

1

2

DECLARATION OF LEONARD LISTON

I, Leonard Liston, declare as follows:

3 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness to
4 testify thereto, I could competently and truthfully do so.

5 2. I am a civil engineer licensed in the State of California since 1980. My company,
6 LC Engineers, has worked on over 13,000 projects, many of which are in the Bel Air area, and I
7 personally have worked on numerous variances in Bel Air involving the Hillside Ordinance
8 ("HO") and more recently the Baseline Hillside Ordinance ("BHO") adopted in 2011. The original
9 permit for the Stone Canyon project at issue was pulled under the HO. Attached as Exhibit "A" is
10 a true and correct copy of my curriculum vitae.

I testified before the Zoning Administrator ("ZA"), on January 9, 2013, in support
 of the reasons why a modest increase in the height of the residence is necessary to address a
 genuine hardship resulting from the unusual topography of the property. The statements made in
 this declaration were made to the ZA. Because I cannot attend the City Council meeting on July 3,
 2013, due to a previously planned trip out of state, I am providing this declaration discussing facts
 and information summarizing my testimony and evidence presented by the Applicant that was
 before the ZA.

18 4. My understanding, after working closely with the City and various departments, is that the HO and BHO were intended to prevent over-building of hillside lots, to ensure that views 19 are not blocked by proposed structures, and to maintain compatibility of structures in the area. As $\mathbf{20}$ the ZA acknowledged in his Determination Letter ("Determination") denying the variance, there 21 are many large homes that have received height variances over the years, including several on 22 which I worked, including the property located at 620 Stone Canyon. As discussed below, the 23 variance for 620 Stone Canyon allowing a residence which measured 59 feet was approved in 24 2007. The 360 Stone Canyon site, has an unusual existing configuration that makes the property 25 shallow in developable area. This shallow developable lot exists because of a water channel that 26 traverses north to south throughout the property within the front yard area. If the water channel 27 did not exist, the home could have been constructed after a front yard setback of 25 feet from the $\mathbf{28}$

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMTHUP front property line. However, and because of the existing water channel and its 10 foot buffer
area(s), the home must be set back from the front property line approximately 79 feet. As such,
approximately 54 feet of the front yard area is undevelopable and significantly restricts the
developable area of the property. The ZA's Determination Letter speculated that the home could
have been expanded outward to increase the square footage rather than built higher. This
speculation ignored the hardship realities of the property as described above and requirements and
constraints posed by the existing water channel.

5. The proposed height increase of the home will not block anyone's view. In fact, if
one were sitting on the first floor of the nearest home, located at 333 Copa de Oro Road, that floor
would be, at minimum, twenty feet higher than the proposed height of the 360 Stone Canyon roof
line. In other words, an occupant of the neighboring home would have to look down nearly
twenty feet to even see the roof line. Notably, in addition to the difference in elevation, there is
dense foliage and mature trees in between the structures on both properties. Exhibit "E" attached
hereto depicts this difference.

6. Several topographical and physical features are unique to this property primarily
due to the water channel. While the 360 Stone Canyon property is approximately 300 feet deep in
the area of the home, this depth is restricted because of a water channel that traverses from north
to south near the western boundary of the property as well as an ascending slope along the eastern
boundary of the property. As further discussed below, the topographic uniqueness of the water
channel and the legally required setbacks reduces the building area of the property to a depth of
approximately 170 feet, reducing the lot depth by approximately 50 percent.

7. The ZA Administrator did not take into consideration any of the evidence
concerning the setbacks or constraints caused by the water channel. Instead, the ZA's
Determination speculated that the house could have been expanded outward to increase the square
footage rather than built higher. This speculation ignored, and failed to take into consideration,
the physical characteristics of the property, the water channel, the flood plain requirements and the
ascending slope, all of which constitute hardships supporting a variance.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP $\mathbf{28}$

