December 9, 2014

The Honorable Public Safety Committee
City of Los Angeles
c/o City Clerk’s Office
City Hall, Room 395
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Attention John White:

Dear Honorable Members:

RE: HIT AND RUN TRAFFIC COLLISIONS REWARD SYSTEM (CITY COUNCIL
FILE NO. 13-0025-SI)

At the regular meeting of the Board of Police Commissioners held Tuesday, December 9, 2014, the Board APPROVED the Department’s report relative to the above matter.

This matter is being forwarded to you for approval.

Respectfully,

BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS

MARIA SILVA
Commission Executive Assistant II

Attachment

c: Chief of Police
December 5, 2014

TO: The Honorable Board of Police Commissioners

FROM: Chief of Police

SUBJECT: HIT AND RUN TRAFFIC COLLISIONS REWARD SYSTEM
(CITY COUNCIL FILE NO. 13-0025-S1)

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

1. That the Board of Police Commissioners (Board) REVIEW and APPROVE this report; and,

2. That the Board TRANSMIT this report to the Public Safety Committee.

BACKGROUND

On September 27, 2013, Councilmember Joe Buscaino introduced a motion in City Council instructing the Los Angeles Police Department (Department) and the City Attorney (CA), with the assistance of the Chief Legislative Analyst (CLA) and the City Administrative Officer (CAO) to report with recommendations to establish a standing reward offer for hit and run crimes that occur in the City of Los Angeles, including how the reward process would be administered and the potential fiscal impact. A committee of representatives from the Department, CLA, CAO and CA's offices met on several occasions to discuss the various issues associated with implementation of the reward program. The committee developed a preliminary proposal of a program that would offer standing rewards according to injury classification. Rewards would be given for information leading to the apprehension and conviction of hit and run offenders, including cases adjudicated by the Juvenile Court or settled as a civil compromise, upon recommendation from the Department and approval by the City Council. It is estimated that the total rewards will not exceed $6 million per year.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this correspondence, please have a member of your staff contact Captain Philip S. Fontanetta, Commanding Officer, Emergency Operations Division, at (213) 486-0680.

Respectfully,

CHARLIE BECK
Chief of Police

Attachment
BACKGROUND

On May 17, 2013, the Los Angeles Police Department (Department) completed an Analysis of Traffic Collisions Over the Last Five Years that compared hit and run traffic collision statistics from 2008 to 2012 with several other metropolitan cities throughout the United States. The report was submitted to the Board of Police Commissioners and forwarded to the Public Safety Committee. It was reported that the City experiences approximately 20,000 hit and run traffic collisions a year with the majority involving property damage only. Although the comparison analysis revealed that the City’s collision rate was comparable to other large metropolitan areas, the Department considered these rates to be unacceptable.

The hit and run problem represents a significant traffic safety challenge to the Department and the City of Los Angeles. The report discussed the enforcement, engineering and education efforts currently being devoted to reduce hit and run crimes and proposed additional strategies to address this ongoing problem. It was further reported that successful hit and run investigations depend greatly on the cooperation and testimony of community members, whether they are witnesses or have information about the crime. Unfortunately, these community members are often hesitant to come forward or cooperate with law enforcement.

On September 27, 2013, Councilmember Joe Buscaino introduced a motion at City Council instructing the Department (Department) and the Office of the City Attorney (CA), with the assistance of the Chief Legislative Analyst (CLA) and the City Administrative Officer (CAO) to report back with recommendations to establish a standing reward offer for hit and run traffic crimes that occur in the City of Los Angeles, including how the reward process would be administered and the potential fiscal impact.

SUMMARY

A committee of representatives from the Department, CLA, CAO and CA’s offices was established and met on several occasions to discuss the various issues associated with implementation of the reward program. It was determined that a Hit and Run Traffic Collision Reward System is a feasible program and could be beneficial. A reward system was developed encompassing various elements from other City reward programs such as the City’s Graffiti Rewards Program and existing City Council rewards programs.

PROPOSAL

The City of Los Angeles has existing reward programs that authorize the payment of financial compensation through the City’s General Fund for information resulting in the identification, apprehension and conviction for various crimes such as hit and run traffic collisions. Under the current reward system, the City Council must pass a motion for each individual hit and run case in order to offer a reward. The City issues between $200,000 and $400,000 worth in rewards
each year under this program. Councilmember Busciano’s proposal would establish a standing reward system for all hit and run crimes and would eliminate the necessity for seeking a motion in each case. The proposed reward system would fund rewards for all hit and run crimes as defined by Sections 20001 through 20004 of the California Vehicle Code. The following is an outline of the proposal that was the result of the committee’s collaboration.

