ask them about the boring results of their scoping project but then Metro said they could not answer any "technical" questions at any of the meetings I attended. And then I found that my comments had somehow "disappeared" from the SR-710 Study Facebook page.

On May 30th, 2012, Metro announced at the CLC meeting at the Rose Bowl Pasadena location that they had produced "12" alternatives for the proposed SR-710 Extension. I didn't know about the meeting and was unaware of the newly proposed routes. Only to find out that one of the proposed routes (H-2) was going to be heading down Avenue 64 as well as being an 8-10 lane highway, demolishing the York Boulevard bridge and then destroying many historic and important structures including several Historic Cultural Monuments as well as the beloved homes along Garvanza into the San Rafael neighborhoods of Pasadena. Everyone I spoke with was stunned or shocked that this was the case.

My husband, Charles Miller and I launched the Facebook page "no710onave64", "no710freewayextension" another Twitter feed @metro710pr. We have garnered over 1400 members that have joined the pages. It is a forum of communities that speak to each other and on point regarding the SR-710 Extension. It has been a significant building block toward a transparency that we have not had from Metro or Los Angeles City officials to date. Our "Flash Mob Phone Calls" have been most effective and we see the difference our organization of actions has through social media. It has empowered us in a whole new way from Eagle Rock, Pasadena, South Pasadena, Highland Park, Garvanza, Mount Washington, El Sereno, Hermon, Montecito Heights, Alhambra, Cypress Park, Glassell Park, Downtown Los Angeles, Hollywood and Burbank.

Over the course of the last few weeks I have been able to bond and form relationships with a wide range of people all over the Northeast Los Angeles area and beyond. The social media platforms that we've launched made so much possible without a budget compared to the \$4,000,000 million dollar budget of Metro.

Finally, why are we spending \$14,000,000,000 billion dollars on a 4.5 mile tunnel? Our entire deficit for the State of California is \$19,000,000,000 billion dollars. Do we really want to repeat the "Big Dig"? Our cities are cutting education, art and music, vital city and state jobs that communities rely on for basic services. And you know this tunnel will have cost overruns and make it cost prohibitive. These costs have the potential to drain our Los Angeles County Transportation budget. The people have asked for Freight rail and electric rail to move the cargo from the giant trucking industry from the ports to the distribution centers and into the High Desert Corridor.

Please support and pass CM Huizar's motion to oppose the H2, F2, F5, H6, F6 and now the F7. Make history.

And we will Thank-you loudly,

Tina Gulotta-Miller
Garvanza Improvement Association
Member, Highland Park Heritage Trust
Land Use Committee, HHPNC

From: **Devony Hastings** <devony@dahastings.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 9:59 PM

Subject: Support of Huizar's Resolution

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I urge the City Council to pass **COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012) AND OPPOSITION TO 710 FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.**

THANK YOU!

Devony Hastings
LA City resident

From: **Carl Krantz** <carlkrantz@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 9:45 PM
Subject: 710 Freeways and Highways
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Mz Lagmay,

Please register my support for Mr. Huizar's resolution (12-0002-S82 Item 48) which **opposes** the proposed 710 Freeway extensions.

Regards,
Carl Krantz

8-28_710-1

From: **John marshall** <jwm@inbox.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 9:20 PM
Subject: Support for Councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Dear City Clerk Lagmay,

I and my family support Councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-S82 (agenda Item 48 for Tuesday, August 28, 2012).

We oppose the 710 freeway extension, especially F-7, as well as H-2, H-6, F-2, F-5, and F-6.

John Marshall
515 S. Figueroa
Los Angeles, Calif 90071

From: **Donald Nollar** <donaldnollar@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 9:19 PM
Subject: Opposition to Route 710 extension
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Good Evening,

I would like to express my support for Councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday Aug. 28, 2012). I am firmly opposed to any freeway, highway or tunnel extension of the 710 freeway through Los Angeles or anywhere else.

