


CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

0590-00098-4470

Date: August 2, 2013

To: The Council

From: Miguel A. Santana, City Administrative Officer Subject: **2013-14 APPROPRIATION LIMIT (C.F. 13-0600)**

Paragraph 13 of the 2013-14 Budget Resolution directs this Office to present a report setting forth the calculations necessary to establish the City's appropriations limit. These calculations shall reflect the final decisions of the Mayor and Council on the 2013-14 Budget.

Section 7910 of the Government Code of the State of California requires that the governing body of each local jurisdiction establish its appropriations limit for the following fiscal year pursuant to Article XIII B of the State Constitution (Gann Initiative). The Gann Initiative limits the amount of revenue which can be appropriated in any fiscal year. However, not all revenues are restricted, only those referred to as "proceeds of taxes." The limit is adjusted annually for population growth, inflation or per capita income and other factors. Certain appropriations are exempt from the Gann limit, such as voter-approved debt, court orders, and federal mandates. Fifteen days prior to such determination the documentation used to calculate the appropriations limit shall be made available to the public. Transmitted herewith is documentation used to determine the appropriations limit for 2013-14.

The calculated appropriations limit for 2013-14 is \$4,786,591,114 (see Attachment 1), or \$1,241,114,352 more than the estimated \$3,545,476,762 appropriations subject to limit in the 2013-14 Budget (see Attachment 2). The calculation of the appropriations limit will be reviewed as part of the City's annual financial audit for 2013-14.

It should be noted that calculations of the limit do not include the use of a factor for the change in nonresidential new construction. Additional information and/or clarifying legislation are necessary before factors can be developed.

Documentation of the base year and subsequent years appropriations limit calculations is included as Attachment 3 to this report.

## Recommendations

1. Provide the public, in accordance with Section 7910 of the Government Code, the opportunity to review the attached documentation for at least 15 days before adopting an appropriations limit for 2013-14. To facilitate this effort, the attached documentation will be sent to all certified neighborhood councils.
2. Adopt the following factors to be used to calculate the appropriations limit for 2013-14:

Population: City	1.00%
California Per Capita Income	5.12%
3. Reserve the right to re-calculate the appropriations limit for each year at such time as State legislation is enacted (or other information is available) to determine factors to be used to calculate the annual change in nonresidential new construction.
4. Adopt \$4,786,591,114 as the appropriations limit for 2013-14.

## Fiscal Impact Statement

There is no fiscal impact in adopting this report.

*MAS:BC:AMY:011400012c*

## Attachments

## CALCULATION OF 2013-14 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT

**2012-13 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL** \$ 4,554,024,205

Factors used - see Attachment 3 as indicated:

- |    |   |        |
|----|---|--------|
| 1. | Population (paragraph B.1): City  | 1.00%  |
| 2. | California per capita personal income or nonresidential new construction assessed valuation (paragraph B.2): California per capita personal income* | 5.12%  |
| 3. | Total Annual Adjustment Factor**  | 1.0513 |

Annual Adjustment \$ 233,646,909

\$ 4,554,024,205 x 1.0513 \$ 4,787,671,114

Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:

- |    |                                    |                  |
|----|------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Public Right-of-Way Fee Increases: | (330,000)        |
| 2. | Zoo Entrance Fee Increases:        | <u>(750,000)</u> |

**2013-14 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT** \$ 4,786,591,114

\* A methodology must be determined (which may require clarifying State legislation) in order to prescribe factors to determine the change in nonresidential new construction assessed valuation.

\*\* Total Annual Adjustment Factor was derived as follows:

Population Change converted to a ratio:	( 0.0100 + 100)/100=	1.00010045
California Per Capita Income Change converted to a ratio:	( 5.12 + 100)/100=	1.05120000
Calculation of Total Annual Adjustment Factor:	1.0001 x 1.0512	1.05130559

<b>2013-14 APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT</b>	\$ 4,786,591,114
<b>2013-14 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION</b>	<u>3,545,476,762</u>
<b>DIFFERENCE</b>	<u>\$ 1,241,114,352</u>