8. The existence of the water channel and required buffers also sets the home back 1 over 79 feet from Stone Canyon Road, rather than the mere 25 foot front yard setback otherwise 2 required under the zoning and BHO and to which most other residences have conformed. In other 3 words the lot area is restricted by the area taken up by the water channel and further setbacks 4 related thereto. The water channel topography is similarly the reason the datum point - the point 5 from which the height is measured - is lower. Although presented, none of these issues were 6 considered by the ZA in his denial, and are critical to several findings which would serve to justify 7 the variance and the hardships of the property. 8

9 9. In his Determination, the ZA stated that approval of the variance would increase the height of the home by thirty-eight (38%) percent. For the following reason, this is factually 10 incorrect. Eighty-five (85%) percent of the current structure is +/- 28 feet. Under the HO 11 applicable at the time the permit was pulled, a house could be built to 36 feet. Therefore, the vast 12 majority of the home is less than what was, by right, permitted. Only fifteen (15%) percent of the 13 current home exceeds 36 feet. This elevation difference is caused by the southeasterly slope of the 14 property toward the water channel - an unusual topographical feature that is not present on most 15 properties in the area. If the proposed variance is granted, the roof line would be +/- 41 feet for 16 17 the majority of the home which the exception of 15 percent of the home. As to that one small area, the measurement from the finished grade to the roofline would be +/- 48 feet - even if it is 18 technically +/- 50 feet due to the datum point measured five feet from the southwest corner of the 19 home. Attached as Exhibit "B" is a cross-section depicting the elevations. Though this precise 20diagram was not before the ZA it merely reflects evidence submitted in connection with the 21 pending application and other submittals of the Applicant and thus is for illustrative purposes only. 22 The existence of the water channel and required buffers also results in a setback of 23 10. 79 feet compared to the standard setback of 25 feet from Stone Canyon. In other words, the 24 homeowner's lot is substantially reduced, by approximately 50 percent in depth. The water 25 channel similarly is the reason the datum point -- the point from which height of the home is $\mathbf{26}$

27 measured -- is lower. Although presented, none of these issues were noted or considered by the

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 28

ZA in his denial, and are critical to several findings which would justify the variance and the
 hardships of the property.

3 11. Attached as Exhibit "C" is a 1960's topographical map of the property. This is a
4 standard document that should be in the ZA's possession. This topographic map illustrates how
5 low the property is relative to the surrounding properties. I also understand that the ZA visited the
6 property, so he would have observed the issues about which I am testifying. Further, this
7 topographical map shows that the natural grade was at a higher elevation than it is today. Over the
8 years, the grade was lowered when prior owners developed the site.

12. The subject property is and house is similar to many in the immediate area. 9 Granting the variance would not result in a special privilege or increase the economic value in a 10way different than other properties. As the most immediate example, I was the civil engineer who 11 worked on the 620 Stone Canyon property which also received a height variance to accommodate 12 linking underground parking between the maid's quarters and the tennis courts. This variance was 13 granted in 2007. The "functional difficulties" of connecting those two areas was used to 14 demonstrate hardship. Notably, the 620 Stone Canyon home begins at an elevation almost 60 feet 15 higher than the finish grade of 360 Stone Canyon so that the property essentially looms over its 16 neighbors. The ZA declined to consider this and other variances that were granted, observing that 17 the particular facts surrounding each variance cannot be compared because of the uniqueness of 18 the individual properties. Nevertheless, in my 30 years of experience, I have noted several 19 consistencies when administrative bodies exercise their discretion including the size of the 20property, i.e., whether it is a larger parcel (which is the case here); whether there are unusual 21 topographical features that restrict construction (as is the case here); whether granting the variance 22 would obstruct or impede other property owners (which is not the case here); and, whether 23 granting the variance would put the property owner in parity with other properties. In my opinion, 24 the hardships and restraints on the 360 Stone Canyon property are far more significant from a 25topographical perspective than the 620 Stone Canyon property and many sites I have worked on 26 where height variances were granted. 27



 $\mathbf{28}$

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 2, 2013, at Los Angeles, California. Leonard Liston

