

REPORT FROM

OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Date: February 21, 2013

CAO File No. 0130-01943-0012

Council File No. 12-0177-S1

Council District: --

To: The Council

From: Miguel A. Santana, City Administrative Officer *MAS*

Reference: Board of Police Commissioners Transmittal dated October 23, 2012; referred for report on November 29, 2012

Subject: **2012-13 INTERNET CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN PROGRAM GRANT FROM THE CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY**

SUMMARY

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) is seeking retroactive approval to apply for and accept a \$100,000 grant award for the 2012-13 Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Program Grant from the California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013.

BACKGROUND

The Los Angeles Regional Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force is an interagency effort currently comprised of 50 law enforcement agencies covering five counties. The focus of the Task Force is to investigate, apprehend and prosecute individuals involved in crimes against and the exploitation of juveniles through the use of the Internet, online communication systems and other technologies. These computer-facilitated crimes include the exchange of child pornography and online solicitation for sexual purposes.

The Task Force is funded through a combination of State grant funds (this grant), Federal Department of Justice grant funds (see Council File No. 12-1777) and various local funds from the 50 involved agencies. The LAPD staffs 13 officers and one civilian on its Task Force Unit. The 2012-13 ICAC grant will fund 60 percent of the salary of one Detective II (\$65,018), sworn overtime (\$30,284) and various operating and travel expenses (\$4,698).

The majority of funding for the LAPD's Task Force Unit is provided in the City's General Fund as part of the Department's regular law enforcement duties. Although no matching funds are required for this grant, the City must cover the additional sworn salary and all related costs of the Detective II. These costs are already included in the Adopted Budget and do not represent any additional General Fund impact since this position is filled by existing LAPD personnel.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Council, subject to the approval of the Mayor:

1. Authorize the Chief of Police or designee to retroactively apply for and accept the 2012-13 Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Grant in the amount of \$100,000 from the California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013;
2. Authorize the Chief of Police or designee to negotiate and execute the 2012-13 ICAC Grant Agreement on behalf of the City and submit all necessary documents relative to the grant award, subject to the approval of the City Attorney as to form and legality;
3. Authorize the Los Angeles Police Department to:
 - a. Submit grant reimbursement requests to the grantor and deposit grant receipts in the Police Department Grant Trust Fund No. 339, Department No. 70;
 - b. Spend up to the grant amount of \$100,000 in accordance with the grant award agreement;
4. Authorize the Controller to:
 - a. Establish a grant receivable in Fund 339/70 in the amount of \$100,000 and establish an appropriation account, account number to be determined, within Fund 339/70 for the receipt and disbursement of the 2012-13 ICAC CalEMA grant award;
 - b. Transfer appropriations on an as-needed basis as follows:

From:	<u>Fund/Dept</u>	<u>Account No.</u>	<u>Account Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	339/70	70XXX	2012 ICAC CalEMA Grant	\$ 95,302
To:	<u>Fund/Dept</u>	<u>Account No.</u>	<u>Account Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	100/70	001012	Sworn Salaries	\$ 65,018
	100/70	001092	Sworn Overtime	\$ 30,284
5. Authorize the Police Department to prepare Controller's instructions for any technical adjustments, subject to the approval of the City Administrative Officer, and authorize and instruct the Controller to implement the instructions.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Approval of the recommendations in this report will provide up to \$100,000 in funding to the Police Department for Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force work. Acceptance of the grant will have no additional impact on the General Fund and is in compliance with the City's Financial Policies in that grant funds and currently budgeted funds will cover the full costs of this program.

MAS:JCY:04130085

LOS ANGELES POLICE COMMISSION

BOARD OF
POLICE COMMISSIONERS

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN
PRESIDENT

JOHN W. MACK
VICE PRESIDENT

RAFAEL BERNARDINO, JR.
RICHARD DROOYAN
ROBERT M. SALTZMAN

MARIA SILVA
COMMISSION EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT I



ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA
MAYOR

RICHARD M. TEFANK
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

ALEXANDER A. BUSTAMANTE
INSPECTOR GENERAL

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
POLICE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
100 WEST FIRST STREET, SUITE 134
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-4112

(213) 236-1400 PHONE
(213) 236-1410 FAX
(213) 236-1440 TDD

October 23, 2012

BPC #12-0407

The Honorable Antonio Villaraigosa
Mayor, City of Los Angeles
City Hall, Room 303
Los Angeles, CA 90012

The Honorable City Council
City of Los Angeles
c/o City Clerk's Office

Dear Honorable Members:

RE: TRANSMITTAL OF THE GRANT APPLICATION FOR THE 2012 INTERNET
CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN PROGRAM GRANT FROM THE CALIFORNIA
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

At the regular meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners held Tuesday, October 23, 2012, the Board APPROVED the Department's report relative to the above matter.

This matter is being forwarded to you for approval.

Respectfully,

BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS

Handwritten signature of Maria Silva in cursive.

MARIA SILVA
Commission Executive Assistant

Attachment

c: Chief of Police

INITIAL DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

BPC #12-0407

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2012

POLICE COMMISSION

REVIEWED

October 11, 2012
1.17

TO: The Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

FROM: Chief of Police


RICHARD M. TEFANK
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

10/17/12
DATE

SUBJECT: TRANSMITTAL OF THE GRANT APPLICATION FOR THE 2012
INTERNET CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN PROGRAM GRANT FROM THE
CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

1. That the Board of Police Commissioners (Board) REVIEW and APPROVE this report.
2. That the Board TRANSMIT the attached grant application, pursuant to Administrative Code Section 14.6(a), to the Mayor, Office of the City Administrative Officer (CAO), Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst and to the City Clerk for Committee and City Council consideration.
3. That the Board REQUEST the Mayor and City Council to:
 - A. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police to ACCEPT the grant award for the 2012 Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Grant from the California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) in the amount of \$100,000 for the period of July 1, 2012, through June 30, 2013;
 - B. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police to negotiate and execute the grant award agreement, subject to City Attorney's approval as to form and legality;
 - C. AUTHORIZE the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) to submit grant reimbursement requests to the grantor and deposit grant receipts in Fund No. 339, Department No. 70;
 - D. AUTHORIZE the Controller to establish a grant receivable in Fund No. 339 in the amount of \$100,000;
 - E. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to establish an appropriations account number to be determined within Fund No. 339, Department No. 70, for disbursement of the 2012 Internet Crimes Against Children grant award;
 - F. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to spend up to the grant amount of \$100,000 in accordance with the grant award agreement;

- G. AUTHORIZE the Controller to increase appropriations for the 2012 Internet Crimes Against Children grant from Fund No. 339, appropriations account numbers to be determined, Department No. 70, to Fund No. 100, Department No. 70, account numbers and amounts as follows:

Account No. 001012: Sworn Salaries	\$65,018
Account No. 001092: Sworn Overtime	\$30,284

- H. AUTHORIZE the Chief of Police or his designee to modify the existing budget; and
- I. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to prepare Controller instructions for any technical adjustments, subject to the approval of the CAO, and AUTHORIZE and INSTRUCT the Controller to implement the instructions.