**2013-14 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS  
SUBJECT TO LIMITATION**

	<u>2013-14 Budget</u>	<u>Non Proceeds of Taxes</u>	<u>Proceeds of Taxes</u>
<b>General Receipts:</b>			
Property Tax and State Replacement - 1% Fund.....	\$ 1,549,568,000	\$ -	\$ 1,549,568,000
Property Tax - Ex-CRA Increment.....	55,434,000		55,434,000
Utility Users' Tax.....	641,598,000	--	641,598,000
Licenses, Permits, and Fees.....	832,712,064	832,712,064	--
Business Tax.....	470,728,000	--	470,728,000
Sales Tax.....	352,790,000	--	352,790,000
Documentary Transfer Tax.....	160,106,000	--	160,106,000
Power Revenue Transfer.....	253,000,000	--	253,000,000
Transient Occupancy Tax.....	174,423,000	--	174,423,000
Parking Fines.....	156,557,000	156,557,000	--
Parking User Tax.....	94,947,000	--	94,947,000
Franchise Income.....	42,618,000	42,618,000	--
State Motor Vehicle License Fees.....	2,100,000	--	2,100,000
Grant Receipts.....	6,192,664	6,192,664	--
Tobacco Settlement.....	9,006,000	9,006,000	--
Transfer from Telecommunications Development Account.....	5,220,000	5,220,000	--
Residential Development Tax.....	2,100,000	--	2,100,000
Special Parking Revenue Transfer.....	35,142,475	35,142,475	-
Interest.....	14,621,000	3,300,923	11,320,077
Transfer from Reserve Fund.....	--	--	--
Transfer from Budget Stabilization Fund.....	8,029,121	8,029,121	--
Transfer from Tax Reform Fund.....	--	--	--
Total General Receipts.....	<u>\$4,866,892,324</u>	<u>\$1,098,778,247</u>	<u>\$3,768,114,077</u>

**Special Funds:**

	<u>2013-14 Budget</u>	<u>Non Proceeds of Taxes</u>	<u>Proceeds of Taxes</u>
Property Tax and State Replacement .....	\$ 160,695,451	\$ -	\$ 160,695,451
Sewer Construction and Maintenance Fund.....	703,467,529	703,467,529	--
Proposition A Local Transit Assistance Fund.....	114,694,025	114,694,025	--
Proposition C Anti-Gridlock Transit Improvement Fund .....	102,290,809	102,290,809	--
Special Parking Revenue Fund.....	55,892,982	55,892,982	--
Los Angeles Convention and Visitors Bureau Trust Fund.....	13,417,000	13,417,000	--
Solid Waste Resources Revenue Fund.....	298,763,127	298,763,127	--
Fines--State Vehicle Code.....	8,263,551	8,263,551	--
Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.....	105,555,000	105,555,000	--
Housing Dept. Affordable Housing Trust Fund.....	150,000	150,000	--
Stormwater Pollution Abatement Fund.....	32,778,392	32,778,392	--
Community Development Trust Fund.....	26,878,437	26,878,437	--
HOME Investment Partnerships Program Fund.....	4,372,455	4,372,455	-
Mobile Source Air Pollution Reduction Trust Fund.....	4,783,557	4,783,557	--
City Employees Retirement Fund.....	82,972,204	82,972,204	--
Community Services Administration Grant.....	1,519,474	1,519,474	--
Park and Recreational Sites and Facilities Fund.....	1,200,000	--	1,200,000
Convention Center Revenue Fund.....	25,600,000	25,600,000	--
Local Public Safety Fund.....	38,121,000	38,121,000	--
Neighborhood Empowerment Fund.....	6,308,364	6,308,364	--
Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment Fund.....	49,116,144	49,116,144	--
Telecom. Liquidated Damages and Lost Franchise TDA	14,068,478	14,068,478	--
Older Americans Act Fund.....	2,614,461	2,614,461	--
Workforce Investment Act.....	17,638,307	17,638,307	--
Rent Stabilization Trust Fund.....	14,340,737	14,340,737	--
Arts and Cultural Facilities and Services Trust Fund.....	13,623,741	13,623,741	--
Arts Development Fee Trust Fund.....	1,142,966	1,142,966	--
City Employees Ridesharing Fund.....	3,480,752	3,480,752	--
Allocations from other sources.....	71,599,623	71,599,623	--
City Ethics Commission Fund.....	2,404,882	2,404,882	--

