

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

LEAD CITY AGENCY City of Los Angeles	COUNCIL DISTRICT CD 11 - BILL ROSENDAHL
--	---

PROJECT TITLE ENV-2009-2489-MND-REC2	CASE NO. VTT-70870-SL, ZA-2013-1420-CDP, DIR-2011-588-DB-SPP-MELLO
--	--

PROJECT LOCATION
522 E VENICE BLVD

PROJECT DESCRIPTION
A Vesting Tentative Tract Map for Small Lot subdivision purposes to create ten lots with five single family dwellings and five duplex dwellings, for a total of 15 dwelling units and 30 parking spaces; a 35% Density Bonus with two on-menu incentives for a 35% height increase and density calculation prior to dedication, two units (11%) set aside for very low income units; Project Permit Compliance; Mello Act conformance; and a Coastal Development Permit. The project site is an approximately 15,740 net square-foot site in the RD1.5-1-O Zone.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY
LEN JUDAKEN
2153 W. WASHINGTON BLVD.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90018

FINDING:
The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance


(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.

Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-maker may adopt the mitigated negative declaration, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.

THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.

NAME OF PERSON PREPARING THIS FORM	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER
JOEY VASQUEZ	City Planning Associate	(213) 978-1487

ADDRESS	SIGNATURE (Official)	DATE
200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012		JULY 15, 2013

I-10. Aesthetics (Landscape Plan)

- Environmental impacts to the character and aesthetics of the neighborhood may result from project implementation. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- All open areas not used for buildings, driveways, parking areas, recreational facilities or walks shall be attractively landscaped and maintained in accordance with a landscape plan and an automatic irrigation plan, prepared by a Landscape Practitioner (Sec. 12.40-D) and to the satisfaction of the decision maker.

I-120. Aesthetics (Light)

- Environmental impacts to the adjacent residential properties may result due to excessive illumination on the project site. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- Outdoor lighting shall be designed and installed with shielding, such that the light source cannot be seen from adjacent residential properties or the public right-of-way.

III-10. Air Pollution (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

-
- All unpaved demolition and construction areas shall be wetted at least twice daily during excavation and construction, and temporary dust covers shall be used to reduce dust emissions and meet SCAQMD District Rule 403. Wetting could reduce fugitive dust by as much as 50 percent.
- The construction area shall be kept sufficiently dampened to control dust caused by grading and hauling, and at all times provide reasonable control of dust caused by wind.
- All clearing, earth moving, or excavation activities shall be discontinued during periods of high winds (i.e., greater than 15 mph), so as to prevent excessive amounts of dust.
- All dirt/soil loads shall be secured by trimming, watering or other appropriate means to prevent spillage and dust.
- All dirt/soil materials transported off-site shall be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amount of dust.
- General contractors shall maintain and operate construction equipment so as to minimize exhaust emissions.
- Trucks having no current hauling activity shall not idle but be turned off.

IV-70. Tree Removal (Non-Protected Trees)

- Environmental impacts from project implementation may result due to the loss of significant trees on the site. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- Prior to the issuance of any permit, a plot plan shall be prepared indicating the location, size, type, and general condition of all existing trees on the site and within the adjacent public right(s)-of-way.
- All significant (8-inch or greater trunk diameter, or cumulative trunk diameter if multi-trunked, as measured 54 inches above the ground) non-protected trees on the site proposed for removal shall be replaced at a 1:1 ratio with a minimum 24-inch box tree. Net, new trees, located within the parkway of the adjacent public right(s)-of-way, may be counted toward replacement tree requirements.
- Removal or planting of any tree in the public right-of-way requires approval of the Board of Public Works. Contact Urban Forestry Division at: 213-847-3077. All trees in the public right-of-way shall be provided per the current standards of the Urban Forestry Division the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Services.

VI-10. Seismic

- Environmental impacts to the safety of future occupants may result due to the project's location in an area of potential seismic activity. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The design and construction of the project shall conform to the California Building Code seismic standards as approved by the Department of Building and Safety.

VI-20. Erosion/Grading/Short-Term Construction Impacts

- Short-term erosion impacts may result from the construction of the proposed project. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- The applicant shall provide a staked signage at the site with a minimum of 3-inch lettering containing contact information for the Senior Street Use Inspector (Department of Public Works), the Senior Grading Inspector (LADBS) and the hauling or general contractor.
- Chapter IX, Division 70 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code addresses grading, excavations, and fills. All grading activities require grading permits from the Department of Building and Safety. Additional provisions are required for grading activities within Hillside areas. The application of BMPs includes but is not limited to the following mitigation measures:

- a. Excavation and grading activities shall be scheduled during dry weather periods. If grading occurs during the rainy season (October 15 through April 1), diversion dikes shall be constructed to channel runoff around the site. Channels shall be lined with grass or roughened pavement to reduce runoff velocity.
- b. Stockpiles, excavated, and exposed soil shall be covered with secured tarps, plastic sheeting, erosion control fabrics, or treated with a bio-degradable soil stabilizer.