Sincerely,

Donald Nollar
3916 Division St.
Los Angeles, CA. 90065

From: **Joe Kertes** <jkertes@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 9:09 PM
Subject: Opposition to the 710 project
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Dear City Clerk,
Please be so kind as to voice our SUPPORT FOR COUNCILMAN
HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012)
AND OPPOSITION TO 710 FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.
Thanks so much,
Joe and Trish Kertes
La Canada, California

From: **Bob Gonzales** <dodgerbobyh@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:42 PM
Subject: I support CouncilmanHuizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

City Clerk June Lagmay,

This message is to let you and the city council know that I am a resident and registered voter in the city of Los Angeles, and I am supporting Councilman Jose Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82, which opposes ALL SURFACE AND TUNNEL FREEWAY AND HIGHWAY ROUTES currently being studied by Metro for the 710 extension project, including H-2, H-6, F-2, F-5, F-6, and F-7!

Regards,

Alvaro Gonzales

From: **marisa perez** <marisa57@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:36 PM
Subject: 710
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I'm in SUPPORT of Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82 that is opposed to ALL 710 Freeway extension routes by highway and/or freeway to include ALL tunnel routes. Marisa Perez, Garvanza home owner/resident.

From: **Marissa Moreno** <marimore38@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:35 AM
Subject: 710
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>

I am writing to inform you that I oppose any extension of the 710 freeway and any ballot measures raising money for this extension. I will vote no on any measure extending funding on this project.

Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Kirsten Ferdinandsen** <kferdinandsen@att.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:08 PM
Subject: support.
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I support Councilman Huizar's resolution to 12-0002-S82 [agenda item 48] on Tuesday 8/28/12 and opposition to 710 Freeway's and Highway's.

Kirsten Ferdinandsen
5010 Stern Ave.
Sherman Oaks, Cali.

From: **Dennis Beringer** <dr_who@swbell.net>

Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:39 PM

Subject: Support of Transportation Committee resolution opposing present freeway routes under consideration

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I am writing in support of the resolution, proposed by Councilman Jose Huizar and approved by the Transportation Committee of the L.A. City Council, to oppose all surface and tunnel freeway and highway routes presently under study (H-2, H-6, F-2, F-5, F-6, and F-7). Three generations of my family lived close to the intersection of LaLoma Road and Avenue 64, and family and friends still live in that immediate area. I know the geography of that area well and the societal infrastructure, and much of it (library, elementary school, etc., etc.) would be either severely impacted or actually eliminated by the F-7 route as proposed. This area has been one of the few remaining true desirable "residential" areas left in west Pasadena, and it would be an unfortunate and certainly avoidable happenstance to have it fall victim to yet another freeway and all that freeways bring with them. I believe that Cal Trans can find other options.

Thank you for considering my input.

Respectfully submitted,
Dennis B. Beringer

From: **Adam and Paula Grubb** <apgrubb@roadrunner.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:34 PM
Subject: opposition to the 710 fwy
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

we support the resolution of Councilman Jose Huizar (resolution no. 12-0002-S82) to OPPOSE ALL SURFACE AND TUNNEL FREEWAY AND HIGHWAY ROUTES CURRENTLY BEING STUDIED BY METRO, INCLUDING THE H-2, H-6, F-2 , F-5, f-6, and F-7. (AGENDA ITEM 48 on Tuesday August 28, 2012) .Sincerely, Adam and Paula Grubb, 912 Elder Court Los Angeles, Ca. 90042.

From: **Paula Shatsky** <paulashatsky@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:28 PM
Subject: No 710 Tunnel
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Board of Supervisors of Los Angeles County:

I am writing to express my vehement opposition to the plan for a tunnel to be built through El Sereno and Pasadena, to "allegedly" connect the 710-210 freeways. This is a travesty of justice, an insane ill planned idea, and we who live in the affected areas will stop you every step of the way. We need 21st century, clean proposals. Not ideas from the 1950's. Don't do it. Period.

NO 710 TUNNEL ANYWHERE.

Sincerely,

Paula Shatsky
1381 Cheviotdale Drive
Pasadena, Ca. 91105

From: **Joan Hillard** <jchillard@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:17 PM
Subject: 710 Freeway Extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Please support Councilman Huizar's resolution to oppose the extension of the 710, including the construction of a tunnel. Extending this freeway through the proposed areas only encourages auto drivers to continue to use their vehicles without any thought about other transportation options. In addition, it will bring more truck traffic into residential areas. The tunnel definitely has environmental effects on health issues; one only needs to read the research about the effects of highway pollution on children's learning to understand that this is a current and ongoing problem that still has not been dealt with in any satisfactory manner. Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Joan Hillard

From: **Paula L Johnson** <paulajohnson@mac.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:16 PM
Subject: Agenda item #48 (12-0002-S82)
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

Ms. Lagmay,

This message to express my support of agenda item #48 (12-0002-S82) with the amendment that includes the F-7 route. I oppose ALL 710 freeway extension routes by highway and/or freeway, and including all tunnel routes.

The impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities is not known, but will surely result in blight, public health issues, safety concerns and other negative outcomes.

Metro has been secretive, misleading and not at all transparent. Their "outreach" efforts have been so remarkably bad, thousands of people have been inspired to organize and protest. I have never seen anything like it.

Thanks you for your hard work.

Paula Johnson

From: **Gail Lovejoy** <gluvjoy@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:01 PM
Subject: 710 Freeway extension!!!
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To Whom This Concern:

I am in total support of Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82 and the Opposition to the 710 Freeway and Highway!!

My beautiful community will be lost to trucks, pollution, noise and additional traffic if this project continues! PLEASE HALT

this project!!

Gail Lovejoy
359 California Terrace
Pasadena, CA 91105

From: **Cool Cobra** <coolcobra2000@hotmail.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 8:02 PM
Subject: NO on any 710 plans
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I share total support for councilman Huizar's resolution 12-002-S82

agenda item 48 on August 28, 2012 and express my opposition

to any 710 Freeways, Highways and/or Tunnels.

You are destroying our neighborhoods, impede our health and totally

decimate our quality of life.

Sincerely,

Arno Schlinghoff ,73 year old resident

From: **Cheryl Bode** <cheryl.bode@me.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:51 AM
Subject: No on 710
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>

I am writing to voice my support of the SR-710 motion, agenda item (48) 12-002-S82 coming before the city council this morning at 10a. The prohibitive cost of building a tunnel along with a flawed study is a waste of my taxpayers money. I will also vote against Measure J if money is included to fund any further studies or building of the 710.

Thank you,
Cheryl Bode
Altadena, CA 91001

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Monika Taussig** <akinomsur@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 7:18 PM
Subject: NO 710 tunnel (f-7 route)
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

SUPPORTING COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 AND OPPOSITION TO 710
FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.

Taussig family
El Sereno residents

From: <jdalearch@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 7:03 PM
Subject: 710 Freeway Extension Alternatives
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I am writing in support of the committee recommendation to LA City Council to oppose all current routes being considered for the extension of the 710 Freeway, including the alternate routes through Glendale, Garvanza, San Rafael Hills, Old Pasadena, South Pasadena. This fight has been fought for 50 years and communities should no longer be subjected to proposals which will tear apart the fabric of neighborhoods and irrevocably damage some of the most decent places to live in the LA / San Gabriel Valley region. The city should urge the MTA to set such proposals aside completely and focus on meaningful, viable options for public transit, ride sharing and other cost effective measures that will preserve the environment and increase livability in our region. I therefore support Councilman Huizar's motion.

Thanks very much.

John R. Dale, FAIA
Pasadena / Los Angeles

From: **Ronald Lamutt** <rhlamutt@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 6:57 PM
Subject: NO on 710 Extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

We oppose the construction of any freeway or highway, including tunnels, which are under consideration currently by Metro to connect the 710 to the 134, 210, or 2 freeways. We are committed to maintaining our beautiful and historic communities and will do whatever is necessary, no matter how long it takes, to defeat these efforts.

Please pass our message of deep concern and opposition on to the LA City Council. Please request that they stand up for us at tomorrow's meeting by supporting Councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-S82 - NO FREEWAY, HIGHWAY OR TUNNEL.

Ron and Marianne Lamutt

From: Fahreen <3 <fahreenw11@aol.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 1:40 AM

Subject: SUPPORT FOR COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To Whom it May Concern,

As a resident of Pasadena I would like to show my support for Councilman Jose Huizar's resolution 12-0002-S82. The expansion of the 710 freeway would destroy a neighborhood laden with deep rooted history that spans over many years. San Rafael, known as the gem of Pasadena, has taken pride in its large trees which have matured and grown with the neighborhood and provided a peaceful setting and clean air. The expansion would pose as a threat for our environment, air quality and health. With diseases such as cancer and asthma on the rise, the last thing we need is more pollution and smog. As you can see, this expansion will only prove to be a disadvantage and therefore I oppose the 710 freeway extension and look forward to hearing good news in the upcoming months.