Staples Arena Special Fund.....	4,049,000	4,049,000	--
Citywide Recycling Fund.....	21,606,335	21,606,335	--
Special Police Communications/911 System Tax Fund.....	--	--	--
Local Transportation Fund.....	2,067,333	2,067,333	--
	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>Non Proceeds</b>	<b>Proceeds of</b>
	<b>Budget</b>	<b>of Taxes</b>	<b>Taxes</b>
Planning Case Processing Revenue Fund.....	16,304,500	16,304,500	-
Disaster Assistance Trust Fund.....	11,929,526	11,929,526	--
Landfill Maintenance Special Fund.....	4,837,000	4,837,000	--
Household Hazardous Waste Special Fund.....	3,395,000	3,395,000	--
Building and Safety Enterprise Fund.....	115,954,709	115,954,709	--
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Fund.....	459,158	459,158	--
Code Enforcement Trust Fund.....	41,550,000	41,550,000	--
El Pueblo de Los Angeles Historical Monument Revenue Fund.....	4,623,667	4,623,667	--
Zoo Enterprise Trust Fund.....	17,827,862	17,827,862	--
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Fund.....	--	--	--
Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Fund.....	3,538,698	3,538,698	--
Street Damage Restoration Fee Special Fund.....	5,542,460	5,542,460	--
Municipal Housing Finance Fund.....	4,437,592	4,437,592	--
Measure R Traffic Relief and Rail .....	45,236,142	45,236,142	--
Efficiency and Police Hires Fund.....	--	--	--
Central Recycling and Transfer Fund.....	3,232,110	3,232,110	--
Multi-Family Bulky Item Fund.....	6,367,000	6,367,000	--
Available Balances.....	527,905,446	527,905,446	--
Total Special Receipts.....	<u>\$2,818,616,986</u>	<u>\$2,656,721,535</u>	<u>\$ 161,895,451</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>\$7,685,509,310</u></b>	<b><u>\$3,755,499,782</u></b>	<b><u>\$3,930,009,528</u></b>

**Less: Appropriations Not Subject to Limitation**

A. Debt Service on: (supported by taxes)	
1. General Obligation Bonds	\$ (160,695,451)
2. Reserve for Special Police/9-1-1 System Bonds	-
B. Federal Mandates:	
1. Medicare for New Employees	(38,914,735)
2. Fire-Fair Labor Standards Act overtime costs - Firefighters & Civilian	(49,307,896)
3. Police-Fair Labor Standards Act overtime costs - Sworn & civilian	(23,826,165)
4. Unemployment Compensation	(5,000,000)
5. Social Security for employees not in retirement system	(1,518,968)
6. Pensions Savings Plan	(2,075,323)
C. Capital Outlay Projects (fixed assets of \$100,000 or more with a useful life of 10 years or more):	
1. Capital Improvement Expenditure Program:	
a. General Fund - Municipal Facilities Projects	(23,990,000)
b. Park and Recreational Sites and Facilities Fund	(1,200,000)
c. General Fund - Physical Plant Projects	-
2. Capital Finance Administration Program	(29,307,203)
3. Lease Obligation to Convention Center Authority (General Fund financing)	(48,697,025)