**Reward Tiers**

The committee recommends that the City offer standing rewards for the various types of hit and run crimes. When a hit and run crime involves an injury, the determination of the injury classification would be based on medical documentation. The following list is the various hit and run crimes and the amounts that would be offered:

- **$50,000** Fatal Injury
- **$25,000** Permanent, Serious Injury as defined in 20001(d) of the Vehicle Code.
- **$5,000** Injury Other than a “Permanent, Serious Injury.”
- **$1,000** No Injuries (Property Damage Only)

**Reward Application**

Community members that provide information as to the identity of a person or persons who has or have committed a hit and run traffic collision and desire to be considered for a reward shall complete a City of Los Angeles Application for Reward Payment form available from the Office of the City Clerk or the Department. The form may be completed electronically or a hard-copy of the form may be completed and submitted. In the event the applicant is under 18 years of age, the applicant's parent or legal guardian must sign the form indicating their consent to the submission of the application. Upon completion, the applicant shall ensure that the form is forwarded to the relevant investigating agency of the Department. The information provided must result in a conviction or final adjudication of the case. The Department will make a determination as to whether such information has resulted in a conviction or final adjudication and make a recommendation with the approval of the Board of Police Commissioners to City Council. All applications must be submitted prior to the expiration of the statute of limitations for the prosecution of the crime to which it pertains. The current statute of limitations for hit and run varies from one year to six years depending on the circumstances and extent of the injury.

**Public Point of Contact**

Community members who wish to provide crime information may telephonically contact the Department directly and will be forwarded to the concerned traffic division. Community members may also provide information through Los Angeles (LA) Crimestoppers via telephone, text or electronically. Los Angeles Crimestoppers is an independent, privately funded program that offers a $1,000 reward for information leading to the arrest of criminal offenders. The LA
Crimestoppers program does not require that a conviction be obtained and the identity of tipsters is anonymous.

The Department has a dedicated police officer liaison assigned to the LA Crimestoppers program, who is assigned to the Real Time Analysis and Critical Response Division (RACR). For optimum synergy, the proposed City’s Hit and Run Reward System would partner with LA Crimestoppers and utilize the 24-hour hotline. The LA Crimestoppers program would operate in parallel to the City’s Hit and Run Reward System.

Consequently, those community members who desire to pursue the additional reward through the City’s program will be directed to the concerned division by the Department’s LA Crimestoppers liaison. However, the Department would advise the person reporting that the City’s reward program cannot guarantee confidentiality. The Department will advertise and announce the City’s Hit and Run Reward System alongside the LA Crimestoppers hotline.

**Determination of Reward**

The Department will determine whether the information provided by a community member led to the arrest or adjudication of a hit and run crime, and make a recommendation through the Board of Police Commissioners to City Council. In determining whether the information was useful, the Department will consult with the CA or the Los Angeles County District Attorney’s Office as to whether the information resulted in the identification, apprehension and conviction, or a final adjudication by the court.

Upon the completion of an investigation, the concerned traffic division will transmit a completed *City of Los Angeles Application for Reward Payment* form to Emergency Operations Division (EOD) for tracking and processing purposes. At which time, EOD will forward the application through the chain of command to the Board of Police Commissioners for approval. The Board of Police Commissioners will then forward the application to the City Council setting forth the information received, the name of the person providing such information, and recommending payment of a reward and the appropriate reward tier. In the event the information resulted in a final adjudication, the Department will be guided by any confidentiality laws, particularly those pertaining to juveniles.

When information does not result in a conviction or final adjudication, the community member will be notified in writing of the outcome of the investigation. If the community member disagrees with the determination, an appeal may be submitted in writing to the Council within 60 days.
Approval of Reward

The City Council will review all reports and appeals as well as any supportive documentation it deems relevant to determine the appropriate reward amount. If the City Council by adoption of a motion, resolution or committee report approve a reward, it shall approve the payment of a reward in the aggregate to the community member(s) identified as having submitted information and instruct the City Clerk to file the necessary report with the City Controller to cause the reward to be paid, as directed by Council, from the General Fund.