Sincerely,
Mrs. W

From: **Susan Bauman** <sbauman11@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 7:10 PM
Subject: Resolution 12-0002-S82
To: LA Clerk <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Mayor of Los Angeles
Aug.27,2012
Members of the City Council of Los Angeles
City Clerk of Los Angeles

RE; RESOLUTION 12-0001-S82
Agenda item 48, Tuesday Aug.28,2012

We have lived in the San Rafael area of Pasadena since 1964 and would be seriously impacted by the recent proposals being studied by Metro for extending the 710 Freeway through our neighborhood and disrupting other neighborhoods. Therefore we fully concur with Councilman Jose Huizar's resolution #12-0002-S82.

Mr. Huizar's resolution is scheduled #12-0002-S82- agenda item 48, Tuesday Aug.28,2012. We urge the Los Angeles City Council to unanimously endorse this resolution.

Our City Council of Pasadena has voted to reject the 710 freeway extensions under study and they are unacceptable for any further consideration.

The approval of any of the surface and tunnel routes in the above resolution would will create the following circumstances.

- 1 Destruction of many homes/ causing families to relocate.
- 2 Destruction of commercial buildings and businesses
- 3 Destruction of community establishments
- 4 Create intolerable freeway noise
- 5 Pollution
- 6 Severe decline in property values

In conclusion we can not see any positive outcome resulting from the approval of either of any of the extensions being considered. We therefore request that the Los Angeles Council, support our position and unanimously pass resolution 12-0002-S82two proposals.

Yours truly.

William and Susan Bauman

From: **Doris Ruzzi** <ruzzi28@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 6:48 PM

Subject: COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

As a long time, frequent visitors to the Pasadena area, we would like to voice my support for Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82. In a time when not only California, but our federal government is near bankruptcy, spending billions of dollars to extend the freeway is unacceptable. Also, any extension of the 710 freeway will greatly harm the quality of life in the communities affected. Please approve this resolution and support 21st Century transportation alternatives.

Thank you,

Michael and Doris Ruzzi
Fullerton, California

From: **Lisa Shah** <lisadshah@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 6:41 PM
Subject: No on 710 fwy
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>

I cannot attend the meeting tomorrow but I want to voice my opinion on the extension of the 710 freeway. I am urging the city of Los Angeles to defeat the construction of the freeway on Ave. 64.
Lisa Shah

Sent from my iPad

From: **julie williams** <juliewilliams45@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:59 AM
Subject: I oppose the 710 extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To whom it may concern,

I encourage the Los Angeles City Council to OPPOSE ALL SURFACE AND TUNNEL ROUTES currently being considered by Metro, including those through our neighborhoods in Northeast LA.

Thank you,

Julie Williams

From: **Gary Ching** <garykching@aol.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 10:03 AM
Subject: SR 710 motion- Agenda item no (48) 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org
Cc: marlene.ching@gmail.com

LA City Council,

Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82

We want you to vote against the recommendation of route F-7 for the following reasons.

1. Metro has and still does have a flawed outreach process. Most residents in the affected areas are not aware what's Metro's proposals are and the impact to their communities.
2. The cost of F-7 is outrageous considering the current budget constraints, there are other more pressing transportation needs.
3. The impact to surrounding communities has not been determined.
4. Metro's technical analysis on this route has been limited and more information is needed before a decision can be made.

Gary and Marlene Ching
Concern Pasadena residents

From: **sabine larkin** <sabinelarkin@mac.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:59 AM
Subject: Opposition to 710 freeways and highways
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

To city clerk,

We are residents of garvanza (highland park)and sending this email in support for councilmans Huizars resolution 12-0002-s82 and opposition to 710 freeways and highways .
Brian & Sabine Larkin

Sent from my iPad

From: **Fred John** <fredjohn@charter.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:52 AM
Subject: Agenda Item 48 12-0002-S82
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

To City Clerk for LA City Council: As residents of Pasadena we urge the City Council to adopt the Resolution that the Transportation Committee recommended to ask Metro and Cal Trans to exclude from further consideration not only those routes that Metro Staff has recommended for exclusion but also Alternative F-7 because of its prohibitive cost, the unknown impacts on affected communities and inadequate technical analysis by Metro and its consultants. These Resolutions should supplement and not supersede the 2009 Resolution adopted by the City Council.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter. fred john

From: <vpapabear46@aol.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:43 AM
Subject: I support 12-0002-S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

To Whom It May Concern,

I, John Perez, a resident of the City of Los Angeles, 8210 Bellingham Ave., North Hollywood, CA 91605, support Council member Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82.