**2013-14 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION****\$3,545,476,762**

**CITY OF LOS ANGELES**  
Calculation of Appropriations (Gann) Limit  
(Article XIII B of the State Constitution)

**A. Determination of Base Year**

1. **Original Determination.** The December 4, 1980 report from the City Administrative Officer (CAO File No. 0230-02771), adopted by City Council December 22, 1980 (C.F. 78-5568 S2) and concurred in by the Mayor December 30, 1980 was the basis for the original determination of the base year.
2. **Contributions to Retirement - Santa Barbara Taxpayers Association versus County of Santa Barbara** (194 Cal. App. 3d 674--review denied November 18, 1987). Assumption #3, Attachment I of December 4, 1980 report was negated by this case whereby it was determined that contributions to a retirement fund constitute appropriations subject to limitation and are not considered "indebtedness" -- the City had previously deducted contributions necessary to meet any unfunded liability existing at January 1, 1979. Similarly, payments for leasebacks are not considered "indebtedness". Attachment 3, sections D and E under "LESS APPROPRIATIONS NOT SUBJECT TO LIMITATION" are added back.
3. **Water and Power Revenue Transfers.** Assumption #2, Attachment 1 indicates that transfers of surplus funds from the Water and Power Department are "proceeds of taxes" except for reimbursement for services provided. An annual review is conducted to ascertain the costs of services provided to the Department of Water and Power by the Controller, Treasurer, and Personnel Departments.
4. **May Adopted City Budget.** The City adopted the 1978-79 Budget in compliance with Charter requirements that a budget must be adopted by the Council by June 1. Assumption #1, Attachment 1, indicates that the adopted budget was used to determine appropriations subject to limitation for 1978-79. This was the conclusion of the City Attorney in a February 15, 1979 report to the City Administrative Officer (page 19) that "... any authorization which existed during the fiscal year 1978-79, including the Budget in effect until July 24, 1978 and interim appropriations during the same fiscal year from the Reserve Fund subsequent to the adoption of the Budget, would be considered appropriations subject to limitation' within the meaning of Subsection (b)" of Section 8.
5. **Interim Authorizations added to base.** Council File 78-5568 and S1, adopted by the Council on June 25, 1979 provided for various appropriations which added to the 1978-79 base as follows (see Attachment 3 for additions):

- a. Excess General Fund revenues subject to limitation. The total appropriation of \$40,470,163.89 was reduced to \$30,246.692 by applying a factor of 88.5% to the interest earnings portion.
  - b. The end-of-year Reserve Fund transfer of \$971,614.94 was reduced to \$859,879 by applying a factor of 88.5%.
  - c. For-Hire Trucking revenues of \$8,310.75 exceeding the budget were appropriated.
  - d. Section B is self-explanatory. Section C includes over 30 items that were appropriated from the Reserve Fund throughout the year, exclusive of appropriations for non-limited items (primarily grant advances), for a total of \$9,118,967. Section D is self-explanatory.
6. **Franchise Fees - Santa Barbara County Taxpayers Association versus Santa Barbara County** (209 Cal. App. 3d 940 -- review denied 1989). This case determined that franchise fees are not "proceeds of taxes". In light of this decision, the base was reduced by eliminating the amount budgeted for Franchise Income (\$3,549,000) and excess General Fund revenues attributable to Franchise Income (revenues of \$4,510,290 exceeded the budget estimate of \$3,549,000 by \$961,290). It is noted that these adjustments do not impact the factor of 88.5% which is applied to interest earnings, etc.
7. **Revised Base Year.** The base year is revised as follows:

APPROPRIATIONS SUBJECT TO LIMITATION		
December 4, 1980 Report		\$ 763,061,903
Paragraph 2 above - D.1.		28,558,915
- D.2.		73,878,573
- E.1.		2,558,130
- E.2.		4,700,000
- E.3.		1,966,045
Paragraph 6 above		<u>(4,510,290)</u>
REVISED BASE	1978-79	<u>\$ 870,213,276</u>

**B. Annual Adjustments**

1. Population. Prior to the passage of Proposition 111 on the June 5, 1990 ballot, the change in the City population was used as an adjustment factor each year. Proposition 111 provides that the City may choose either the increase in the City or County population. For 1990-91, the 1986-87 limit is adjusted for factors up to 1990-91.

The State Department of Finance provides a population number and the population percent change factor each May 1. However, the population number is usually adjusted the following year, creating an erosion as the adjustment is generally upward. Below is a listing of adjusted population amounts through 1989 reported by the State Department of Finance. The amounts after 1989 should be adjusted at such time as the City recalculates the limit for all years after that date (when factors are available for the change in nonresidential new construction - see paragraph 2).

