

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

JUNE LAGMAY
City Clerk

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
Executive Officer



ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA
MAYOR

Office of the
CITY CLERK

Council and Public Services
Room 395, City Hall
Los Angeles, CA 90012
General Information - (213) 978-1133
Fax: (213) 978-1040

SHANNON HOPPES
Council and Public Services
Division

www.cityclerk.lacity.org

When making inquiries relative to
this matter, please refer to the
Council File No.

June 4, 2012

To All Interested Parties:

The City Council adopted the action(s), as attached, under Council File No. 12-0716,
at its meeting held May 30, 2012, to set a Public Hearing Date of July 24, 2012.

City Clerk
io

Mayor's Time Stamp
 OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
 RECEIVED
 2012 MAY 31 AM 8:23
 CITY OF LOS ANGELES

TIME LIMIT FILES
ORDINANCES

FORTHWITH

City Clerk's Time Stamp
 CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
 2012 MAY 31 AM 8:21
 CITY CLERK
 BY _____
 DEPUTY

COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 12-0716 COUNCIL DISTRICT _____
 COUNCIL APPROVAL DATE MAY 30, 2012 LAST DAY FOR MAYOR TO ACT JUN 11 2012
 ORDINANCE TYPE: Ord of Intent Zoning Personnel General
 Improvement LAMC LAAC CU or Var Appeals - CPC No. _____

SUBJECT MATTER: SETTING **JULY 24, 2012** AS THE HEARING DATE FOR THE RENEWAL OF THE LARCHMONT VILLAGE PROPERTY BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

	APPROVED	DISAPPROVED
BUREAU OF STREET LIGHTING	_____	_____
BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS	_____	_____
CITY ATTORNEY	<u>X</u>	_____
CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER	_____	_____
OTHER	_____	_____

RECEIVED
 CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
 2012 MAY 31 PM 4:19
 CITY CLERK
 BY _____
 DEPUTY

DATE OF MAYOR APPROVAL, DEEMED APPROVED OR *VETO MAY 31 2012
 (*VETOED ORDINANCES MUST BE ACCOMPANIED WITH OBJECTIONS IN WRITING PURSUANT TO CHARTER SEC. 250(b) (c))

(CITY CLERK USE ONLY PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE)

DATE RECEIVED FROM MAYOR 5-31-12 ORDINANCE NO. 182143
 DATE PUBLISHED 6-5-12 DATE POSTED _____ EFFECTIVE DATE 7-6-12
 ORD OF INTENT: HEARING DATE _____ ASSESSMENT CONFIRMATION _____
 ORDINANCE FOR DISTRIBUTION: YES NO



Council Action

The City Council ADOPTED the following recommendation(s), contained in a COMMUNICATION FROM THE CITY CLERK which was WAIVED, pursuant to Council Rule 17, by the Chair of the Jobs and Business Development Committee , SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. FIND that the petitions submitted on behalf of the proponents of the proposed Larchmont Village Property Business Improvement District (BID) are signed by property owners who will pay more than 50 percent of the assessments proposed to be levied.
2. FIND that all parcels that will have a special benefit conferred upon them and upon which an assessment would be imposed are those as identified in the Management District Plan.
3. FIND that in accordance with Article XIID of the California Constitution and based on the facts and conclusions contained in the attached Engineer's Report, the assessment levied on each parcel within the proposed BID is proportionate to the special benefit derived from the improvements and activities that are to be provided.
4. FIND that in accordance with Article XIID of the California Constitution and based on the facts and conclusions contained in the attached Engineer's Report, there are de minimis general benefits to be separated from the special benefits conferred on each parcel within the proposed BID.
5. FIND that in accordance with Article XIID of the California Constitution and based on the facts and conclusions contained in the attached Engineer's Report, no assessment imposed on any parcel exceeds the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred on that parcel.
6. FIND that the assessments for the proposed BID are not taxes and that the District qualifies for exemption from Proposition 26 under exemption 7 of Article XIIC §1(e).
7. FIND that the services provided by the Owners' Association are in the nature of professional, expert, technical or other special services, that the services are of a temporary and occasional character, and that the use of competitive bidding would be impractical, not advantageous, undesirable or where the common law otherwise excuses compliance with competitive bidding requirements.

8. FIND that the proposed improvements and activities are completely separate from the day to day operations of the City of Los Angeles.
9. APPROVE the Larchmont Village Property Owners Association to serve as the Owners' Association to administer the Larchmont Village Property BID if the BID is renewed.
10. ADOPT the Preliminary Report of the City Clerk (attached to the Council file).
11. ADOPT the accompanying Management District Plan (attached to the Council file).
12. ADOPT the accompanying Engineer's Report (attached to the Council file).
13. PRESENT and ADOPT the accompanying ORDINANCE OF INTENTION to renew the Larchmont Village Property BID.
14. AUTHORIZE the City Clerk, upon establishment of the proposed BID, to prepare, execute, and administer a contract between the City of Los Angeles and the Larchmont Village Property Owners Association for the administration of BID programs.
15. DIRECT the City Clerk to comply with the notice, protest, and hearing procedures prescribed in the Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act (California Government Code, Section 53750 et seq.).
16. REQUEST the City Attorney, with the assistance of the City Clerk, to prepare an enabling ordinance renewing the Larchmont Village Property BID for Council consideration at the conclusion of the required public hearing.

Council File No. 12-0716

SET FOR HEARING July 24, 2012
Ballot Tabulation July 31, 2012