The determination of whether a reward shall be paid is the sole discretion of the City Council, and neither the provision of the Administrative Code (Section 19.129.3) with respect to a reward payment nor the furnishing of information in response thereto shall create any legal right or claim to the payment of a reward.

In the event more than one community member provides information and are entitled to a reward, the money shall be apportioned equally among the claimants unless the Council, for good cause, determines otherwise. Rewards shall not be issued to the following:

- Any corporation, business, club or other organization either directly or by virtue of any waiver or assignment on the part of a community member who is the recipient of a reward;

- Any public officer or employee whose employment includes duties of law enforcement. Verification of eligibility shall be provided by Los Angeles Police Department;

- Any community member who has already been or will be compensated by their employer or paid in some other manner for engaging in the actions which form the basis for claiming the reward. Verification of eligibility shall be provided by Los Angeles Police Department;

- Any community member(s) who committed or were involved in any of the acts of hit and run under consideration; and,

- Any victim of the reward in question. Victims may seek restitution through the court system or other compensation program.

It is the responsibility of the community member seeking the reward to show that they are eligible. The concerned traffic division will conduct a reasonable inquiry to determine whether an individual is eligible and to ensure an individual does not fall under any of the aforementioned exclusionary criteria. The investigating officer will forward any findings along with the recommendation to EOD for tracking and processing purposes. The application will then be forwarded to the City Council via the Board of Police Commissioners.
Annual Activity Report

The City Clerk, as required by the Administrative Code, will include rewards paid through this program in its annual reward payment report to the City Council. The report will cover the fiscal year and be submitted within 60 days after the end of the City’s fiscal year.

POTENTIAL FISCAL IMPACT

The City’s fiscal impact of the Hit and Run Reward System will depend on the degree to which potential witnesses come forward and assist with the investigation and successful prosecution, which is impossible to predict with certainty. If the reward program results in a 15 percent increase in filed cases, the program would be considered successful.1

The below table shows the number of hit and run cases filed in 2013 by injury classification as reported on the January 11, 2014 Department’s COMPSTAT report and supplemented by information from the Department’s four bureau traffic divisions. The table assumes a reward payment in all filed cases as well as an additional 15% increase, which would result in a total fiscal impact of approximately $5.5 million. This should be considered the upper limit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cases Filed in 2013</th>
<th>Actual Filed Cases</th>
<th>15% Increase in Filed Cases</th>
<th>Reward Amount per Case</th>
<th>Total Potential Reward of Filed Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal Injury</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Injury</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$2,075,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Injury</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$1,330,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Damage</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,036,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>922</strong></td>
<td><strong>1036</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$5,541,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Awareness

It is recommended that the City develop an advertising campaign to promote the Hit and Run Reward System. This will ensure maximum awareness and increase the effectiveness for the program. At a minimum, advertisements should be promoted on various public messaging medians such as the City and Department websites, billboards, transit shelters and other social media websites.

1 Felony hit and runs currently have a filing rate of 78 percent and misdemeanor hit and runs have a filing rate of 66 percent.
CONCLUSION

The Department and the City of Los Angeles are committed to reducing and solving the number of hit and run traffic collisions. Although the investigative challenges of these crimes are heightened by the lack of physical evidence and the lack of community involvement, the Hit and Run Reward System will hopefully eliminate the hesitancy and fear that many community members with reporting crimes in their community. The proposed program hopefully will reduce the occurrence of these crimes.

Prepared by:
Traffic Coordination Section,
Emergency Operations Division
On July 26, 2013, the Los Angeles Police Department presented a report to the Public Safety Committee, in response to Motion (Buscaino - Englander: CF 13-0025) on efforts taken by the Department to curtail hit-and-run crime incidents. Representatives from the LAPD reported that a significant problem in solving hit-and-run crimes is the lack of evidence or testimony from witnesses.

The City Council has established a procedure for offering monetary rewards for information that leads to arrests and convictions for both property crimes and violent crimes. However, violent crimes, such as murder or manslaughter, require The City Council authorize the reward for each individual incident through a separate motion and council action, versus certain property crimes like graffiti and vandalism, where a standing reward remains in place for all incidents that occur.

As part of a comprehensive program to increase public awareness of the seriousness of hit-and-run crimes, and to help facilitate police investigations by providing an extra incentive for cooperation of witnesses who otherwise would be reluctant to come forward, the City Council should establish a program offering standing rewards for tips that lead to successful hit-and-run crime arrests and convictions, similar to standing reward programs for graffiti, vandalism and illegal dumping.

