



California
COMMON CAUSE
Holding Power Accountable

www.commoncause.org

Kathay Feng
California Common Cause
453 South Spring Street, Suite 401
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Re: Ballot Argument for Proposition C for May 21, 2013 Election

February 19, 2013

Dear Council President Wesson,

Enclosed please find an application from myself on behalf of California Common Cause and the California Public Interest Research Group (CALPIRG) to submit arguments in favor of Proposition C which will appear on the May 21st ballot.

As non-partisan organizations that have each worked for more than forty years to reduce the role of big money in our elections process, our two organizations are well equipped to provide compelling and accurate arguments as to why Los Angeles voters should approve Proposition C. Our organizations have been involved in supporting similar measures in other cities and states and through that experience we have an understanding of the issues surrounding the *Citizens United v. FEC* ruling that concern most voters. Our organizations have worked closely with Councilmember Alarcon's office in developing Proposition C and we are preparing a public education campaign to inform voters of the important opportunity they will have to speak out about this important issue.

Thank you for your consideration of our application and please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Kathay Feng
Executive Director
California Common Cause

Emily Rusch
State Director
CALPIRG

interoffice
MEMORANDUM

Date:


To: The Honorable Herb J. Wesson, Jr., Council President
cc: Shannon Hoppes, Office of the City Clerk

From:

Subject: BALLOT ARGUMENTS – MAY 21, 2013

In accordance with the provisions of Sections 605(b) and (c) of the City Election Code, the undersigned hereby requests designation as the author of the ballot argument for or against the following measures to be submitted to the voters at the City's General Municipal Election on May 21, 2013:

(Please indicate your selected measure(s) with checkmark(s) in the box on the left, and also indicate whether you would like to submit the argument in favor of, or against, the selected measure by checking the space(s) in the appropriate columns on the right. (Also please note, elected officials and City employees submitting ballot arguments do so in their personal capacity.)

<u>BALLOT MEASURE</u>	<u>IN FAVOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution to Support Constitutional Amendment Regarding Limits on Political Campaign Spending and Rights of Corporations. PROPOSITION C.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Medical Marijuana Regulation and Taxation. Limit Number of Businesses to Approximately 135 that Operated Since September 2007 and Registered, if They Meet Other Requirements and Operational Standards. Exempt Dwellings of Three or Fewer Patients/Caregivers Cultivating Medical Marijuana for Their Patients or Themselves from Regulation. Increase Taxes on Medical Marijuana Businesses. PROPOSITION D.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Medical Marijuana Regulation. Limit Number of Collectives Where Six or More Patients/Caregivers Gather to Those that Operated Since September 2007, Registered and Meet Other Requirements. Establish Per Elec Operational Standards Enforced as Infractions. Exempt Five or Fewer Patients/Caregivers Cultivating Medical Marijuana for Collectives, Their Patients or Themselves from Regulation. INITIATIVE ORDINANCE E.	Per Elec Code Sec 605(b), limited to the initiative proponents	

Medical Marijuana Regulation and Taxation. Require Collectives to Register and Meet Other Requirements and Operational Standards. No Limitation on Number that Can Register. Exempt Dwellings Zoned Residential from Regulation. Increase Taxes on Collectives.
INITIATIVE ORDINANCE F.

Per Elec Code Sec 605(b), limited to the initiative proponents

Submitted by:

Kathay Feng
Print name

2/19/13
Date


Sign name