Year (As of January 1)	CITY		COUNTY	
	Amount	% Change	Amount	% Change
1978	2,899,690			
1979	2,930,267	1.05		
1980	2,953,310	0.79		
1981	2,978,607	0.86		
1982	3,010,172	1.06		
1983	3,062,957	1.75		
1984	3,103,774	1.33		
1985	3,146,314	1.37		
1986	3,249,047	3.27	8,228,477	
1987	3,313,074	1.97	8,400,370	2.09
1988	3,365,380	1.58	8,532,396	1.57
1989	3,395,273	0.89	8,632,069	1.17
1990 Unadj.	3,428,770	0.99	8,749,615	1.36
1991	3,531,757	3.00	8,964,736	2.46
1992	3,574,521	1.21	9,065,427	1.12
1993	3,602,526	.78	9,139,357	.81
1994	3,615,698	.36	9,208,731	.76
1995	3,590,357	(.71)	9,229,730	.23
1996	3,635,515	1.28	9,356,784	1.38
1997	3,679,165	1.20	9,476,976	1.28
1998	3,719,925	1.11	9,590,909	1.20
1999	3,778,539	1.58	9,744,931	1.61
2000	3,820,095	1.10	9,871,788	1.30
2001	3,799,854	(.53)	9,790,152	(.83)
2002	3,804,063	0.11	9,811,918	0.22
2003	3,862,134	0.15	9,968,146	0.16
2004	3,910,607	1.26	10,092,305	1.24
2005	3,956,666	1.18	10,216,040	1.18
2006	3,974,892	0.46	10,235,632	0.78
2007	3,991,768	0.99	10,263,866	0.72
2008	4,041,183	1.24	10,352,128	0.86
2009	4,061,730	1.09	10,382,451	0.90
2010	4,090,497	1.08	10,430,366	0.83
2011	3,805,881	(6.96)	9,848,442	(0.06)
2012	3,822,324	0.43	9,874,366	0.26



2013                      3,822,324                      0.43                      9,874,366                      0.26

2. Cost of Living. Prior to the passage of Proposition 111 on the June 5, 1990 ballot, the lesser of the United States cost of living (CPI) or California per capita personal income change was used for the annual calculation. Proposition 111 provides that the City may choose either the California per capita personal income change or the change in nonresidential new construction on the local assessment roll; for 1990-91, the 1986-87 limit is adjusted for factors up to 1990-91. The following is a listing of the annual factors provided by the State Department of Finance:

Year	Lesser Factor	% Change	Per Capita Income % Change	
1979-80	CPI	10.17		
1980-81	Per Capita Income	12.11		
1981-82	Per Capital Income	9.12		
1982-83	CPI		6.79	
1983-84	Per Capita Income	2.35		
1984-85	CPI		4.74	
1985-86	CPI		3.74	
1986-87	CPI		2.30	
1987-88	CPI		3.04	3.47
1988-89	CPI		3.93	4.66
1989-90	CPI		4.98	5.19

Use either Per Capital Income or  
Nonresidential New Construction

1990-91	Per Capita Income	4.21
1991-92	Per Capita Income	4.14
1992-93	Per Capita Income	(0.64)
1993-94	Per Capita Income	2.72
1994-95	Per Capita Income	0.71
1995-96	Per Capita Income	4.72
1996-97	Per Capita Income	4.67
1997-98	Per Capita Income	4.67
1998-99	Per Capita Income	4.15
1999-00	Per Capita Income	4.53
2000-01	Per Capita Income	4.91
2001-02	Per Capita Income	7.82
2002-03	Per Capita Income	(1.27)
2003-04	Per Capita Income	2.31
2004-05	Per Capita Income	3.28
2005-06	Per Capita Income	5.26
2006-07	Per Capita Income	3.96

2007-08	Per Capita Income	4.42
2008-09	Per Capita Income	4.29
2009-10	Per Capita Income	0.62
2010-11	Per Capita Income	(2.54)
2011-12	Per Capita Income	2.51
2012-13	Per Capita Income	3.77
2013-14	Per Capita Income	5.12

**Note:** A methodology must be determined, which may require clarifying legislation, prior to calculating factors for the change in nonresidential new construction. Some of the methods advanced appear to be unrealistic (e.g., determining the growth only for those properties experiencing growth and ignoring the total base).