DISCUSSION

Funds provided under this program are intended to:

- Ensure that local law enforcement agencies are better equipped to investigate computer crimes against children by offenders using the Internet, on-line communication systems, and other technologies;
- Provide educational programs aimed at law enforcement officers, teachers, parents, and children;
- Serve as a forensic resource to law enforcement agencies within the service area;
- Prosecute cases at the local, state and federal level;
- Participate in nationally coordinated investigations;
- Provide the ICAC Task Force with parking, office supplies, and computer cables through the allocation of \$4,698 of grant funds;
- Continue the employment of a LAPD Detective II forensic examiner through the allocation of \$65,018 for salary; and
- Provide the ICAC Task Force with \$30,284 for overtime.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please have a member of your staff contact Sr. Management Analyst Stella Larracas, Officer in Charge, Grants Section, at (213) 486-0380.

Respectfully,



CHARLIE BECK
Chief of Police

BOARD OF
POLICE COMMISSIONERS
Approved *October 23, 2015*
Secretary *Maria Del*

Attachments

INTRADPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

September 25, 2012
1.17

TO: Chief of Police


FROM: Commanding Officer, Information Technology Bureau

SUBJECT: TRANSMITTAL OF THE GRANT APPLICATION FOR THE 2012
INTERNET CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN PROGRAM GRANT FROM
THE CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Attached for your approval and signature is an Intradepartmental Correspondence to the Board of Police Commissioners, requesting approval to transmit the attached grant application for the 2012 Internet Crimes Against Children Program from the California Emergency Management Agency. The Los Angeles Police Department is requesting authorization to accept the \$100,000 grant award for the grant period of July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013.

The grant funds will support the Department's efforts to investigate computer crimes against children by offenders using the Internet, on-line communication systems, and other technologies.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please have a member of your staff contact Senior Management Analyst Stella Larracas, Officer in Charge, Grants Section, at (213) 486-0380.



MAGGIE GOODRICH, Chief Information Officer
Commanding Officer
Information Technology Bureau

Attachments



Cal EMA
CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY
MANAGEMENT AGENCY

July 20, 2012

To: Fresno County Sheriff's Department
Los Angeles Police Department
Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
San Diego Police Department
San Jose Police Department

Subject: INTERNET CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN (ICAC) PROGRAM
REQUEST FOR APPLICATION – FISCAL YEAR 2012/2013

The California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) is pleased to announce the release of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2012/2013 Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Program Request for Application (RFA). This funding opportunity is available exclusively to the five federally recognized ICAC Task Forces in California. These Task Forces include: (1) the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department, (2) Los Angeles Police Department, (3) San Diego Police Department, (4) San Jose Police Department, and (5) the Fresno County Sheriff's Department.

We anticipate that all five ICAC Task Forces listed above will be allocated an award of \$100,000 to cover a 12-month grant period beginning July 1, 2012, and ending June 30, 2013. Funding for this program is contingent upon the passage of the California State Budget Act, successful project performance and strict compliance with the Grant Award Agreement.

Please note that the deadline for submission of ICAC Program applications is Monday, September 3, 2012. All grant applications must be postmarked or hand delivered to Cal EMA by 5:00 p.m., Monday, September 3, 2012.

Should you have any questions regarding this program or the RFA, please contact Taunya Joseph, ICAC Program Specialist, Crime Suppression Section, via e-mail at taunya.joseph@calema.ca.gov or by phone at (916) 845-8741.

Sincerely,


BRENDAN A. MURPHY
Assistant Secretary

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR

MIKE DAYTON
ACTING SECRETARY



3650 SCHRIEVER AVENUE
MATHER, CA 95655

PHONE (916) 324-9196
FAX (916) 324-9179

CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Application Cover Sheet

RFA PROCESS

FY 2012/2013 INTERNET CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN PROGRAM

Submitted by:

Los Angeles Police Department
100 West First Street, Room 842
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 486-0380

(Cal EMA Use Only)

Cal EMA # _____ FIPS# _____ CFDA# _____ Grant # _____

CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY GRANT AWARD FACE SHEET (Cal EMA 2-101)

The California Emergency Management Agency, hereafter designated Cal EMA, hereby makes a Grant Award of funds to the following:

1. **Grant Recipient:** City of Los Angeles
in the amount and for the purpose and duration set forth in this Grant Award.
2. **Implementing Agency:** Los Angeles Police Department 2a. **Congressional District:** 34th
- 2b. **State Senate District#:** 22nd 2c. **State Assembly District#:** 46th
- 2d. **Location of Project:** 501 W. Ocean Blvd., #720, Long Beach, 90802 2e. **Congressional District(s):** 46th
3. **Disaster/Program Title** 2012/13 Internet Crimes Against Children 4. **Performance Period** 07/01/2012 to 06/30/2013

Grant Year	Fund Source	A. State	B. Federal	C. Total	D. Cash Match	E. In-Kind Match	F. Total Match	G. Total Project Cost
2012	5. ICAC	100,000					\$0	\$100,000
Select	6. Select						\$0	\$0
Select	7. Select						\$0	\$0
Select	8. Select						\$0	\$0
Select	9. Select						\$0	\$0
	10. TOTALS	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	100. Total Project Cost: \$100,000

11. This Grant Award consists of this title page, the application for the grant, which is attached and made a part hereof, and the Assurances/Certifications which are being submitted. I hereby certify I am vested with the authority to enter into this Grant Award Agreement, and have the approval of the City/County Financial Officer, City Manager, County Administrator, Governing Board Chair, or Approving Body. The Grant Recipient certifies that all funds received pursuant to this agreement will be spent exclusively on the purposes specified in the Grant Award. The Grant Recipient signifies acceptance of this Grant Award and agrees to administer the grant project in accordance with the Grant Award as well as all applicable state and federal laws, audit requirements, federal program guidelines, and Cal EMA policy and program guidance. The Grant Recipient further agrees that the allocation of funds may be contingent on the enactment of the State Budget.

12. Federal DUNS Number 037848012 13. Federal Employer ID Number 95-69000735

14. **Official Authorized to Sign for Applicant/Grant Recipient:**

Name: Charlie Beck Title: Chief of Police

Telephone: (213) 486-0150 FAX: (213) 486-5727 Email: grants@lapd.lacity.org
(area code) (area code)

Payment Mailing Address: 100 West First Street, Suite 842 City: Los Angeles Zip + 4: 90012-4112

Signature [Signature] Date: AUGUST 27 2012

[FOR Cal EMA USE ONLY]

I hereby certify upon my own personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for the period and purposes of this expenditure stated above.