John Perez

From: **Gloria Castro** <digalenoal710@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:06 AM
Subject: [12-0002-S82](#)
To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

Dear City Council:

I am in favor of the above resolution. I am a 20 year resident of LA, and ten-year homeowner in Highland Park. My husband operates a small business.

Your adoption of the resolution before you today will send a strong and righteous message to Metro, because it will include the H7 route.

Most importantly, however, you will lead the people in Northeast LA county, public transit users, health care providers, schoolchildren and small businesses in the affected areas in opposition to a project railroaded through without meaningful public participation, especially from Latinos with whom Metro is conversant with on all other issues, except this one.

Your passage of this resolution will tell Metro that the buck stops with you. Your yes vote will demand that the truth prevail in assessing the impacts of these options, which still do not consider the construction of clean burning rail lines and infrastructural improvements (ex: raising vertical clearances for doubledecker option) to carry containers to inland ports. Jobs can be conserved and created with thoughtful consideration, and public input.

Please accept the recommendation of the Transportation Committee and the leadership of Mr. Huizar and the seconds by Mr. Reyes and Mr. Garcetti on this issue. They represent the voices of residents of LA and its environs to which they have listened.

Pollution from building and operating H7 would not stop at city borders. The saddling of the debt of a failed H7 tunnel will fall on our children to bear. The scars left on this great city would be irreparable. This vote will define this Council.

With your help, Metro can go back to what it does best: public transit. In these times, public transit use is at an all-time high, and is an important component of our economic recovery. The demand is apparent on my rides on bus 81 and the Gold Line , and my use of bike lanes several days a week to/from work. Metro spent \$34 million to conduct public outreach (\$2m) and studies (\$32m), with which it could have purchased 90 clean burning buses. Measure J could be defeated given the major public distrust created by Metro's misguided tactics and overwhelming success in making their impalatable recommendations.

As always, thanks for your service to this great City. While it's the little things that count, it's the big things that define you.

Best,
Gloria Castro-Trejo & Alex Trejo

El Pueblo Unido en Oposicion al 710 Jamas Sera Vencido! Haga "Like" o Unete en FB al "Digale No Al 710"

From: **Jan Van Diver** <jan@janvandiver.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 9:41 AM
Subject: August 28 city council agenda item 12-0002-s82
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>

Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82

Please support the resolution procured by council member Huizar's in opposition to any extension of the 710. It should be obvious that the costs, both in terms of monies and destruction of communities, would be prohibitive. The state is already in fiscal need, we don't need to potentially spend billions of taxpayer dollars on a tunnel or freeway that very few, special interests no doubt, want or need.

Metro has done an abominable job of public outreach but the people have managed to do their own digging up of facts. It appears that there is a lack of technical analysis by metro about F-7, the geosocial and environmental problems this route would cause would be catastrophic.

As voters who pay many taxes in California, we urge you to see that your opposition to any and all 710 extensions will be in the best interests do your constituents and yourselves. Please support the resolution 12-0002-s82 and know you would be doing the right thing for California and for those who you were elected to represent.

Thank you,
Jan van diver

From: **Peter Bedard** <peterbedard@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:53 AM
Subject: No 710
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>

Hello June,

I'm not able to make it to the meeting today but wanted to encourage the council to support the Huizar resolution and the community/neighborhoods out here in NortheEast LA. Please register my opinion against any extension of the 710 Freeway/Tollway.