VI-70. Liquefaction Area

- Environmental impacts may result due to the proposed project's location in an area with liquefaction potential. However, these potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- Prior to the issuance of grading or building permits, the applicant shall submit a geotechnical report, prepared by a registered civil engineer or certified engineering geologist, to the Department of Building and Safety, for review and approval. The project shall comply with the Uniform Building Code Chapter 18, Division 1 Section 1804.5 Liquefaction Potential and Soil Strength Loss. The geotechnical report shall assess potential consequences of any liquefaction and soil strength loss, estimation of settlement, lateral movement or reduction in foundation soil-bearing capacity, and discuss mitigation measures that may include building design consideration. Building design considerations shall include, but are not limited to: ground stabilization, selection of appropriate foundation type and depths, selection of appropriate structural systems to accommodate anticipated displacements or any combination of these measures.
- The project shall comply with the conditions contained within the Department of Building and Safety's Geology and Soils Report Approval Letter for the proposed project, and as it may be subsequently amended or modified.

VIII-10. Explosion/Release (Existing Toxic/Hazardous Construction Materials)

- Due to the age of the building(s) being demolished, toxic and/or hazardous construction materials may be located in the structure(s). Exposure to such materials during demolition or construction activities could be hazardous to the health of the demolition workers, as well as area residents, employees, and future occupants. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- **(Asbestos)** Prior to the issuance of any permit for the demolition or alteration of the existing structure(s), the applicant shall provide a letter to the Department of Building and Safety from a qualified asbestos abatement consultant indicating that no Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM) are present in the building. If ACMs are found to be present, it will need to be abated in compliance with the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Rule 1403 as well as all other applicable State and Federal rules and regulations.

IX-20. Stormwater Pollution (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

-
- Sediment carries with it other work-site pollutants such as pesticides, cleaning solvents, cement wash, asphalt, and car fluids that are toxic to sea life.
- Leaks, drips and spills shall be cleaned up immediately to prevent contaminated soil on paved surfaces that can be washed away into the storm drains.
- All vehicle/equipment maintenance, repair, and washing shall be conducted away from storm drains. All major repairs shall be conducted off-site. Drip pans or drop clothes shall be used to catch drips and spills.
- Pavement shall not be hosed down at material spills. Dry cleanup methods shall be used whenever possible.
- Dumpsters shall be covered and maintained. Uncovered dumpsters shall be placed under a roof or be covered with tarps or plastic sheeting.

IX-120. Flooding/Tidal Waves

- Environmental impacts may result due to the location of the proposed project in an area which is potentially subject to flood hazards. However, any flood hazard that exists will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The project shall comply with the requirements of the Flood Hazard Management Specific Plan, Ordinance No. 172081 effective 7/3/98.

XII-20. Increased Noise Levels (Demolition, Grading, and Construction Activities)

-
- The project shall comply with the City of Los Angeles Noise Ordinance No. 144,331 and 161,574, and any subsequent ordinances, which prohibit the emission or creation of noise beyond certain levels at adjacent uses unless technically infeasible.
- Construction and demolition shall be restricted to the hours of 7:00 am to 6:00 pm Monday through Friday, and 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Saturday.
- Demolition and construction activities shall be scheduled so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high noise levels.

- The project contractor shall use power construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices.

XIII-20. Relocation

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to relocation of families. However, these potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- Relocation Plan. Prior to sign-off of any project-related permit, the applicant shall submit and obtain approval of the plan from the decision-maker.

XIII-30. Tenant Displacement

- Impacts to the existing tenant population will result from project implementation due to the displacement of families. However, these potential impacts will be mitigated to a less than significant level through the following:
- (*Apartment Demolition*) Prior to the issuance of a demolition permit, and pursuant to the provisions of Section 47.07 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, a tenant relocation plan shall be submitted to the Los Angeles Housing Department for review and approval.

XIV-60. Public Services (Schools)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the location of the project in an area with insufficient school capacity. However, the potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- The applicant shall pay school fees to the Los Angeles Unified School District to offset the impact of additional student enrollment at schools serving the project area.

XV-10. Recreation (Increased Demand For Parks Or Recreational Facilities)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to insufficient parks and/or recreational facilities. However, the potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- (*Subdivision*) Pursuant to Section 17.12-A or 17.58 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, the applicant shall pay the applicable Quimby fees for the construction of dwelling units.