3. Annexations. Annually the appropriations limit is adjusted to reflect the negotiated property tax transfer with the County or other jurisdiction for annexations, de-annexations, or other jurisdictional changes. Such changes have not been significant to date.
4. Transfer from "Proceeds of taxes" to fees. When a new fee is imposed for an existing service or a fee is increased to recover a proportionally greater amount of the cost of a service, the appropriations limit must be reduced since it is presumed that "proceeds of taxes" are being replaced with fees to finance the service. The methodology used to reduce the limit is to deduct the year-to-year increase in the cost of the service from the increase in revenues from fee increase adjustments or the prior year cost of the service from the new fee.
5. Transfer of Financial Responsibilities. Whenever the financial responsibility of providing a service is transferred between governmental agencies, they are supposed to adjust their limits by an agreed upon amount. In practice, however, the agency that is to adjust its limit downward very likely will not agree to an amount. The City may be able to adjust its limit for such transfers in the future, e.g., the transfer of the financial responsibility of property tax administration and booking of prisoners which occurred in 1990-91.

### C. **Appropriations Subject to Limitation -- Reserve Fund Transfers**

The December 4, 1980 report from the City Administrative Officer and subsequent reports through 1988-89 counted transfers from the Reserve Fund either as part of the Budget (proportionately, as a ratio of General Fund "proceeds of taxes" to total General Fund revenues) or on an interim basis against the appropriations limit. In response to a request from the City Administrative Officer, the City Attorney reported (Opinion No. 88:23, January 26, 1989) that transfers from the Reserve Fund (or by inference, the use of any available balance) do not count against the appropriations limit. All excess revenues (the amount above the Budget estimate) continue to be counted against the limit in the year received when they are appropriated.

#### D. Calculations for Annual Appropriations Limit

Calculations for the annual appropriations limit are as follows:

REVISED BASE 1978-99 (see paragraph A.7.)		\$ 870,213,276
1979-80	Population Change - 1.05% times CPI Change - 10.17%	<u>11.33%</u> <u>\$ 968,808,440</u>
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	(1) Harbor Fire Protection. Budget revenues (\$1,650,000) less difference between 1979-80 cost (\$4,203,704 and 1978-79 cost (\$3,847,469)	(1,293,795)
	(2) Animal Regulation - dog license late payment fee (new)	<u>(260,000)</u> <u>\$ 967,254,675</u>
1980-81	Population Change - 0.79% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 12.11%	<u>13.00%</u> <u>\$ 1,092,997,783</u>
	Add Property Tax transfers for annexations	<u>3,224</u> <u>\$ 1,093,001,007</u>
1981-82	Population Change - 0.86% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 9.12%	<u>10.6%</u> <u>\$ 1,202,956,908</u>
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	(1) Harbor Fire Protection. Increased Budget revenues (\$2,350,000) less difference between 1981-82 cost (\$5,100,000) and 1980-81 cost (\$4,412,619)	\$ (1,662,619)
	(2) Additional Planning fees (new)	<u>(440,000)</u> <u>\$ 1,200,854,289</u>
1982-83	Population Change - 1.06% times CPI Change - 6.79%	<u>7.92%</u> <u>\$ 1,295,961,949</u>

Add Property Tax transfer for annexation.  
 Reduction in limit due to change in financing  
 from other revenues to user charges:

(1) Harbor Fire Protection.	
Increased revenues (\$1,652,000) less difference between 1982-83 cost (\$5,652,000) and 1981-82 cost (\$5,429,378)	(1,429,378)
(2) Police Burglar Alarm Fees	<u>(500,000)</u>
	<u>\$ 1,294,040,525</u>

1983-84	Population Change - 1.75% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 2.35%	4.14%
		<u>\$ 1,347,613,803</u>

Add Property Tax transfer for annexations	\$ 23,376
Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges -- Police Burglar Alarm Fees	<u>(1,500,000)</u>
	<u>\$ 1,346,137,179</u>

1984-85	Population Change - 1.33% times CPI Change - 4.74%	6.13%
		<u>\$ 1,428,655,388</u>

Adjust for Property Tax transfers for annexations	<u>(14,153)</u>
	<u>\$ 1,428,641,235</u>

1985-86	Population Change - 1.37% times CPI Change - 3.74%	5.16%
		<u>\$ 1,502,359,123</u>

1986-87	Population Change - 3.27% times CPI Change - 2.30%	5.65%
		<u>\$ 1,587,242,413</u>

1991-92	City Population Change - 3.% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - \$4.14%	7.26%
		<u>\$ 2,149,597,445</u>