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITHUP

EXHIBIT A

LEONARD I. LISTON II

12850 Andalusia Drive, Santa Rosa Valley, CA 93012 (818) 991-7148 f. (818) 991-5942 Leonard@LCEgroupinc.com

Experience	
2004 to present:	LC Engineering Group, Inc. President/Owner Company founded in 2004, providing the architectural and construction industries with complete structural and civil engineering, plan and document preparation and permit processing. Heading a staff of over 25 employees, effectively overseeing all areas of service offered. Previously known as L. Liston & Associates, Inc. founded in 1982.
2004 to present:	CalWest Geotechnical <i>President/Owner</i> A separate division of <i>LC Engineering Group, Inc.</i> , providing geotechnical investigation and analyses, report preparation, laboratory testing, and site observation services during construction. Previously known as West Coast Geotechnical founded in 1982.
2004 to present:	LDMS, LLC LLC Manager/Owner Construction management and general contracting services; projects include real estate investments, land development, commercial and industrial developments, custom residential estates and single-family subdivisions. Previously known as Leonard Group, LTD founded in 1995.

Education

California State University, Northridge Bachelor of Science, Engineering

University of California, Los Angeles, School of Engineering Continuing Education, Engineering

Licenses

Professional Engineer - State of California, RCE 31902
Professional Engineer - State of Arizona, RCE 25325
Professional Engineer - State of Hawaii, RCE 7498
Professional Engineer - State of Idaho, RCE 7097
Professional Engineer - State of Montana, RCE 18345
Professional Engineer - State of Nevada, RCE 9472
Professional Engineer - State of Texas, RCE 71655
Professional Engineer - Territory of Guam, RCE 1150
General Contractor - State of California, B716473/754682

Affiliations

A.S.C.E., A.I.S.C., N.S.P.E.



LC ENGINEERING GROUP, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS

COMPANY OVERVIEW

LC Engineering Group, Inc. is a unique full-service engineering company, providing structural, civil and geotechnical engineering services. Our experience, which spans over 30 years, provides us with an advantage over other firms. Leonard Liston, President of LC Engineering Group, Inc. has been in charge of the over 13,000 completed projects. Each department within the organization is managed by a highly trained and experienced professional. LC Engineering Group, Inc. is well versed in all types of construction techniques and requirements regardless of size or complexity. From high rise office buildings to single-family homes, the neighborhood shopping center or a local church, LC Engineering Group, Inc. thrives on problem-solving and finding effective and practical solutions for difficult and complex projects.

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Our experienced team of structural engineers is skilled in handling all types of projects, whether a custom single-family residence, a mid-rise office building, multi-family complexes or complicated hillside properties. The project structural engineer oversees the analysis and final plan preparation for each project which includes state of the art computer-aided analysis and design that aides in providing complete and comprehensive structural engineering calculations, plans and details needed for the project. As part of our full-service philosophy, our services include responding to the authoritative permit agencies to insure conformance with the applicable Building Codes.

CIVIL ENGINEERING

Civil engineering is a vital part of our full-service engineering philosophy. Our experienced team of civil engineers utilizes specially designed computer programs to collate and analyze the data necessary to prepare plans for all facets of civil engineering design related to both residential and commercial projects. Among the services provided by our civil engineering division are tract maps, parcel maps, lot line adjustments, private and public works improvement plans, grading plans, SQUIMP, SWPPP, SWPCP, NOI, and corresponding reports. Again, as part of our full-service philosophy, our services include responding to the authoritative permit agencies to insure conformance with the applicable Building Codes.

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING

As a separate division of LC Engineering Group, Inc., CalWest Geotechnical, a fully licensed soils analyses laboratory, provides geotechnical engineering services with a full array of onsite testing equipment. CalWest Geotechnical services include preliminary geotechnical engineering investigations, slope stability analysis, liquefaction and seismically induced settlement analyses, foundation design analysis, percolation testing, and geotechnical engineering observations during grading and construction. As with structural and civil engineering, our full-service philosophy includes responding to the authoritative permit agencies to insure conformance with the applicable Building Codes.

EXHIBIT B

SURVEY EXHIBIT

360 STONE CANYON ROAD



SURVEY EXHIBIT

360 STONE CANYON ROAD



EXHIBIT C



EXHIBIT D

••