The components of the standing reward program for hit-and-run crimes would include:

1. Offering rewards in an amount not to exceed $1,000 for information that leads to the arrest and conviction of individuals that leave the scene of a traffic collision resulting in damage to public and/or private property.

2. Offering rewards in an amount not to exceed $25,000 for information that leads to the arrest and conviction of individuals that leave the scene of a traffic collision resulting in bodily injury.

3. Offering rewards in an amount not to exceed $50,000 for tips that lead to the successful arrest and conviction of individuals that leave the scene of a traffic collision resulting in a fatality.

I THEREFORE MOVE that the Police Department and City Attorney, with the assistance of the Chief Legislative Analyst and City Clerk, be instructed to report with recommendations to establish a standing reward offer for hit-and-run crimes that occur in the City of Los Angeles, including how the reward process would be administered and the potential fiscal impact.

PRESENTED BY
JOE BUSCAI NO
Councilmember, 15th District

SECONDED BY
Contact Information
Neighborhood Council: Glassell Park Neighborhood Council
Name: Bradley
Phone Number: 323.806.7-477
Email: bradley@ebeassociates.com
Date of NC Board Action: 01/21/2014
Type of NC Board Action: For Proposal

Impact Information
Date: 01/26/2014
Update to a Previous Input: No
Directed To: City Council and Committees
Council File Number: 13-0025-S1
Agenda Date:
Item Number:
Brief Summary: Request
to Council to instruct that the Police Department and City Attorney, with the assistance of the
Chief Legislative
Analyst and City Clerk, be instructed to report with recommendations to establish a standing
reward offer for
hit-and-run crimes that occur in the City of Los Angeles, including how the reward process
would be
administered and the potential fiscal impact)

Additional Information:
GLASSELL PARK NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
GENERAL COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS
MEETING MINUTES
Tuesday November 19, 2013
Glassell Park Community & Senior Center
3750 N. Verdugo Road
Los Angeles, CA 90065

(Approved Minutes On: 01/21/2014)

(8) Total Board members seated
(2) Alternate in Attendance

1) Bradley
2) Maggie Darett-Quiroz
3) Maggie Lopez-Robles
4) Channa Grace
5) Ruby DeVera
6) Lázaro Aguero (Arrived at 7:35pm)
7) Pavlo Vlanco
8) Michael Divic
9) Andre Sahakian (Alternate Rep.) (Left at 8:10pm)
10) Tony Butka (Alternate Rep.)

~MINUTES MAY NOT REFLECT THE CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER OF ACTIONS~

1. Welcome and Introductions (Meeting began at 7:13pm)

2. Acceptance/Review of GPN C GCS Mtg. Min. for October. 15, 2013 (if provided)

   Bradley introduced a Motion to approve the minutes of the October 15, 2013, as is. Channa Grace seconded.

   Vote Taken: 7 in favor 0 opposed 1 abstention

   Motion Passes

3. Official/Public Comment (Non-Agenda Items)

   Lauren Ballard, Field Deputy representing Council Member Huizar’s office (CD14), addressed the meeting; Median Abatement & concerns about sprinkler parts and security & pilot project for beautification of telecom utility boxes in CD14. Recent events include the opening of bike lanes on Colorado with the Councilman.

   Andre Sahakian, seated Alternate and Website Committee Interim Chair made a request to board members to move Agenda Item 7 (a) 1 – 4. Maggie Darett-Quiroz recommended moving Agenda Item 6, Treasurer’s Report before Agenda Item 7 (a) 1 – 4 before any actions on funding matters are heard.
Maggie Darett-Quiroz introduced a Motion to move Agenda Item 7 (a) 1 – 4 and Agenda item 6 (a – d) out of order. Bradley seconded the Motion.

Vote Taken: 10 in favor 0 opposed 0 abstention
Motion Passes

4. Board Member Comment (Non-Agenda Items)

Michael Divic commented that the 7th Annual Veteran’s Day Parade was well received and the event was very successful.

(Chair, Ruby DeVeria moves Agenda item 6 (a – d) and Agenda Item 7 (a) 1 – 4 to be heard by the board members)

6. Report and Recommendations from Treasurer, (Channa Grace) (7:45 p.m.)

a. Update on 2012 – 2013 FY Funding Practices and/or Funds Encumbered.

b. Update on 2013 – 2014 FY Funding Balances, Pending and/or Remaining Funds Available.

c. Motion/Resolution to Adjust/Modify/Amend GPNC Budget 2013 – 2014 FY, if available.


