TO:

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

RE:

DRAFT RESOLUTION OF HOLLYWOOD COMMUNITY PLAN

CPC - 2005 - 6082 - CPU, CPU 1997 - 43 CPU APPROVED BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION Submitted in Plum committee Council File No. 12-0303

Item # 5

### **DEAR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:**

We, the residents of Melrose Hill HPOZ and the immediately adjacent properties, request lower density than proposed. The proposed FAR 1.5:1 from our current .5:1 is 3 times higher and would negatively impact our neighborhood. The proposed commercial designations are grossly out of scale. There are no alleys between the residential and commercial properties and extended height limits will block out sunlight for most of the year. It would destroy backyard privacy and increase traffic in an already beleaquered area.

We are once more requesting the .05:1 and Q conditions to remain or a maximum of 1.0:1 density surrounding the neighborhood and no RAS 4.

The 2000 SCAG figures that are the baseline for the proposed new densities are theoretical. They do not reflect the reality of an 8.9% decrease population in this area as shown in the recent census figures. Reality is brushed aside in this plan in favor of speculative growth. In the proposed Hollywood Plan, calculations show the following increases:

Santa Monica Boulevard -

600% increase

Western Avenue -

250% increase

Melrose Avenue -

300% Increase

As we understand from the Planning Dept. – the goal was to increase density by 4.5% over all. As you can see, the above figures grossly exceed the stated intent.

The Neighborhood Council Area is about 100 acres. These increases show an impact of immense proportion. Add new limited review, less notification to neighbors, bonus credits that can make a project "By Right" and thus eliminate many quality of life requirements. We ask that our HPOZ Board be notified of any development adjacent to HPOZ properties.

Once again, we request you to instruct revision of this planning.

We enthusiastically support the expansion of the HPOZ, the Hollywood Freeway Central Park and the Santa Monica Boulevard and Melrose Avenue Pedestrian-oriented design standards.

Nick YermiLov 4962 Marathon St. 9002
Name Degfarensh 452 N. Oxford ave HA 90004
George Konstello 4942 markon str es gw29
ARAXIA ARARABIALY 5006 Maroshoul ACA 90029
MASSIS ARARADIAN SOOK MARATHON LIACA-90029
Della Esquel 4952 MERATHEN ST. 90029
HARLING XV. 4946 MORATHON ST. 1. A CA SOOT
That the Disterais 4958 Marathin St. CA. Ch 190726
MANUS VIXX - 4958 Maratha St. LA CA 900 Ja
1/3PIANTSPARY 4937 Marathon St LA CA 90039
101 101 1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 10

TO: LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

RE: DRAFT RESOLUTION OF HOLLYWOOD COMMUNITY PLAN

CPC - 2005 - 6082 - CPU, CPU 1997 - 43 CPU APPROVED BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

### **DEAR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:**

We, the residents of Melrose Hill HPOZ and the immediately adjacent properties, request lower density than proposed. The proposed FAR 1.5:1 from our current .5:1 is 3 times higher and would negatively impact our neighborhood. The proposed commercial designations are grossly out of scale. There are no alleys between the residential and commercial properties and extended height limits will block out sunlight for most of the year. It would destroy backyard privacy and increase traffic in an already beleaquered area.

We are once more requesting the .05:1 and Q conditions to remain or a maximum of 1.0:1 density surrounding the neighborhood and no RAS 4.

The 2000 SCAG figures that are the baseline for the proposed new densities are theoretical. They do not reflect the reality of an 8.9% decrease population in this area as shown in the recent census figures. Reality is brushed aside in this plan in favor of speculative growth. In the proposed Hollywood Plan, calculations show the following increases:

Santa Monica Boulevard -

600% Increase

Western Avenue -

250% Increase

Melrose Avenue -

300% Increase

As we understand from the Planning Dept. – the goal was to increase density by 4.5% over all. As you can see, the above figures grossly exceed the stated intent.

The Neighborhood Council Area is about 100 acres. These increases show an impact of immense proportion. Add new limited review, less notification to neighbors, bonus credits that can make a project "By Right" and thus eliminate many quality of life requirements. We ask that our HPOZ Board be notified of any development adjacent to HPOZ properties.

Once again, we request you to instruct revision of this planning.

We enthusiastically support the expansion of the HPOZ, the Hollywood Freeway Central Park and the Santa Monica Boulevard and Melrose Avenue Pedestrian-oriented design standards.

**
Tabana GULLENTO 172 4992 MARATHON ST. LA 9002
Name NATALIA VERMILOV V. Grande 4962 Marathon St.
Christine Kosslip A966 Manthou &.
Shullow, Mison '800 N. OXFORD AN UN CA 90029
I tomes concern " NONTENT AVECZ & GUAS
Splita F. Villarvin 800 H Oxford Ave. L.A. CA 90029
Melania Villarur " " " " " " " "
Mini P. Crisostomo 4961 Marathon 87, LA CA 900 29
EKIL UT. 818 N. OXFORD ST, LA, CA 90039
Gideon Chang 1345 Freed Dr. ARA 90026
The state of the s

TO: LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

RE: DRAFT RESOLUTION OF HOLLYWOOD COMMUNITY PLAN

CPC - 2005 - 6082 - CPU, CPU 1997 - 43 CPU APPROVED BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

### **DEAR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:**

We, the residents of Melrose Hill HPOZ and the immediately adjacent properties, request lower density than proposed. The proposed FAR 1.5:1 from our current .5:1 is 3 times higher and would negatively impact our neighborhood. The proposed commercial designations are grossly out of scale. There are no alleys between the residential and commercial properties and extended height limits will block out sunlight for most of the year. It would destroy backyard privacy and increase traffic in an already beleaguered area.