Thanks,

PB

xo

Peter Bedard MA, C.Ht.
MA Consciousness Studies
Certified Hypnotherapist

Cell [\(323\) 384-8433](tel:3233848433)

CreateYourHealth.com

PeterBedardHypnotherapy.com

Send a personalized hard-copy card in the mail for FREE - visit www.sendoutcards.com/8081

From: **Kate McDaniel** <k8mcda@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 8:10 AM

Subject: No 710

To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I support Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82,
Agenda Item 48 - NO HIGHWAYS, NO TUNNEL through the San Rafael area or the surrounding
Pasadena neighborhood communities
who stand firm against any further studies that waste our tax dollars for
environmentally disastrous results at an impossible cost.

Thank you.

Kate McDaniel

From: **Harris** <landho6969@aol.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 27, 2012 at 9:19 PM
Subject: No 710
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Please NO 710 through South Pasadena. I am a second generation freeway fighter. This is not the answer. Our families and quality of life out weigh the need to get to point A to B faster.

Lynda Harris

From: **Nathan Smith** <nathan@nathansmithdesign.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 10:09 AM

Subject: No 710

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

Metro's flawed process and outreach

Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State Fiscal need?)

Unknown impact to El Sereno and surrounding communities

Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route

From: <Virginia.L.Moyer@kp.org>

Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 10:11 AM

Subject: Agenda Item No: (48) 12-0002-S82 OPPOSED TO ANY EXTENSION OF 710, PARTICULARLY F-7

To: June.lagmay@lacity.org

I am a resident of Mt. Washington (Huizar district) and would be DIRECTLY impacted by the 710 Extension through Highland Park. I am OPPOSED to this extension ... and ALL extensions ... of the 710 Freeway.

As a resident of the proposed-impacted area, I have not had any direct outreach from Metro, even though this route would be catastrophic to our neighborhood.

Opposition to F-7 includes:

- Metro's flawed process and outreach
- Prohibitive cost of F-7 (why is this route a priority over the CA State fiscal need?)
- Unknown / and no doubt catastrophically negative impact to El Sereno, Highland Park, Mt Washington, Glassell Park
- Lack of technical analysis by Metro to recommend this route

Virginia Lark Moyer
957 Nordica Ave
LA CA 90065

Virginia Lark Moyer, PMP, ITILv3

Project Manager

Infrastructure Planning & Delivery

Kaiser Permanente

KPIT-Infrastructure Management Group

99 S. Oakland

Pasadena, CA 90065

[626-564-7665](tel:626-564-7665) (office)

8*831-7665 (tie-line)

[626-399-9253](tel:626-399-9253) (mobile phone)

kp.org/thrive

From: **Naadia Porter** <nchan_porter@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 10:29 AM
Subject: Opposition against 710 freeway and highway
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

This email is to official register my support for Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0002 S82, agenda item #48 opposing 710 freeway and highway.

Thank you,
Naadia Porter

Sent from my iPad

From: <janrik@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 10:31 AM
Subject: No on measure J (r+)
To: "June.lagmay@lacity.org" <June.lagmay@lacity.org>

To our City Council Members,

As taxpayers residing in southwest Pasadena, we have been involved in the opposition of any and all 710 extensions proposed by metro. The costs could never be justified: there are many better alternatives which would cost less and accomplish better, cleaner less destructive results.

Because of the ability by metro/Caltrans to use funding from measure R and potentially measure J to build freeways and tunnels which would destroy our neighborhoods and cities and ecological environs, know that everyone will be voting no on measure J.

If the threat of antiquated freeways and tunnels were not a major consideration, measure j would have a much better chance of being passed. As long as metro/Caltrans has the option of using funds that should be used for public transit/active transit projects for building roads and tunnels, voters will not support increasing revenues through increased taxation. The public does NOT want or need more truck filled roads, we need modern modes do transportation that are environmentally friendly, that do not destroy neighborhoods, that do not impact natural habitats, that serve the region in 21st century ways.

The voters will never support an extension of measure R as long as there is the potential that our money can be spent on projects we do not support. Measure J is not a smart solution and will be opposed by all who do not want more tunnels, freeways and highways.

Respectfully,
Jan van diver

From: **Donna Sugimoto** <donnasugimoto@me.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 10:42 AM
Subject: I oppose any 710 extension
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I was not able to attend the Council Meeting today but am writing to express my support for Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82 on the agenda in opposition to any 710 extension of freeways,highways,tunnels.