XVII-10. Utilities (Local Water Supplies - Landscaping)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the cumulative increase in demand on the City's water supplies. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- The project shall comply with Ordinance No. 170,978 (Water Management Ordinance), which imposes numerous water conservation measures in landscape, installation, and maintenance (e.g. use drip irrigation and soak hoses in lieu of sprinklers to lower the amount of water lost to evaporation and overspray, set automatic sprinkler systems to irrigate during the early morning or evening hours to minimize water loss due to evaporation, and water less in the cooler months and during the rainy season).
- In addition to the requirements of the Landscape Ordinance, the landscape plan shall incorporate the following:
 - Weather-based irrigation controller with rain shutoff
 - Matched precipitation (flow) rates for sprinkler heads
 - Drip/microspray/subsurface irrigation where appropriate
 - Minimum irrigation system distribution uniformity of 75 percent
 - Proper hydro-zoning, turf minimization and use of native/drought tolerant plan materials
 - Use of landscape contouring to minimize precipitation runoff
- A separate water meter (or submeter), flow sensor, and master valve shutoff shall be installed for existing and expanded irrigated landscape areas totaling 5,000 sf. and greater.

XVII-20. Utilities (Local Water Supplies - All New Construction)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the cumulative increase in demand on the City's water supplies. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- If conditions dictate, the Department of Water and Power may postpone new water connections for this project until water supply capacity is adequate.
- Install high-efficiency toilets (maximum 1.28 gpf), including dual-flush water closets, and high-efficiency urinals (maximum 0.5 gpf), including no-flush or waterless urinals, in all restrooms as appropriate.
- Install restroom faucets with a maximum flow rate of 1.5 gallons per minute.
- A separate water meter (or submeter), flow sensor, and master valve shutoff shall be installed for all landscape irrigation uses.

- Single-pass cooling equipment shall be strictly prohibited from use. Prohibition of such equipment shall be indicated on the building plans and incorporated into tenant lease agreements. (Single-pass cooling refers to the use of potable water to extract heat from process equipment, e.g. vacuum pump, ice machines, by passing the water through equipment and discharging the heated water to the sanitary wastewater system.)

XVII-40. Utilities (Local Water Supplies - New Residential)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the cumulative increase in demand on the City's water supplies. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measures:
- Install no more than one showerhead per shower stall, having a flow rate no greater than 2.0 gallons per minute.
- Install and utilize only high-efficiency clothes washers (water factor of 6.0 or less) in the project, if proposed to be provided in either individual units and/or in a common laundry room(s). If such appliance is to be furnished by a tenant, this requirement shall be incorporated into the lease agreement, and the applicant shall be responsible for ensuring compliance.
- Install and utilize only high-efficiency Energy Star-rated dishwashers in the project, if proposed to be provided. If such appliance is to be furnished by a tenant, this requirement shall be incorporated into the lease agreement, and the applicant shall be responsible for ensuring compliance.

XVII-90. Utilities (Solid Waste Recycling)

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the creation of additional solid waste. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a less than significant level by the following measure:
- **(Operational)** Recycling bins shall be provided at appropriate locations to promote recycling of paper, metal, glass, and other recyclable material. These bins shall be emptied and recycled accordingly as a part of the project's regular solid waste disposal program.
- **(Construction/Demolition)** Prior to the issuance of any demolition or construction permit, the applicant shall provide a copy of the receipt or contract from a waste disposal company providing services to the project, specifying recycled waste service(s), to the satisfaction of the Department of Building and Safety. The demolition and construction contractor(s) shall only contract for waste disposal services with a company that recycles demolition and/or construction-related wastes.
- **(Construction/Demolition)** To facilitate on-site separation and recycling of demolition- and construction-related wastes, the contractor(s) shall provide temporary waste separation bins on-site during demolition and construction. These bins shall be emptied and the contents recycled accordingly as a part of the project's regular solid waste disposal program.

