

H 10

Richard Hopp
PO Box 3601
Van Nuys, California 91407
Telephone (818) 902-0532
Email: Mail@MrHopp.com

November 22, 2013

The Honorable City Council
of the City of Los Angeles
Room 395, City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Re: Amended Opposition of 13-1493 & 13-1493-S1


Dear Honorable Members:

Again, I renew and amend my strong opposition of 13-1493 & 13-1493-S1 as written. The current definitions of who and what is a business and vendor and where and when may a person express themselves is vague, ambiguous, and overboard, and compounds within its own terms and conditions. The ordinance requirement of the time, place, and one's management does not meet reasonable statutory construction standards. This ordinance will not sustain judicial scrutiny and public policy.

As I stated before, it appears this [proposed] ordinance must conform under *Hopp v. City of Los Angeles* as related to **business** and also *People v. Dolezal*, 2013 WL 5915773; No. B245316, Nov. 5, 2013 Cal. Ct. App. (2nd Dist.) concerning **commercial speech**.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,


Richard Hopp

cc: David Michaelson, Chief Assistant City Attorney