We are once more requesting the .05:1 and Q conditions to remain or a maximum of 1.0:1 density surrounding the neighborhood and no RAS 4.

The 2000 SCAG figures that are the baseline for the proposed new densities are theoretical. They do not reflect the reality of an 8.9% decrease population in this area as shown in the recent census figures. Reality is brushed aside in this plan in favor of speculative growth. In the proposed Hollywood Plan, calculations show the following increases:

Santa Monica Boulevard -

600% Increase

Western Avenue -

250% Increase

Melrose Avenue -

300% Increase

As we understand from the Planning Dept. — the goal was to increase density by 4.5% over all. As you can see, the above figures grossly exceed the stated intent.

The Neighborhood Council Area is about 100 acres. These increases show an impact of immense proportion. Add new limited review, less notification to neighbors, bonus credits that can make a project "By Right" and thus eliminate many quality of life requirements. We ask that our HPOZ Board be notified of any development adjacent to HPOZ properties.

Once again, we request you to instruct revision of this planning.

We enthusiastically support the expansion of the HPOZ, the Hollywood Freeway Central Park and the Santa Monica Boulevard and Melrose Avenue Pedestrian-oriented design standards.

Store by brush on	4907 muchas St.	
Name	Address 901 29	
Christie Rock	4929 MEIROSE TILLA	90029
John Market	714912 MARAILAN SI 9	(325)
The state of the s	TO MANDET A	つっしゃ
SA PIPO	THE VIEW ST CAT	7000/
MR. GALLOWLY -	Coold 400 516N 1415	1
		.*

TO:

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

RE:

DRAFT RESOLUTION OF HOLLYWOOD COMMUNITY PLAN

CPC - 2005 - 6082 - CPU, CPU 1997 - 43 CPU APPROVED BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

# **DEAR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:**

We, the residents of Melrose Hill HPOZ and the immediately adjacent properties, request lower density than proposed. The proposed FAR 1.5:1 from our current .5:1 is 3 times higher and would negatively impact our neighborhood. The proposed commercial designations are grossly out of scale. There are no alleys between the residential and commercial properties and extended height limits will block out sunlight for most of the year. It would destroy backyard privacy and increase traffic in an already beleaguered area.

We are once more requesting the .05:1 and Q conditions to remain or a maximum of 1.0:1 density surrounding the neighborhood and no RAS 4.

The 2000 SCAG figures that are the baseline for the proposed new densities are theoretical. They do not reflect the reality of an 8.9% decrease population in this area as shown in the recent census figures. Reality is brushed aside in this plan in favor of speculative growth. In the proposed Hollywood Plan, calculations show the following increases:

Santa Monica Boulevard -

600% Increase

Western Avenue -

250% Increase

Melrose Avenue -

300% Increase

As we understand from the Planning Dept. — the goal was to increase density by 4.5% over all. As you can see, the above figures grossly exceed the stated intent.

The Neighborhood Council Area is about 100 acres. These increases show an impact of immense proportion. Add new limited review, less notification to neighbors, bonus credits that can make a project "By Right" and thus eliminate many quality of life requirements. We ask that our HPOZ Board be notified of any development adjacent to HPOZ properties.

Once again, we request you to instruct revision of this planning.

We enthusiastically support the expansion of the HPOZ, the Hollywood Freeway Central Park and the Santa Monica Boulevard and Melrose Avenue Pedestrian-oriented design standards.

Name	Address		
Main Brady	4937 Marathen St.	LA. CA	90029
**************************************			

TO: LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

RE: DRAFT RESOLUTION OF HOLLYWOOD COMMUNITY PLAN

CPC - 2005 - 6082 - CPU, CPU 1997 - 43 CPU APPROVED BY CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

## **DEAR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:**

We, the residents of Melrose Hill HPOZ and the immediately adjacent properties, request lower density than proposed. The proposed FAR 1.5:1 from our current .5:1 is 3 times higher and would negatively impact our neighborhood. The proposed commercial designations are grossly out of scale. There are no alleys between the residential and commercial properties and extended height limits will block out sunlight for most of the year. It would destroy backyard privacy and increase traffic in an already beleaguered area.

We are once more requesting the .05:1 and Q conditions to remain or a maximum of 1.0:1 density surrounding the neighborhood and no RAS 4.

The 2000 SCAG figures that are the baseline for the proposed new densities are theoretical. They do not reflect the reality of an 8.9% decrease population in this area as shown in the recent census figures. Reality is brushed aside in this plan in favor of speculative growth. In the proposed Hollywood Plan, calculations show the following increases:

Santa Monica Boulevard - 600% Increase
Western Avenue - 250% Increase
Melrose Avenue - 300% Increase

As we understand from the Planning Dept. — the goal was to increase density by 4.5% over all. As you can see, the above figures grossly exceed the stated intent.

The Neighborhood Council Area is about 100 acres. These increases show an impact of immense proportion. Add new limited review, less notification to neighbors, bonus credits that can make a project "By Right" and thus eliminate many quality of life requirements. We ask that our HPOZ Board be notified of any development adjacent to HPOZ properties.

Once again, we request you to instruct revision of this planning.

We enthusiastically support the expansion of the HPOZ, the Hollywood Freeway Central Park and the Santa Monica Boulevard and Melrose Avenue Pedestrian-oriented design standards.

NAVARATH PHANCHAI	4906 MARPTHONST, (A, (A900)) Address
SHAWN KU	4920 MARATHON ST., LA CA 90029
HILLIAM BAYE	4928 Marathon St. LA a Good by
RICARDO DE LA VEGA	4930 marathon St LACAGOOZA
PARCIE RUIT	4932 HARATHON ST LACA GOODS
Favor L. Hand	4978 W. WELROSE Hill, CACA 90029