

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
Interim City Clerk

Office of the
CITY CLERK

Council and Public Services
Room 395, City Hall
Los Angeles, CA 90012
General Information - (213) 978-1133
Fax: (213) 978-1040

SHANNON HOPPE
Council and Public Services
Division

www.cityclerk.lacity.org

When making inquiries relative to
this matter, please refer to the
Council File No.

June 18, 2014

To All Interested Parties:

City Attorney (w/blue sheet)

The City Council adopted the action(s), as attached, under Council File No. 12-1543, at its meeting held June 17, 2014.

City Clerk
wrq

TIME LIMIT FILES
ORDINANCES

Mayor's Time Stamp
2014 JUN 17 AM 11:41
CITY OF LOS ANGELES

City Clerk's Time Stamp
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2014 JUN 17 AM 11:37
CITY CLERK
BY _____
DEPUTY

FORTHWITH

COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 12-1543 COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

COUNCIL APPROVAL DATE June 17, 2014 LAST DAY FOR MAYOR TO ACT JUN 27 2014

ORDINANCE TYPE: Ord of Intent Zoning Personnel General

Improvement LAMC LAAC CU or Var Appeals - CPC No. _____

SUBJECT MATTER: **SETTING AUGUST 5, 2014 AS THE HEARING DATE FOR THE RENEWAL OF THE STUDIO CITY PROPERTY (Property-Based) BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT**

SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS / CLERK
BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS
CITY ATTORNEY
CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
OTHER

APPROVED DISAPPROVED
X

X

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2014 JUN 17 PM 1:11
BY
CITY CLERK
DEPUTY

JUN 17 2014

DATE OF MAYOR APPROVAL, DEEMED APPROVED OR *VETO _____
(*VETOED ORDINANCES MUST BE ACCOMPANIED WITH OBJECTIONS IN WRITING PURSUANT TO CHARTER SEC. 250(b) (c))

(CITY CLERK USE ONLY PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE)

DATE RECEIVED FROM MAYOR JUN 17 2014 ORDINANCE NO. 183108
DATE PUBLISHED JUN 19 2014 DATE POSTED _____ EFFECTIVE DATE JUL 20 2014
ORD OF INTENT: HEARING DATE _____ ASSESSMENT CONFIRMATION _____
ORDINANCE FOR DISTRIBUTION: YES NO



Council Action

The City Council ADOPTED the following recommendation(s), contained in a COMMUNICATION FROM THE CITY CLERK which were WAIVED, pursuant to Council Rule 17, by the Chair of the Economic Development Committee, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. FIND that:

- a. The petitions submitted on behalf of the proponents of the proposed Studio City Property BID are signed by property owners who will pay more than fifty (50) percent of the assessments proposed to be levied.
- b. All parcels included in the District will receive a special benefit from the improvements and activities that are to be provided.
- c. All parcels that will have a special benefit conferred upon them and upon which an assessment would be imposed are those as identified in the Management District Plan.
- d. In accordance with Article XIID of the California Constitution, all assessments are supported by the Engineer's Report, prepared by a registered professional engineer certified by the State of California.
- e. In accordance with Article XIID of the California Constitution and based on the facts and conclusions contained in the Engineer's Report, the assessment levied on each parcel within the proposed District is proportionate to the special benefit derived from the improvements and activities that are to be provided.
- f. In accordance with Article XIID of the California Constitution and based on the facts and conclusions contained in the Engineer's Report, the Engineer has separated the general from special benefits. The Engineer's Report identified general benefits in the amount of 6.53 percent to be separated from the special benefits conferred on parcels within the proposed District. The yearly general benefits cost must be paid from funds other than the assessments collected for the Studio City Property BID. The general benefit cost for first year of operation is \$29,411.08.
- g. No publicly owned parcel is exempt from assessment.

- h. The assessments for the proposed District are not taxes and that the District qualifies for exemption from Proposition 26 under exemption 7 of Article XIII C §1(e).
 - i. The services to be provided by the Owners' Association are in the nature of professional, expert, technical or other special services, that the services are of a temporary and occasional character, and that the use of competitive bidding would be impractical, not advantageous, undesirable or where the common law otherwise excuses compliance with competitive bidding requirements.
 - j. The proposed improvements and activities are completely separate from the day to day operations of the City of Los Angeles.
2. APPROVE Studio City Improvement Association to serve as the Owners' Association to administer the Studio City Property BID.
 3. ADOPT the Preliminary Report of the City Clerk, attached to Council file (C.F.) No. 12-1543.
 4. ADOPT the Management District Plan, attached to C.F. No. 12-1543.
 5. ADOPT the Engineer's Report, attached to C.F. No. 12-1543.
 6. PRESENT and ADOPT the accompanying ORDINANCE OF INTENTION to establish the Studio City Property BID.
 7. AUTHORIZE the City Clerk, upon establishment of the District, to prepare, execute and administer a contract between the City of Los Angeles and Studio City Improvement Association, a non-profit corporation, for the administration of the District's programs.
 8. DIRECT the City Clerk to comply with the notice, protest, and hearing procedures prescribed in the Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act (California Government Code, Section 53750 et seq.).
 9. REQUEST the City Attorney, with the assistance of the City Clerk, to prepare an enabling Ordinance establishing the Studio City Property BID for Council consideration at the conclusion of the required public hearing.

Council File No. 12-1543