Donna Sugimoto
Resident of the community of Eagle Rock

From: **Nadine Haders** <nhaders@mac.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 11:10 AM
Subject: 710 Freeway
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern:

As a resident of Highland Park & a San Raphael adjacent homeowner, I cannot stress enough how opposed I am to ANY consideration of a freeway encroaching on these neighborhoods. Avenue 63 is a beautiful thoroughfare bridging Pasadena & Highland Park & to change its course would be a travesty to both of these cities & it's residents. Please consider this when making decisions that could alter the quality of both. Thank-you.

Nadine Haders
1007 Cresthaven Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90042
[\(323\)493-7472](tel:(323)493-7472)
nhaders@mac.com

From: **jill polsby** <polsby@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 11:32 AM
Subject: absolutely no to the 710 tunnel!!
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

Absolutely NO to the 710 tunnel through So. Pasadena and Pasadena.

What fool thought of that idea! Straight through earthquake country where, in Pasadena, we've now shut down a fire station, a perfectly viable school because they're on "faults". And someone wants to build a 5 miles long tunnel through tested earthquake country?

Who in the heck thought of that idea.

Release the property (all those cal trans houses) back into the property tax base. Make the people who are living there buy their houses at FAIR MARKET VALUE or else move.

ABSOLUTELY NO TO THE 710 TUNNEL.

Jill Polsby
<http://jillpolsby.blogspot.com/>

From: <tgear@mindspring.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 11:39 AM
Subject: SUPPORT Resolution 12-0002-S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Greetings:

I am writing to support Councilman Huizar's Resolution 12-0002-S82 which opposes all 710 extension freeways and highways. Thank you.

Thomas Tibbits

From: **Frances** <frances.thronson@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 11:36 AM
Subject: No 710 extension
To: "june.lagmay@lacity.org" <june.lagmay@lacity.org>

It's time Caltrans gave up on extending the 710. Its time is past. Let it go.

Stop the 710 madness!

Frances Thronson

From: **Arthur Aviles** <abaviles1@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 11:48 AM
Subject: 710 Annex
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org, Soupie <suedewey@ymcala.org>

I wish to inform you that the 710 Freeway Annex is a very bad idea and that our family is extremely against this. Also, any further impact studies are waisting the tax payers of Los Angeles County and the State of California. Please recognize that entire Cities such as Pasadena, South Pasadena, Garvanza, Highland Park, El Sereno, La Canada - Flintridge and others are extremely opposed to the 710 FWY Annex. Thank you for reading my comments,

Art Aviles and Family

From: **Sua Iris Hernandez** <shernandez@worksusa.org>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 1:25 PM
Subject: Sport for Resolution 12-0002-S82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Ms. Lagmay,

I write to you in strong support for the effort Councilman Huizar is making to stop the 710 Freeway expansion. As a resident of Boyle Heights, I know the environmental and health impacts freeways and highly trafficked streets have. The expansion of the 710 would only worsen the health and environmental impacts already felt by my family and neighbors.

This is such a critical time to think about innovative ways to move throughout our beautiful region. Fixating on antiquated methods of transportation stifles creative thinking and seriously harms those in the way of backwards development.

Sua Iris Hernandez
LEADS Community Organizer
Women Organizing Resources, Knowledge and Services (WORKS)
795 North Avenue 50
Los Angeles, CA 90042
[323-341-7028](tel:323-341-7028) (Office)
[323-341-5815](tel:323-341-5815) (Fax)
worksusa.org

From: **angela evangelista** <evangelista79@att.net>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 1:51 PM
Subject: resolution12-0002-s82
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

I support councilman Huizar's resolution 12-0002-s82 and opposition to710.
Angela Evangelista - PO Box 272 La Canada Flintridge, Calif. 91012

From: **Melissa Bulick** <melbee33@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Aug 28, 2012 at 2:25 PM
Subject: Opposition to 710 Freeways
To: june.lagmay@lacity.org

Please note that I am SUPPORT of COUNCILMAN HUIZAR'S RESOLUTION 12-0002-S82 (agenda item 48 on Tuesday, August 28, 2012) AND OPPOSITION TO 710 FREEWAYS AND HIGHWAYS.

I am a 11 year resident of Mt. Washington.

thank you
melissa bulick
823 moon avenue
los angeles, ca 90065