Channa Grace, Treasurer stated there has been no recent updates about funding from DONE and that they may still be researching the finding concerns raised by this board. The Treasurer raised concerns about funding projects without clarity from DONE to the board and that NPG’s & CIP’s were at risk. Also mentioned that no further funding should be granted other than what is contained in the 2nd Reconciliation Budget other than $2,171.00 approved for website and outreach and funding for elections.

Treasurer outlined the misappropriations of funds by DONE regarding Ricoh Copier, outstanding demand warrants still yet unpaid from Bradley, Maggie Darett-Quiroz, and Art Camarillo and at least one or two board approved funding requests not allocated until funding clarifications and/or previous board request via written letter be addressed. End of Report.

7. Committee/Ad Hoc Committee Reports/Updates

a. Report/Recommendations/Status/Update Website Ad-Hoc Committee

1) Phase I – Enhancement of the Webpage Look, Content, and Graphics - Attractive Welcome Page

2) Update on Twitter, Facebook and other Applications

3) Proposals from Website Administrator, Wendy L. Moore

4) Phase II – Creating Content from the ENTIRE Board, 2014 Spring Regional Elections & More

Andre Sahakian, Interim Website Committee Chair provided an update of the website and introduced a website adminster, Wendy Moore. She provided a formal proposal (see attached) and spoke on her experience and expertise with NC websites citywide.

Andre Sahakian recommended (and Miss Moore concurred) a two phase process with Phase One (structural changes to take effect immediately) for the existing website which would include:

1. All agendas be posted as soon as possible so that our work is seen by the community, which is the immediate concern raised by the board; and

2. Establish abilities for community posts by board members and/or residents, if needed, and

3. Revisit the successes/failures of the recommendation to better assess how to move forward in Phase Two with board approval, consideration and community input.

Andre Sahakian informed the board that Phase One would initially costs $895.00 which would reformat current info, post accordingly and make the necessary structural modifications. As part of the agreement, she would complete draft articles of events, meetings, or venues provided by board members and post to website, as additional services at $100.00 for 1 hour of work per month as agreed upon by Miss Moore.
The Committee Chair, Andre Sahakian has asked that the board approve the recommendations (with the proposal) presented herein. (All board members were presented with proposal before convening the board)

Tony Butka, Maggie Darett-Quiroz, Bradley, Ruby DeVeria, Art Camarillo, Mike Divic, and other Board Members raised concerns on funding issues presently unavailable, lack of feasibility on website, maintenance, managements, and reminded attendees concerns on past funding matters.

Channa Grace, Treasurer informed the board after the Website Committee Chair, Andre Sahakian presented the proposal and overview of Phase One and Two at the November 5, 2013 Executive Committee Mtg., the amount for Phase One is available in the approved budget with the remaining funds available (if the board approves) the recommendations as outlined herein for website maintenance. Further discussion ensued.

Board member Bradley, raised “a call to question” to end the board discussion.

Chair, Ruby DeVeria recognizes the board member request and called to end discussion on subject matter. Board Members raised ayes in support of Chair’s call to end discussion. Chair opened it up to Stakeholder’s present to comment. None provided. Chair called for a motion and vote on the agenda item.

Channa Grace introduced motion to approve website funds up to $2,171.00 for Phase One and Two (until fiscal funding year ends in 2014) subject to DONE approval and/or board reconsideration AFTER April/May 2014 Regional Elections for GPNC/. Michael Divic seconded the motion.

Vote Taken: 8 in favor 0 opposed 2 abstention
Motion Passes
(Chair, Ruby DeVeria request remaining Agenda Items as posted be heard by the board members – no objections noted)

5. Neighborhood Council Board Business

Bradley, Area 1 Rep for the Fletcher Square Neighborhood informed board members there were Parents and Youth in the audience to seek support from GPNC for an upcoming “Parents United for Better Education” Forum occurring on November 26, 2013 from 6pm – 8pm. Topics of Forum is to address faculty & staff at Fletcher Drive Elementary and Irving Magnate School Parents on educational issues, lack of respect, and other concerns with staff at both facilities.