Reduction in limit due to change in financing  
 from other revenues to user charges -

	Stormwater Pollution Abatement Charge for flood control program	(5,217,509) <u>\$ 2,144,379,936</u>
1992-93	City Population Change - 1.21% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - (-0.64)%	0.56% <u>\$ 2,156,388,427</u>
1993-94	County Population Change - 0.81% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 2.72%	3.55% <u>\$ 2,232,940,188</u>
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	(1) Sanitation Equipment Charge increase	(20,900,000)
	(2) Water and Electricity -	
	(a) Transfer of Fire Hydrant Service to Department of Water and Power	(5,164,000)
	(b) Transfer of Traffic Signal and Utilitarian Lighting costs to Department of Water and Power	(6,134,129)
	(c) Transfer of General Benefit Lighting costs to Department of Water and Power	(763,875)
		<u>\$ 2,199,978,184</u>
1994-95	County Population Change - 0.76% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 0.71%	1.48% <u>\$ 2,232,537,877</u>
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	Water and Electricity -	
	a. Transfer of General Benefit Lighting Costs to Department of Water and Power	(763,875)
	b. Transfer of Public Property Lighting to Street Lighting Assessments	(670,000)
		<u>\$ 2,231,104,002</u>
1995-96	County Population Change - 0.23% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.72%	4.96% <u>\$ 2,341,766,758</u>

1996-97	County Population Change - 1.38% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.67%	6.11% <u>\$ 2,475,949,932</u>
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges: Street Deterioration Fees	(15,000,000) <u>\$ 2,460,949,932</u>
1997-98	County Population Change - 1.28% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.67%	6.01% <u>\$ 2,601,962,363</u>
1998-99	County Population Change - 1.20% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.15%	5.40% <u>\$ 2,774,065,184</u>
1999-00	County Population Change - 1.61% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.53%	6.21% <u>\$ 2,931,130,793</u>
2000-01	County Population Change - 1.30% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 4.91%	6.27% <u>\$ 3,114,912,694</u>
2001-02	City Population Change - (0.53%) times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 7.82%	7.25% <u>\$ 3,340,743,864</u>
2002-03	City Population Change - 0.11% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - (1.27%)	(0.0098%) <u>\$ 3,301,991,235</u>
2003-04	City Population Change - 1.53% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 2.31%	3.88% <u>\$3,430,108,495</u>
2004-05	City Population Change - 1.26% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 3.28%	4.58% <u>\$3,587,207,464</u>
2005-06	City Population Change - 1.18% times Per Capita Personal Income Change - 5.26%	6.50% <u>\$3,820,375,949</u>

2006-07	City Population Change – 0.46% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 3.96%	<u>4.44%</u> <u>\$3,989,932,486</u>
2007-08	City Population Change – 0.99% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 4.42%	<u>5.45%</u> <u>\$4,207,533,748</u>
2008-09	City Population Change – 1.24% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 4.29%	<u>5.58%</u> <u>\$4,442,448,604</u>
2009-10	City Population Change – 1.09% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 0.62%	<u>1.02%</u> <u>\$4,518,714,696</u>
2010-11	City Population Change – 1.08% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – (2.54)%	<u>0.98%</u> <u>\$4,451,501,888</u>
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	(1) Solid Waste Fees -	(165,537,537)
	(2) Fire Fees -	
	(a) Brush Clearance Initial Inspection	(1,400,000)
	(b) Brush Clearance Reinspection	<u>( 650,000)</u>
		<u>\$4,283,914,632</u>
2011-12	City Population Change – (6.96)% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 2.51%	<u>1.022%</u> <u>\$4,388,385,333</u>
2012-13	City Population Change – 0.43% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 3.77%	<u>1.037%</u> <u>\$4,554,024,205</u>
2013-14	City Population Change – 1.00% times Per Capita Personal Income Change – 5.12%	<u>1.051%</u> <u>\$4,787,671,114</u>
	Reduction in limit due to change in financing from other revenues to user charges:	
	(1) Public Right-of-Way Construction	( 333,000)
	(2) Zoo – Entrance Fee Increase	<u>( 750,000)</u>
		<u>\$4,786,591,114</u>