

From: **Chavira, Kathleen** <Kathleen.Chavira@sen.ca.gov>
Date: Mon, Apr 7, 2014 at 12:26 PM
Subject: Adult Education Info - SB 173 (Liu) info

1) AB 86 language --

<http://ab86.cccco.edu/portals/7/docs/AB%2086%20Section%2076.%20Article%20III.pdf>

Courses to be provided thru the consortia are outlined in (b) 1-5. These are the same categories reflected in SB 173

SB 173 is intended to respond subdivision (g)

2) There is currently no “Adult Education funding” outside of that anticipated in 2015-16 as a result of the consortia.

As the result of LCFF, no funds are currently apportioned to K-12 specifically for Adult Education. The only funds currently anticipated to be made available specifically for Adult Education Courses will be authorized in the 2015-16 Budget pursuant to the provisions of AB 86. The budget did require maintenance of effort of Adult Ed programs for the next two fiscal years, while the consortia created by AB 86 did their work. No “additional” money was provided to districts for this purpose. At this point its simply coming out of their total LCCF funding. Below is a link to a CDE memo on this topic.

<http://www.cde.ca.gov/nr/el/le/yr13ltr1217.asp>

3) SB 173 does not affect LAUSD’s ability to use LCFF funds to provide courses for older adults if it so chooses.

SB 173 language reducing course categories for K-12 applies to state appropriations specifically for Adult Education, consistent with AB 86 provisions. SB 173, page 5, lines 8-16, authorizes the use of alternative funding sources to offer the deleted course categories. Alternative funding sources can include funds received from revenue limit apportionments (i.e. LCFF). The restriction on the use of Adult Education funding applies only to budget funds specifically appropriated for Adult Education. Again, we don’t currently anticipate seeing a specific appropriation for Adult Education outside of the 2015-16 AB86 funding.

Thank you!

Kathleen