Ruby DeVeria, Chair opened up the discussion to the Stakeholder’s Present

Parent’s Representatives, Celina Muñoz and Maria Santamaria from the “Parent’s United 4 Better Education” spoke on behalf of the attending stakeholders. They mentioned that they are having issues and complaints from others regarding faculty at both educational facilities. They also mentioned a petition being circulated in the neighborhood to participate in this upcoming forum. They asked for assistance and support from the GPNC board in their efforts...

Maggie Darett-Quiroz inquired on the status of the developments and a quick overview of previously held meetings. Rep’s and Area 1 Rep. provided quick overview. Maggie Darett-Quiroz informed the Rep’s that she would solicit the assistance of LAUSD David Estrada for the meeting. Tony Butka also indicated the need to work with LAUSD and he would assist in acquiring David Estrada to the meeting as well.

Maggie Darett-Quiroz stated that Neighborhood Councils do not have a stake in supporting efforts of residents when LAUSD is involved and that they should contact LAUSD directly or attend their District Meetings. Tony Butka stated similar but that NC’s can act. Mrs. Darett-Quiroz said that that was not a neighborhood council supported event. She mentioned her efforts to single-handedly work on the “Save the Pool Coalition” without the support of the GPNC was a good example of working outside the support of the NC’s.

Channa Gracc closed the discussion by pointing out to the Representatives that a commitment from Tony Butka and Maggie Darett-Quiroz to contact David Estrada to solicit his assistance has been agreed upon. Maggie Darett-Quiroz stated that she will get ahold of him the following day and have him in attendance at their forum.
Stakeholder Jessie Medina, and spoke in favor of their efforts and mentioned to them that they should visit the website www.parentrevolution.org to solicit additional help.

Ruby DeVeria, Chair stated the importance in supporting residents in the neighborhood as exemplified by the numbers attending tonight’s meeting.

Tony Butka stated the board needs to act on this or table the item.

Bradley introduced a motion to support the “Parent’s United 4 Better Education” in Fletcher Square Neighborhood Meeting. Lazaro Agüero seconded the motion.

Vote Taken: 10 in favor 0 opposed 0 abstention

*A Stakeholder noted that many of the students present were here to observe the GPNC board conduct and actions as part of their local government class at Sotomayer HS.

5 a Instruct Board Member to Comply with LA City Ethics and Open Government

Board Member Mark Quiroz has not completed LA City Ethics and Open Government

b. Accept Nominations of up to 10 Alternative Representatives as per GPNC Standing Rules.

None provided

c. Elect/Select a Government Relations Representative to provide updates/advocate for/represent on behalf of the GPNC regarding action items to the Council District Offices, when necessary.

None provided.

d. Motion/Resolution to Approve the 2014 Executive Committee and General Meeting Schedule.

Bradley introduced a motion approve all Community Impact Statements (CIS) k-q. Maggie Lopez-Robles seconded the motion.

Vote Taken: 11 in favor 0 opposed 0 abstention

e. Motion/Resolution to “Convene/Cancel” the December 2013 Executive Committee Meeting

Tony Butka introduced a motion to cancel both the GPNC December 2013 Executive Committee Meeting and the December 2013 Stakeholder’s Meeting. Maggie Darett-Quiroz seconded the motion.

Vote Taken: 4 in favor 2 opposed 3 abstention

Motion Failed

Bradley introduced a motion to convene the December 2013 Executive Committee Meeting. Michael Divic seconded. The motion.

Vote Taken: 8 in favor 1 opposed 0 abstention

f. Motion/Resolution to “Convene/Cancel” the December 2013 General Community Stakeholders Meeting
Bradley introduced a motion to convene the December 2013 Executive Committee Meeting. Michael Divic seconded. The motion.

Vote Taken: 8 in favor 1 opposed 0 abstention
Motion Passes

Chair, Ruby DeVera and Treasurer Channa Grace reminded the board if information regarding funding or elections come up, the executive committee will consider the merit of convening a December 2013 Stakeholder’s Meeting. Therefore 5f is mute. Ruby DeVera, Chair stated, if necessary a Special Board Mtg. may be convened to address matters concerning funding or elections.

g. Update from LA River Pilot Program/Committee Liaison, Michael Divic

Michael Divic said we met with the group 3 weeks ago. We got the results of surveys and are putting together a final report, which we will share in an upcoming meeting.

h. Update from LA Mayor’s Budget Liaison’s, Maggie DQ, Maggie LR and Gladys Pinto-Muñoz.
   (None Provided)

i. Update from Budget Advocate Liaison’s, Channa Grace or Maggie Lopez-Robles

Channa Grace reminded board members previous discussion.