Cal EMA Fiscal Officer _____ Date _____ Cal EMA Secretary(or designee) _____ Date _____

PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Recipient Los Angeles Police Department, City of Los Angeles Grant Number _____

Provide the name, title, address, telephone number, and e-mail address for the project contacts named below. **NOTE: If you use a PO Box address, a street address is also required for package delivery and site visit purposes.**

1. The **Project Director** for the project:

Name: Fabian Lizarraga, Captain Title: Commanding Officer (CO), Juvenile Division
Telephone #: (213) 486-0500 Fax#: (213) 486-0498 Email Address: fabian.lizarraga@lapd.lacity.org
Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room 341, Los Angeles, CA 90012

2. The **Financial Officer** for the project:

Name: Gerald L. Chaleff, Police Administrator Title: CO, Special Assistant for Constitutional Policing
Telephone #: (213) 486-8730 Fax#: (213) 486-8727 Email Address: gerald.chaleff@lapd.lacity.org
Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room 1061, Los Angeles, CA 90012

3. The **person** having **Routine Programmatic** responsibility for the project:

Name: Andrea Grossman, Lieutenant Title: Officer in Charge, ICAC Unit
Telephone #: (562) 624-4027 Fax#: (562) 980-3242 Email Address: 30231@lapd.lacity.org
Address/City/Zip + 4: 501 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 720, Long Beach, CA 90802

4. The **person** having **Routine Fiscal Responsibility** for the project:

Name: Kurt Iwasaki Title: Police Officer III
Telephone #: (213) 486-0380 Fax#: (213) 486-5727 Email Address: 38450@lapd.lacity.org
Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room 842, Los Angeles, CA 90012

5. The **Executive Director** of a nonprofit organization or the **Chief Executive Officer** (i.e., chief of police, superintendent of schools) of the implementing agency:

Name: Charlie Beck Title: Chief of Police
Telephone #: (213) 486-0150 Fax#: (213) 486-0168 Email Address: grants@lapd.lacity.org
Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room 1072, Los Angeles, CA 90012

6. The **Official Designated** by the Governing Board to enter into the Grant Award Agreement for the city/county or Community-Based Organization, as stated in Block 14 of the Grant Award Face Sheet:

Name: Charlie Beck Title: Chief of Police
Telephone #: (213) 486-0160 Fax#: (213) 486-0168 Email Address: grants@lapd.lacity.org
Address/City/Zip + 4: 100 West First Street, Room 1072, Los Angeles, CA 90012

7. The **chair** of the **Governing Body** of the recipient:

Name: Herb Wesson, President Title: Councilmember
Telephone #: (213) 473-7010 Fax#: (213) 485-9829 Email Address: councilmember.wesson@lacity.org
Address/City/Zip + 4: 200 North Spring Street, Room 430, Los Angeles, CA 90012

SIGNATURE AUTHORIZATION


Grant Award #: _____

Grant Recipient: City of Los Angeles


Implementing Agency: Los Angeles Police Department

***The Project Director and Financial Officer are *REQUIRED* to sign this form.**


***Project Director:** Fabian Lizarraga

Signature: 
Date: Aug 20, 2012

***Financial Officer:** Gerald L. Chaleff

Signature: 
Date: Aug 20, 2012

The following persons are authorized to sign for the
Project Director

Signature _____
Name: Andrea Grossman 

Signature _____
Name _____


Signature _____
Name _____

Signature _____
Name _____

Signature _____
Name _____

The following persons are authorized to sign for the
Financial Officer

Signature _____
Name: Laura Luna

Signature: 
Name: Stella Larracas

Signature _____
Name _____

Signature _____
Name _____

Signature _____
Name _____

CERTIFICATION OF ASSURANCE OF COMPLIANCE

I, Charlie Beck, Chief of Police, hereby certify that
(official authorized to sign grant award; same person as Section 14 on Grant Award Face Sheet)

RECIPIENT: City of Los Angeles
IMPLEMENTING AGENCY: Los Angeles Police Department
PROJECT TITLE: 2012/2013 Internet Crimes Against Children

is responsible for reviewing the *Grant Recipient Handbook* and adhering to all of the Grant Award Agreement requirements (state and/or federal) as directed by Cal EMA including, but not limited to, the following areas:

I. Federal Grant Funds

Recipients expending \$500,000 or more in federal grant funds annually are required to secure an audit pursuant to OMB Circular A-133 and are allowed to utilize federal grant funds to budget for the audit costs. See Section 8000 of the Recipient Handbook for more detail.

- The above named recipient receives \$500,000 or more in federal grant funds annually.
 The above named recipient does not receive \$500,000 or more in federal grant funds annually.

II. Equal Employment Opportunity – (*Recipient Handbook Section 2151*)

It is the public policy of the State of California to promote equal employment opportunity by prohibiting discrimination or harassment in employment because of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, disability (mental and physical) including HIV and AIDS, medical condition (cancer and genetic characteristics), marital status, sex, sexual orientation, denial of family medical care leave, denial of pregnancy disability leave, or age (over 40). **Cal EMA-funded projects certify that they will comply with all state and federal requirements regarding equal employment opportunity, nondiscrimination and civil rights.**

Please provide the following information:

Equal Employment Opportunity Officer: Sergeant Tonya Washington
Title: EEO Coordinator
Address: 100 West First Street, Suite 650, Los Angeles, CA, 90012
Phone: (213) 486-4740
Email: 27465@lapd.lacity.org

III. Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1990 – (Recipient Handbook, Section 2152)

The State of California requires that every person or organization awarded a grant or contract shall certify it will provide a drug-free workplace.

IV. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) – (Recipient Handbook, Section 2153)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (*Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.*) requires all Cal EMA funded projects to certify compliance with CEQA. Projects receiving funding must coordinate with their city or county planning agency to ensure that the project is compliance with CEQA requirements.

V. Lobbying – (Recipient Handbook Section 2154)

Cal EMA grant funds, grant property, or grant funded positions shall not be used for any lobbying activities, including, but not limited to, being paid by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.

VI. Debarment and Suspension – (Recipient Handbook Section 2155)

(This applies to federally funded grants only.)

Cal EMA-funded projects must certify that it and its principals are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, sentenced to a denial of federal benefits by a state or federal court, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any federal department of agency.



VII. Proof of Authority from City Council/Governing Board

The above-named organization (applicant) accepts responsibility for and will comply with the requirement to obtain written authorization from the city council/governing board in support of this program. The applicant agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including any amendment thereof) under the Program and the funding terms and conditions of Cal EMA, and that any cash match will be appropriated as required. It is agreed that any liability arising out of the performance of this Grant Award Agreement, including civil court actions for damages, shall be the responsibility of the grant recipient and the authorizing agency. The State of California and Cal EMA disclaim responsibility of any such liability. Furthermore, it is also agreed that grant funds received from Cal EMA shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by the city council/governing board.

The applicant is required to obtain written authorization from the city council/governing board that the official executing this agreement is, in fact, authorized to do so. The applicant is also required to maintain said written authorization on file and readily available upon demand.

All appropriate documentation must be maintained on file by the project and available for Cal EMA or public scrutiny upon request. Failure to comply with these requirements may result in suspension of payments under the grant or termination of the grant or both and the Recipient may be ineligible for award of any future grants if the Cal EMA determines that any of the following has occurred:

(1) the Recipient has made false certification, or (2) violates the certification by failing to carry out the requirements as noted above.

CERTIFICATION	
I, the official named below, am the same individual authorized to sign the Grant Award Agreement [Section 14 on Grant Award Face Sheet], and hereby swear that I am duly authorized legally to bind the contractor or grant recipient to the above described certification. I am fully aware that this certification, executed on the date and in the county below, is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California.	
Authorized Official's Signature:	
Authorized Official's Typed Name:	Charlie Beck
Authorized Official's Title:	Chief of Police
Date Executed:	August 27, 2012
Federal Employer ID #:	95-6000735
Federal DUNS #:	037848012
Current Central Contractor Registration Expiration Date:	02/08/2013
Executed in the City/County of:	Los Angeles
AUTHORIZED BY: <i>(not applicable to State agencies)</i>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City Financial Officer	<input type="checkbox"/> County Financial Officer
<input type="checkbox"/> City Manager	<input type="checkbox"/> County Manager
<input type="checkbox"/> Governing Board Chair	
Signature:	
Typed Name:	Miguel A. Santana
Title:	City Administrative Officer

Project Narrative

The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) is a law enforcement community leader that has integrated the Los Angeles Regional Internet Crimes Against Children (LA ICAC) Task Force into its law enforcement efforts. This Task Force serves five counties and encompasses an area of approximately 29,500 square miles and 16 million community members. The purpose the LA ICAC is to quickly respond, investigate and prosecute incidents involving the exploitation of juveniles through the use of the Internet. The specialized investigators of the Task Force allow monitoring and interception of wireless data, prevents destruction of computerized evidence, enables physical transportation of hardware during a search warrant, and enables a quick response to prosecute perpetrators.

This funding opportunity will be used to continue the employment of a LAPD Detective II forensic examiner, overtime related to Task Force duties, supplies and offsite parking for vehicles purchased by the California Emergency Management Agency. The Task Force will evaluate its progress and provide forums for discussion through quarterly meetings. In addition, the Task Force will continue to seek media opportunities to publicize computer safety tips, thus reducing the number of investigations required.

The LA ICAC also receives Federal funds from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP). This funding is used to continue to support one Detective II Investigator, one Police Officer III investigator and provides our affiliates with investigation/prosecution training, computer forensic training and computer equipment necessary to accomplish the mission.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

For many years, the Los Angeles Area has suffered from a lack of resources to combat the problem of Internet predators and the possession and distribution of child pornography over the Internet. The LAPD recognized the need for collaboration and set about with Federal assistance to form the Los Angeles Regional Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force (LA ICAC or Task Force). This monumental task required a great deal of effort and planning. However, the LAPD overcame its initial obstacles, forming the Task Force late in 2005. The Task Force is currently comprised of 50 participating law enforcement agencies (LEAs). Among them are the LAPD, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, the cities of Azusa, Alhambra, Arcadia, Baldwin Park, Bell Gardens, Beverly Hills, Claremont, Downey, El Segundo, Gardena, Glendale, Hawthorne, Inglewood, Long Beach, Manhattan Beach, Pasadena, Santa Monica, Torrance, Southern California High Tech Task Force, Los Angeles School Police, UCLA Campus Police, the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office and Investigator's Office in Los Angeles County; Lompoc, County of Santa Barbara District Attorney and its Bureau of Investigations in Santa Barbara County; Oxnard, Ventura County Sheriff's Department, Naval Criminal Investigative Service at Port Hueneme, and Simi Valley, Ventura County's Sheriff's and District Attorney's and Investigator's Offices in Ventura County; the City of Redlands and the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office and Investigator's Office in San Bernardino County; and, Anaheim, Garden Grove, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach, and the Orange County Sheriff's Department in Orange County. Also participating are the United States Postal Inspection Service, United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency

(ICE), Homeland Security Investigation, United States Secret Service and the United States Attorney's Office. The intent is to add additional LEAs to the Task Force during fiscal year 2013.

The Task Force encompasses an area primarily in and around Los Angeles County, which has a population of approximately 10 million people. The ICAC Unit (ICACU), Juvenile Division, LAPD, provides functional oversight for the Task Force operations and serves as the overseer of the grant funds.

Throughout the areas served by the Task Force, access to computers and other technology-related communication devices has increased at a dramatic rate. Computers are available to children in homes, schools, public libraries, community youth centers, and countless other public places where youth congregate. Based on data from the United States (U.S.) Census Bureau (2005), it is estimated there are over 24.5 million Internet users in the United States from the age of 10 through 17.¹ Additionally, youth are accessing the Internet at ever-increasing levels. The technological advances over the last five years have been staggering. There have been "large increases in the capacity of computers to receive and transmit images; increases in speeds of Internet access; increases in the availability of inexpensive, sophisticated digital cameras, web cameras, cell phones camera, and media players; and the development of new technologies such as peer-to-peer file sharing."²

This increase in technology has created a tremendous potential learning experience for youth. Unfortunately, it has also brought with it the increased potential for online victimization of these same young people to include unwanted exposure to sexual material, unwanted sexual solicitations, and online harassment.

¹ Online Victimization of Youth: Five Years Later, 2006, National Center for Missing & Exploited Children

² IBID

Over the last several years, the aggressive marketing of sexual material on the Internet has increased. Young people encounter unwanted exposure to sexual material through pop-up ads and malicious software. These items have even been found bundled in game demonstrations.

Young people continue to receive unwanted sexual solicitations while online. During the past year, the number of Internet-users under the age of 18 who experienced an unwanted exposure to sexual material was at an all-time high of 34 percent of users.³ Particularly disturbing trends in these solicitations are requests for young people to send photographs of themselves in sexually explicit poses. It is a crime, under federal law, to take, distribute, or possess sexually explicit images of children younger than 18 years of age. Many of these photographs would constitute child pornography. When a person under the age of 18 complies with these requests, he/she may not be able to realize the potential impact of his/her actions. The picture(s) could be circulated online to hundreds of people, or more. The victim would have no way of retrieving the images.

The foregoing statistics mirror what is happening locally in the Task Force's area. During calendar year 2011, the Task Force received 1,971 Cybertips from the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC) and 2,051 investigations. The Task Force continues to receive documented complaints regarding the manufacturing, distribution, and possession of child pornography. In addition to the documented complaints and Cybertips received, the Task Force receives countless phone calls and referrals from parents, teachers, relatives, and other agencies regarding possible online crimes committed against young people.

³ Online Victimization of Youth: Five Years Later, 2006, National Center for Missing & Exploited Children

Both the national and local statistics suggest that the online victimization of children is not decreasing, but growing significantly, on all levels. This could be attributed to a combination of any or all factors including, but not limited to, an increase in the number of online child predators, an increase in a young person's access to computers, an increase in the technological advances of the computer devices used, and an increase in the amount of time children and youth spend using the Internet daily.

When it comes to computer facilitated crimes against children, the most effective tool is forensic computer examinations. Forensic computer examination is the process of collecting, analyzing and preserving computer related data. Examiners use special tools and follow certain evidence collection procedures. The forensic examiner's tools usually include operating system utilities (for backups, disk manipulation, string searches, etc.), data recovery software (to thwart file deletion attempts) file viewers and Hex editors (to perform Win/Mac data conversion and reveal information contents and patterns) and commercial firewalls (for network sniffing and port scanning during investigations).