j. Update from LADWP and BPW Liaison, Tony Butka

Tony Butka stated the worst case scenario is that LADWP bills may rise 20%-30% over next 2-3 years, according to an article. He reminded board members and stakeholders of the impending increase in water bills, increase in sanitation fees, and the decrease in services. Tony Butka suggested convincing Mayor Garcetti to appoint a commission. Stakeholder Jessie Medina said there are lots of projects going on right now. Rates will rise increasingly over the next 10 years. Butka said that the LADWP Advisory Committee has their 2014 Agenda for LADWP.

k. Motion/Resolution to Fund up to ($1,000) for “3rd Annual Martin Luther King, Jr. Day-Of-Service Community Clean-Up” for Saturday, January 18, 2014 (Tabled)

l. Motion/Resolution to Host a Town Hall Meeting for “Goodwill Industries in Fletcher Square.” (Tabled)

Bradley introduced a motion approve all Community Impact Statements (CIS) k - q. Maggie Lopez-Robles seconded the motion.

Vote Taken: 11 in favor 0 opposed 0 abstention
Motion Passes

k. Motion/Resolution to Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) in Support of CF08-2020 (approved)
l. Motion/Resolution to Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) in Support of CF12-0785 (approved)
m. Motion/Resolution to Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) in Support of CF13-0025-81 (approved)
n. Motion/Resolution to Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) in Support of CF13-0046 (approved)
o. Motion/Resolution to Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) in Support of CF13-0658. (approved)
p. Motion/Resolution to Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) in Support of CF13-0909. (approved)
q. Motion/Resolution to Submit a Community Impact Statement (CIS) in Support of CF13-0953 (approved)
(Agenda Item 7(a) 1–4 heard by governing board and acted upon in beginning of meeting)

7. b. Economic Development and Land Use Committee Recommendations and Updates. *(Tabled)*
   1) K-Mart Site at 3150 San Fernando Road in the Fletcher Square Neighborhood
   2) People Street Project Idea: Eagle Rock Boulevard Median Park Btwn. Avenue 35 and Avenue 36

c. Grants & Funding Committee Report/Recommendations/Status/Updates, *(if any)*. Bradley *(None Provided)*

d. Report/Recommendations/Status/Update By-Law Committee, *(if any)*. (Tony Butka) *(Tabled)*

e. Report/Recommendations/Status/Update Budget and Finance Committee, *(if any)*. (Channa Grace) *(Tabled)*

f. Report/Recommendations/Status/Update from Outreach and Communication Committee, *(if any)*. (Bradley) *(Tabled)*

g. Report/Recommendations/Status/Update By-Elections Adhoc Committee, *(if any)*. (Art Camarillo) *(Tabled)*

h. Report/Recommendations/Status/Update Education Ad-Hoc Committee, *(if any)*. (Baltazar Vega) *(Tabled)*

i. Report/Recommendations/Status/Update Legislative Ad Hoc Committee, *(if any)*. (Mike Divic) *(Tabled)*

8. Area Representative Updates *(Tabled)*
   a. Area 1, Bradley
   b. Area 2, Maggie Darett-Quiroz
   c. Area 3, Crystal-Lynne Orozco
   d. Area 4, Maggie Lopez-Robles
   e. Area 5, Arlene Santos
   f. Area 6, Martin Gregori
   g. Area 7, Gladys Pinto-Muñoz

9. Business Representative Updates *(9:00 p.m.)* *(Tabled)*
10. Organizational Representative Updates *(Tabled)*
11. Educational Representative Updates *(Tabled)*
12. Faith-Based Representative Updates, *(Art Camarillo)* *(Tabled)*
13. Announcements/Public Comments

14. New Community Activities/Business & Developments/Issues and/or Concerns:
   a. Saturday, Jan. 18, 2014 from 8am –12pm, 3rd Annual MLK Day of Service Community Clean-up
      Contact: Bradley at (323) 806-7477 or via e-mail at ebebradley@hotmail.com

15. Tony Butka introduced motion to adjourn meeting. Channa Grace seconded the motion.

   **Vote Taken:** 2 in favor 0 opposed 0 abstention
   **Motion Passes**

   Adjournment *(8:49 p.m.)*

   *(Minutes Prepared/Provided by Kenneth Hargrove, AppleOne Minute Taker)*