Digital evidence is information and data of investigative value that is stored and transmitted by an electronic device. Such evidence is acquired when data or physical items are collected and stored for examination purposes. Computer forensic evidence is often latent in the same sense as fingerprints or DNA evidence. It can cross borders with ease and speed, is fragile and can be easily altered, damaged, or destroyed, and it is sometimes time sensitive.

Computer forensic evidence, like all other evidence, must be handled carefully and in a manner that preserves its evidentiary value. Certain types of computer evidence require special collection, packaging, and transportation. If precautions are

not taken or if the computer is not examined by anyone other than a trained and experienced computer forensics specialist, then the usefulness and credibility of that evidence will be compromised.

The ability to bring perpetrators of serious crimes against children to justice requires that the data be preserved. When prospective exploiters of children record or recreate pornography using computers or digital devices, they almost always leave digital remnants, artifacts and recurring records of their crimes. Unfortunately, perpetrators may get away with these crimes if computers or other devices capable of storing data such as commercial servers, workstations, laptops, hard drives, digital cameras, palm size computers, and PDAs (personal data assistants) are not examined by trained and experienced forensics specialists, and preserved with special precautions. When the integrity of the evidence is compromised, its use is diminished in obtaining a conviction. In jurisprudence, this is referred to as maintaining the "chain of custody." The "chain of custody" specifically refers to the documentation or trail showing the seizure, custody, control, transfer, analysis and disposition of physical and electronic evidence. Because evidence is used in court to convict persons of crimes, it must be handled in a scrupulously careful manner to avoid later allegations of tampering or misconduct which can compromise the prosecution's case and lead to acquittal.

For the computer forensics field, the concept of "chain of custody" equates to the following best practices. Best practices can be described as the methods and procedures used to collect digital evidence, while maintaining its integrity. In order to conform to best practices, examiners require knowledge, extensive training and the tools and properly equipped facility to carry them out.

The LAPD and the LA ICAC member agencies recognize the need for prevention efforts. The LAPD has already reached out to the media and the public. Thus far, it has enjoyed some success by having its personnel appear on the Dr. Phil Show, the Early Show on NBC with Katie Couric, and computer radio shows on KFI-AM 640 and KNX-AM 1070 to promote awareness of Internet dangers for children. The LA ICAC has been aggressively promoting education and information to the public through the media and community presentations. In fact, recently NBC's Los Angeles Region produced a special investigation regarding the LA ICAC mission during its highest rating period of "Sweeps Week." This information was also broadcast widely through the Internet. The LA ICAC also published an article for the February edition of *Police Chief Magazine* which was highlighted as the feature article and covered the mission of the LA ICAC. The LA ICAC also won the International Association of Chiefs of Police Award for Use of Technology for the apprehension of criminals using computers to facilitate crimes. The LA ICAC appeared on a local television program "Sunday LA." The program was about child sexual predators and helping the public understand the current laws. The news program was available in 2.3 million household in Southern California.

Additional appearances on national television (English and Spanish) and local radio are expected. Moreover, the LAPD has designed and is hosting a website for the LA ICAC Task Force Program. In its effort to collaborate with the community, the LAPD has already made several Internet Safety presentations to schools, parent groups, and youth conferences, most notably the 2011 Internet Cyber Symposium, where an Internet safety program was delivered to approximately 350 children.

III. GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The LA ICAC has incorporated the goals of the National ICAC Program and their strategic plan in its current plan for fiscal years 2012 and 2013. The LA ICAC will achieve the following goals during this 12 month period:

Objective #1: The Task Force will serve as a resource for communities and agencies within its geographic area.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Conduct criminal investigations initiated from Task Force members, allied agencies (local, State, and Federal), citizen complaints, the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children's Cybertip line and other similar sources.
- Assess initial report information.
- Identify jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up.
- Write and execute search and arrest warrants.
- Collect and process evidence.
- Coordinate case presentation with appropriate prosecutorial designee (District Attorney, Deputy Attorney General, Assistant United States Attorney, etc.).

Projected Goals: 600 ICAC technical assistance and requests received and forwarded to the appropriate agency.

Objective #2: The Task Force will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into the computer/technology crimes perpetrated against children and youth.

Activities:

To achieve this objective for reactive cases, the Task Force will complete the following activities:

- Conduct criminal investigations initiated from Task Force members, allied agencies (local, state, and federal), citizen complaints, teachers, cybertips, the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, and other similar sources. The Task Force will strive whenever possible to integrate federal, state and local efforts.
- Assess initial report information.
- Identify responsible jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up.
- Provide assistance and training with the investigation.
- Complete a statistical tracking form for investigations to include information on case outcome (arrests, search warrants etc.).

To achieve this objective for proactive cases, the Task Force will complete the following activities:

- Obtain training for investigators to conduct undercover operations.
- Conduct undercover investigations to include, but not limited to, on-line chat, Peer-to-Peer, and monitoring of social network sites. The Task Force will strive whenever possible to integrate federal, state, and local efforts.
- Identify responsible jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up.
- Develop suspect information.
- Write and execute search warrants and arrest warrants.
- Coordinate case presentation with appropriate prosecutorial designee (District Attorney, Deputy Attorney General, Assistant United States Attorney).

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department Grant Number: _____

- Complete a statistical tracking form for investigations to include information on case outcome (arrests, search warrants, etc.).

Projected Goal: 100 reactive and 40 proactive cases investigated.

Objective #3: The Task Force will serve as a forensic resource to affiliate agencies and other agencies within the Task Force's geographical service area.

Activities:

To achieve the objective the Task Force will complete the following activities:

- Receive forensic requests from Task Force members and affiliate agencies within its service area.
- Assess forensic requests received for appropriateness as related to the ICAC Program.
- Refer non-ICAC related referrals and requests to the appropriate agency.
- Triage forensic requests based on Task Force guidelines (based on pending court dates, seriousness of the crime, etc.).
- Coordinate forensic requests/requirements with respective prosecutor to minimize unneeded analysis.
- Assist in conducting previews.
- Assist in search warrants.
- Prepare forensic report based on Task Force policy.
- Complete statistical tracking form for forensic examinations completed.

Projected Goal: 120 forensic examinations completed.

Objective #4: The Task Force will assist, to the best extent possible, with the prosecution of cases at the appropriate level.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Prepare case for prosecution based on prosecutor's standards.
- Complete statistical tracking to include the number of cases submitted for federal prosecution, the number of cases accepted for federal prosecution, the number of cases submitted for state prosecution, and the number of cases submitted for local prosecution.
- Complete statistical tracking to include case dispositions (e.g., unfounded, suspended, plea, or trial).

Projected Goal: 45 total cases submitted for federal, state, or local prosecution.

Objective #5: The Task Force will effectively respond to referrals from agencies (other task forces, federal partners, cybertips, etc.).

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Accept referrals from participating agencies, citizens, teachers, NCMEC, and other related entities.
- Assess referrals for appropriateness as related to the ICAC Guidelines.
- Refer non-ICAC related referrals and requests to the appropriate agency.
- Provide and Identify information/resources needed by requesting party.
- Document actions taken on statistical reporting form.

Projected Goal: 100 ICAC related referrals to which the Task Force responded.

Objective #6: The Task Force will engage in law enforcement and community outreach to include training, prevention, and education activities.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Receive requests for presenters from people representing law enforcement agencies and community groups (community groups include, but is not limited to, schools, youth organizations, community-based organizations, state and local law enforcement associations, local businesses and organizations, victim service providers, and faith based organizations).
- Identify someone on the Task Force who has the skills and knowledge to make the presentation.
- Provide resources for appropriate material to present.
- Report the presentations on the monthly statistical reports.

Projected Goal: 24 community outreach and 12 law enforcement presentations conducted.

Objective #7: The Task Force will formalize law enforcement partnerships through signed Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) which includes adoption of federal ICAC Task Force investigative standards.

Activities:

To achieve this objective, the Task Force will:

- Contact agencies that have requested assistance, training, or information in the past year.
- Outreach to those agencies where cybertips are referred to.
- Conduct presentations to agencies within the Task Force county and adjoining counties.
- Ensure the MOU includes language requiring partner agencies to adopt ICAC Task Force Investigative Standards for all ICAC-related investigations.
- Provide a copy of ICAC Task Force Investigative Standards to all partner agencies.
- Keep copies of signed MOUs on file.
- Add agency to list of formal ICAC partners.
- Keep agencies apprised of training opportunities, national coordinated investigations and planning meetings.
- Invite agencies to attend quarterly Task Force meetings.

Projected Goal: Obtain MOU signed by new partner agencies, which includes adoption of federal ICAC Task Force investigative standards.

Objective #8: The Task Force will fully participate in nationally-coordinated investigations.

Activities:

When notification is received of nationally-coordinated investigations, the Task Force will:

- Identify available resources for participation.
- Make personnel resources available for all necessary meetings as identified by investigation leader.
- Report participation in quarterly progress report.

Projected Goal: To participate in all nationally coordinated investigations as requested.

IV. PROJECT/PROGRAM DESIGN IMPLEMENTATION

The Task Force will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into computer and/or technology crimes perpetrated against children throughout its geographic service area. The Task Force services will be directed at communities within its multi-county service area. Services will be provided to children, teachers, parents, other concerned community members, and LEAs. Crimes committed by suspects residing in the service area will be investigated. Cases involving suspects residing outside of the service area/jurisdiction will be referred to the appropriate LEA.

The Task Force will seek to expand its membership during the grant period. A listing of those agencies seeking Task Force technical assistance or investigative assistance with ICAC-related cases has been compiled. Those agencies will be targeted and contacted about establishing a formal relationship with the Task Force. They will also be provided with a presentation on the ICAC Program and the process for becoming an affiliate agency.

In the LAPD, the day-to-day activities of the assigned ICACU detectives are supervised by a Lieutenant, dedicated to ICAC duties. This supervisor is the LA ICAC Task Force Commander (TFC). The TFC ensures that all investigators follow the ICAC Task Force Operational and Investigative Standards. The TFC is responsible for case assignments and public presentation assignments. The TFC is also responsible for assigning requests for technical assistance and requests for resources. On an as-needed basis, the TFC will support requests for technical

assistance, requests for resources and training by other LEAs and the community. The TFC is supervised by a Captain who provides direction for Task Force activities.

On a monthly basis, the TFC will compile a report showing the number of investigations completed, the status of each investigation (e.g., arrested, pending, etc.), the number of forensic examinations completed, the number and type of presentations given each month, the number of people trained, the frequency of technical assistance provided, and the number of times the Task Force served as a resource to the community and other LEAs.

On a quarterly basis, the TFC will complete a report detailing the Task Force's status toward achieving the stated goals and objectives. This report will list each objective as shown in this application, each goal associated with the individual objectives, and our status to date. Those areas where we are not on target toward achieving our stated goals will be reviewed by the TFC. Resources will be allocated to those areas to ensure our ability to meet our goals as stated in this application.

The statistics that are collected monthly, quarterly and semi-annually will be submitted to the Cal EMA via the required quarterly progress reports.

The LA ICAC is located within the Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) regional headquarters in Long Beach, California. This will allow ICE and the LA ICAC to work together and collaborate on cases as well as have access to the forensic capabilities and laboratory housed within ICE. As a member of the ICE Task Force with cross Federal status, the LA ICAC members housed at ICE have become Customs Officers, allowing the individual to facilitate investigation and computer forensic examinations beyond city boundaries. This will enable the LA ICAC to establish better working relationships with other agencies through the work the computer forensic examiner provides.

As the lead agency, the LAPD will coordinate all resources for the investigation of computer-facilitated crimes against children in Los Angeles County, evaluating all requests for assistance. If federal resources are being sought, ICE will be given special consideration.

The Task Force will conduct criminal investigations initiated from the Task Force members, other agencies (local, state and federal), public complaints, NCMEC, Children's Cybertip line and other similar sources. It will assess initial report information, identify jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up. The Task Force will write and serve search and arrest warrants and collect and process evidence. In addition, it will coordinate case presentation with the appropriate prosecutorial designee (District Attorney, Deputy Attorney General, and Assistant U.S. Attorney). The LA ICAC will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations. Proactive investigations occur when undercover investigators enter various Internet chat rooms posing as children to engage and arrange meetings with predators who are interested in traveling to meet children for sex. During these proactive investigations, the investigators will also capture any sexually explicit images and videos that the predator sends them. In California, sending lewd material to a child in order to lure that child for sex is an additional felony charge that can be filed along with the attempt to molest a child when the predator travels to a meet location. Reactive cases arise from reports from a variety of sources, although most come from citizens directly or from NCMEC. In the event that the report is about a child who has already been molested, officers will attempt to assume the persona of the abused child to re-engage the predator through the Internet to gather corroborative evidence. If the predator agrees to meet for sex again, the individual can be charged with additional offenses. Cybertips are citizen complaints and

Internet service provider complaints forwarded from NCMEC for the possession and posting of child pornography.

The LA ICAC will provide resources to ensure the successful operation of the ICAC Program. These resources include, but are not limited to, building space, furniture, investigative tools, computer equipment, forensic equipment, investigative staff, and support personnel.

V. ORGANIZATIONAL CAPABILITY AND COMPETENCIES

The LAPD is the third largest police department in the United States, managing 10,000 sworn officers, 3,000 civilian employees, encompassing an area of 473 square miles, providing public safety to a population of approximately 3.8 million people, and an annual budget that exceeds one billion dollars. Although the LAPD has a relatively small police force in comparison to other major cities in the United States, it serves one of the largest municipal populations. Established in 1869, the LAPD has a 140-year history of distinguished service, highlighted by its outstanding support of the Summer Olympics in 1984, and its top notch protection of Pope John Paul II's visit to Los Angeles in 1987.

In 2005, Operation Peer Precision identified 160,000 electronic child pornography files that were available for sharing in California, with 55,000 of those files in Los Angeles County and 20,000 in the City of Los Angeles. The large number of files being exchanged is significant because it indicates that computer-facilitated crimes against children are prevalent in the City of Los Angeles and its neighboring communities.

The LA ICAC experienced a significant increase in productivity during 2011. The information below represents an overview of a portion of the accomplishments:

- Arrests: 296 arrests were made or complaints filed.
- Federal Search Warrants: 24 Federal search warrants and 156 subpoenas or court orders were obtained.
- State/Local Search Warrants: 651 State/Local Search Warrants and 145 subpoenas or court orders were obtained.
- Last year the Task Force received 1,971 documented Cybertips and this year had 2,051 child sexual predator investigations.

In December 2007 through July 2008, Project Fairplay employed the proactive technique known as "Peer-to-Peer Investigations." Peer-to-Peer investigations targeted individuals sharing child pornography files among the Gnutella File Sharing Network. Users of the Gnutella Network made the files on their computer available for download to other users on the network. Thereby, the public availability of these files constituted distribution of child pornography.

In December 2008, the LA ICAC executed Operation Shutdown II, a multi-agency task force. The purpose of Operation Shutdown II was to conduct probation and parole searches of sex registrants who have search conditions as a result of prior child pornography convictions (Penal Code Section 311, et al.). The Task Force consisted of LA ICAC investigators and affiliate members, along with members of the Los Angeles Police Department Registration Enforcement and Compliance Team (REACT) and Computer Crimes Unit (CCU), the Department of Children and Family Services, the U.S. Secret Service Computer Crimes Task Force, and the Los Angeles County Probation and Parole. The LA ICAC conducted a check of 20 individuals at their residences who were on parole or probation for Possession of

Child Pornography. This action resulted in the arrests of two persons and six investigations are still pending, awaiting full forensic results.

The LAPD has already purchased some dual-screened computers and equipment to overcome the challenge of rapidly changing technology. Each participating agency has received one to enable its investigators to multi-task by "chatting" on one screen while conducting searches or writing reports on the other. The LA ICAC will effectively increase the investigative capabilities of both State and local law enforcement officers in detecting, investigating, and apprehending criminals conducting Internet crimes against children offenses to include technology-facilitated child exploitation crimes. The LA ICAC will continue to aggressively conduct both proactive and reactive Internet crimes against children investigations and provide a safer environment for our children.

Applicant: Los Angeles Police Department

Grant Number: _____

Budget Narrative

Category A – Personal Services

Grant funds will be utilized to pay 60 percent of the salary of a Los Angeles Police Department Detective II forensic examiner. This forensic examiner is assigned exclusively to perform duties related to the ICAC grant. \$32,187 of grant funds is requested for salary.

Due to the inherent need of performing ICAC duties during off hours, overtime has been budgeted in the grant in the amount of \$32,831.

Category B – Operating Expenses

The LA ICAC has budgeted for office supplies (ballpoint pens, permanent markers, correction tape, paper clips, sticky notes, index folder tabs, envelopes, mailing tape, paper cutter, clear tape, white board markers, white board erasers, sanitizing wipes, etc.), parking fees for three response vehicles, and miscellaneous cables for computers and cell phones. These items will enhance and improve the quality of the LA ICAC Task Force Program.

Category C – Equipment

None

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL

Grant Recipient: Los Angeles Police Department		Grant Number:
A. Personal Services – Salaries/Employee Benefits		COST
Police Detective II		
$\$4,126.57$ at 60% x 13 pay periods= $\$32,187.00$ (07/01/2012 - 12/31/2012)		\$32,187
$\$4,209.10$ at 60% x 13 pay periods= $\$32,831$ (01/01/2013 - 06/30/2013)		\$32,831
Overtime		
452 hours of overtime x \$67/hour		\$30,284
PERSONAL SECTION TOTAL		\$95,302

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL

Grant Recipient: Los Angeles Police Department	Grant Number:
B. Operating Expenses Parking (3 Vehicles x 12 months x \$60/mo. = \$ 2160) Office Supplies (ballpoint pens, permanent markers, correction tape, paper clips, sticky notes, index folder tabs, envelopes, mailing tape, paper cutter, clear tape, white board markers, white board erasers, sanitizing wipes, etc.) Miscellaneous cables for desktop computers, tablet computers, and cell phones	<p align="right">COST</p> <p align="right">\$2,160</p> <p align="right">\$2,038</p> <p align="right">\$500</p>
OPERATING SECTION TOTAL	\$4,698

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL

Grant Recipient:		Grant Number:
C. Equipment		COST
EQUIPMENT SECTION TOTAL		\$0.00
Total Project Cost*		\$100,000
*Same as Block 10G on the Grant Award Face Sheet		

APPENDIX


- A.1.1 Los Angeles Police Department Organization Chart
- A.1.2 Juvenile Division Organization Chart

- A.2.1 Operational Agreements
- A.2.2 Operational Agreements
- A.2.3 Operational Agreements
(Note: Due to the high number of MOUs, the LA ICAC will provide the documents upon demand.)

- A.3 Project Summary

- A.4 Other Funding Sources

**ORGANIZATIONAL CHART
JUVENILE DIVISION
DEPLOYMENT PERIOD 9- 2012**



****Names in bold are the OIC for each section

ss: Spanish Speakers

Operational Agreements (OA) Summary Form

List of Agencies/Organizations/Individuals	Date OA Signed (xx/xx/xxxx)	Dates of OA	
		From:	To:
1. Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department			to
2. Azusa Police Department			to
3. Alhambra Police Department			to
4. Arcadia Police Department			to
5. Baldwin Park Police Department			to
6. Bell Gardens Police Department			to
7. Beverly Hills Police Department			to
8. Claremont Police Department			to
9. Downey Police Department			to
10. El Segundo Police Department			to
11. Gardena Police Department			to
12. Glendale Police Department			to
13. Hawthorne Police Department			to
14. Inglewood Police Department			to
15. Long Beach Police Department			to
16. Manhattan Beach Police Department			to
17. Pasadena Police Department			to
18. Torrance Police Department			to
19. Los Angeles City Attorney's Office			to
20. Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office			to

Use additional pages if necessary.

Operational Agreements (OA) Summary Form

List of Agencies/Organizations/Individuals	Date OA Signed (xx/xx/xxxx)	Dates of OA	
		From:	To:
1. Lompoc Police Department			to
2. County of Santa Barbara District Attorney			to
3. County of Santa Barbara Bureau of Investigations			to
4. Oxnard Police Department			to
5. Ventura County Sheriff's Department			to
6. Naval Criminal Investigative Service at Port Hueneme			to
7. Simi Valley Police Department			to
8. Ventura County's Sheriff's Department			to
9. Ventura County District Attorney's Office			to
10. City of Redlands			to
11. San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department			to
12. Anaheim Police Department			to
13. Garden Grove Police Department			to
14. Huntington Beach Police Department			to
15. Long Beach Police Department			to
16. Manhattan Beach Police Department			to
17. Newport Beach Police Department			to
18. Orange County Sheriff's Department			to
19. United States Postal Inspection Service			to
20. United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency (ICE)			to

Use additional pages if necessary.

Operational Agreements (OA) Summary Form

List of Agencies/Organizations/Individuals	Date OA Signed (xx/xx/xxxx)	Dates of OA From: To:
1. United States Attorney's Office		to
2. Los Angeles School Police		to
3. San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office		to
4. San Bernardino County Investigator's Office		to
5. Santa Monica Police Department		to
6. Southern California High Tech Task Force		to
7. UCLA Campus Police		to
8. Homeland Security Investigation		to
9. United States Secret Service		to
10. Los Angeles County District Attorney Investigator's Office		to
11.		to
12.		to
13.		to
14.		to
15. Due to the number of MOUs the LA ICAC has obtained, the LAPD will provide hard copies upon demand.		to
16.		to
17.		to
18.		to
19.		to
20.		to

Use additional pages if necessary.

PROJECT SERVICE AREA INFORMATION

1. COUNTY OR COUNTIES SERVED: Enter the name(s) of the county or counties served by the project. Put an asterisk where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles*
Orange
San Bernardino
Ventura
Santa Barbara

2. U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT(S): Enter the number(s) of the U.S. Congressional District(s) which the project serves. Put an asterisk for the district where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles: 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 46*
Orange: 40, 42, 44, 46, 47, 48
San Bernardino: 25, 26, 41, 42, 43
Ventura: 23, 24
Santa Barbara: 23, 24

3. STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT(S): Enter the number(s) of the State Assembly District(s) which the project serves. Put an asterisk for the district where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles: 36 through 61 (54*)
Orange: 56, 60, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73
San Bernardino: 32, 34, 36, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 65
Ventura: 35, 37, 38, 41
Santa Barbara: 33, 35

4. STATE SENATE DISTRICT(S): Enter the number(s) of the State Senate District(s) that the project serves. Put an asterisk for the district where the project's principal office is located.

Los Angeles: 17, 19 through 30, 32 (27*)
Orange: 33, 34, 35, 38
San Bernardino: 17, 18, 29, 31, 32,
Ventura: 17, 19, 23
Santa Barbara: 15, 19

5. POPULATION OF SERVICE AREA: Enter the total population of the area served by the project.

Los Angeles: 9,884,632	Santa Barbara: 427,267	Total: 16,264,580
Orange: 3,055,792	Ventura: 832,970	
San Bernardino: 2,063,919		

Source for population data: State of California, Department of Finance
<http://www.dof.ca.gov/research/demographic/reports/estimates/e-5/2011-20/view.php>

PROJECT SUMMARY

1. GRANT AWARD NO.

2. PROJECT TITLE

2012/2013 Internet Crimes Against Children

3. GRANT PERIOD

07/01/2012 to 06/30/2013

4. APPLICANT

Name: City of Los Angeles Phone: 213 486-0380
Address: 200 N. Spring St., SW Mezzanine Fax #: 213 486-5727
City: Los Angeles Zip: 90012

5. GRANT AMOUNT

(this is the same amount as 10G of the Grant Award Face Sheet)

\$ 100,000

6. IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

Name: Los Angeles Police Department Phone: 213 486-0380 Fax #: 213 486-5727
Address: 100 West First Street, Room 842 City: Los Angeles Zip: 90012

7. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Los Angeles Regional ICAC Task Force will investigate computer crimes that target our youth; provide educational programs aimed at law enforcement officers, teachers, parents and children; serve as a forensic resource to law enforcement agencies within its service area; prosecute cases at the local, state, and federal levels; and participate in nationally-coordinated investigation efforts.

8. PROBLEM STATEMENT

For many years, the Los Angeles area suffered from a lack of resources to combat the problem of Internet predators and the possession and distribution of child pornography over the Internet. Increases in technology has brought with it the increased potential for online victimization of young people to include unwanted exposure to sexual material, unwanted sexual solicitations, and online harassment. Over the last several years, the aggressive marketing of sexual material on the Internet has increased. The Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) recognized the need for collaboration and set about with Federal assistance to form the Task Force. The LAPD formed the Task Force late in 2005. The Task Force is currently comprised of 50 participating law enforcement agencies covering five counties.

9. OBJECTIVES

There are eight objectives that the Task Force will achieve. Following are the major objectives:
Objective #2: The Task Force will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into the computer/technology crimes perpetrated against children and youth; Objective #3: The Task Force will serve as a forensic resource to affiliate agencies and other agencies within its geographical service area; Objective #4: The Task Force will assist, to the extent possible, with the prosecution of cases at the appropriate level; Objective #5: The Task Force will effectively respond to referrals from agencies (other task forces, federal partners, cybertips, etc.); Objective #8: The Task Force will fully participate in nationally-coordinated investigations.

10. ACTIVITIES

The Task Force will conduct both proactive and reactive investigations into computer and/or technology crimes perpetrated against children throughout its geographic service area. Services will be provided to children, teachers, parents, other concerned community members, and Law Enforcement Agencies (LEAs). Crimes committed by suspects residing in the service area will be investigated. Cases involving suspects residing outside of the service area/jurisdiction will be referred to the appropriate LEA. The Task Force will conduct criminal investigations initiated from the Task Force members, other agencies (local, state and federal), public complaints, the NCMEC Cybertip line and other similar sources. It will assess initial report information, identify jurisdiction related to prosecution and additional investigative follow up. The Task Force will write and serve search and arrest warrants and collect and process evidence. In addition, it will coordinate case presentation with the appropriate prosecutorial designee (District Attorney, Deputy Attorney General, Assistant United States Attorney).

11. EVALUATION (if applicable)

Statistics are collected on the monthly, quarterly and semi-annual reports and will be submitted to Cal EMA via the required quarterly progress reports. The reports will list each objective, each goal associated with the individual objectives, and our status to date. Those areas where we are not on target toward achieving our stated goals will be reviewed by the Task Force Cmdr.

12. NUMBER OF CLIENTS

(if applicable)

13. PROJECT BUDGET

(these are the same amounts as on Budget Pages)

	Personal Services	Operating Expenses	Equipment	TOTAL
See budget narrative and worksheet	\$95,302	\$4,698		\$100,000
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
				\$0
Totals:	\$95,302	\$4,698	\$0	\$100,000

OTHER FUNDING SOURCES

Complete this form to report the total funds available to support the activities related to accomplishing the goals and objectives of the Grant Award Agreement. In the "Grant Funds" column, report the CalEMA funds requested by category. In the "Other Funds" column, report all other funds available to support the project by category and then calculate the totals by category in the "Program Total" column. Total each column to arrive at the total program funds available.

OTHER FUNDING SOURCES			
			(Enter numbers without \$ or decimal points.)
BUDGET CATEGORY	GRANT FUNDS <i>(Use only the grant funds identified in the preceding budget pages.)</i>	OTHER FUNDS	PROGRAM TOTAL
Personal Services	95,302	1,777,626	\$1,872,928
Operating Expenses	4,698	1,091,792	\$1,096,490
Equipment	0	389,198	\$389,198
TOTAL	\$100,000	\$3,258,616	\$3,358,616

This form does not become part of the grant award.