XVII-100. Utilities (Solid Waste Disposal)

-
- All waste shall be disposed of properly. Use appropriately labeled recycling bins to recycle demolition and construction materials including: solvents, water-based paints, vehicle fluids, broken asphalt and concrete, bricks, metals, wood, and vegetation. Non recyclable materials/wastes shall be taken to an appropriate landfill. Toxic wastes must be discarded at a licensed regulated disposal site.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
INITIAL STUDY
and CHECKLIST
(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

LEAD CITY AGENCY: City of Los Angeles		COUNCIL DISTRICT: CD 11 - BILL ROSENDAHL	DATE: 05/22/2013
RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES: Department of City Planning			
ENVIRONMENTAL CASE: ENV-2009-2489-MND-REC2		RELATED CASES: VTT-70870-SL, ZA-2013-1420-CDP, DIR-2011-588-DB-SPP-MELLO	
PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.:		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does have significant changes from previous actions. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions.	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A 10-SMALL LOT SUBDIVISION			
ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION: A Vesting Tentative Tract Map for Small Lot subdivision purposes to create ten lots with five single family dwellings and five duplex dwellings, for a total of 15 dwelling units and 30 parking spaces; a 35% Density Bonus with two on-menu incentives for a 35% height increase and density calculation prior to dedication, two units (11%) set aside for very low income units; Project Permit Compliance; Mello Act conformance; and a Coastal Development Permit. The project site is an approximately 15,740 net square-foot site in the RD1.5-1-O Zone.			
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS: The site is an irregular-shaped property developed with a two-story apartment building in the RD1.5-1-O Zone. Adjacent uses consist of a library to the north in the PF-1-O Zone, a commercial building to the south in the C1-1-O Zone, and multi-family buildings to the east and west in the RD1.5-1-O Zone. The property is located in the Venice Community Plan, the Venice Coastal Specific Plan Area, and in the Calvo exclusion area of the Coastal Zone Commission authority. The site has a Low Medium II residential land use designation and is located in a methane and liquefaction zone. A variable width street vacation along Venice Boulevard, a Major Highway, is required by the Bureau of Engineering creating an ultimate 52-foot Right-of-Way and an additional dedication of 7 feet along Mildred Avenue, a Local Street, to complete a 27-foot half Right-of-Way.			
PROJECT LOCATION: 522 E VENICE BLVD			
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA: VENICE STATUS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does Conform to Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT Conform to Plan		AREA PLANNING COMMISSION: WEST LOS ANGELES	CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL: VENICE
EXISTING ZONING: RD1.5-1-O		MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING: BASE DENSITY IS 11 UNITS WITH 15 UNITS PERMITTED VIA 35% DENSITY BONUS.	
GENERAL PLAN LAND USE: LOW MEDIUM II RESIDENTIAL		MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION: BASE DENSITY IS 11 UNITS WITH 15 UNITS PERMITTED VIA 35% DENSITY BONUS. LA River Adjacent: NO	

PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY: 15 UNITS
--

Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

City Planning Associate

(213) 978-1487

Signature

Title

Phone

Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AESTHETICS <input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR QUALITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES <input type="checkbox"/> CULTURAL RESOURCES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GEOLOGY AND SOILS	<input type="checkbox"/> GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY <input type="checkbox"/> LAND USE AND PLANNING <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL RESOURCES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOISE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> POPULATION AND HOUSING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SERVICES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RECREATION <input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS <input type="checkbox"/> MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE
--	---	--

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)

Background

PROPONENT NAME:

LEN JUDAKEN

PHONE NUMBER:

(310) 838-1816

APPLICANT ADDRESS:

2153 W. WASHINGTON BLVD.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90018

AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:

Department of City Planning

DATE SUBMITTED:

08/04/2009

PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

I. AESTHETICS			
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?		✓
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?		✓
c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	✓	
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	✓	
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES			
a.	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?		✓
b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?		✓
c.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?		✓
d.	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?		✓
e.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?		✓
III. AIR QUALITY			
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?		✓
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?		✓
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?		✓
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	✓	
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?		✓
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES			
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?		✓
b.	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?		✓
c.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?		✓
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?		✓
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	✓	
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?		✓
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES			

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?				✓
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?				✓
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				✓
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				✓
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS					
a.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.		✓		
b.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Strong seismic ground shaking?		✓		
c.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?		✓		
d.	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: Landslides?				✓
e.	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?		✓		
f.	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?		✓		
g.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				✓
h.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				✓
VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS					
a.	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			✓	
b.	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			✓	
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS					
a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				✓
b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?		✓		
c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				✓
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				✓
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				✓
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				✓
g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				✓

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				✓
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY					
a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			✓	
b.	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			✓	
c.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			✓	
d.	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			✓	
e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			✓	
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?		✓		
g.	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?			✓	
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?			✓	
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				✓
j.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?		✓		
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING					
a.	Physically divide an established community?				✓
b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			✓	
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				✓
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES					
a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				✓
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				✓
XII. NOISE					
a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?		✓		
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?		✓		
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			✓	
d.	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?		✓		

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				✓
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				✓
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING				
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			✓	
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			✓	
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?		✓		
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection?			✓	
b. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Police protection?			✓	
c. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Schools?		✓		
d. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Parks?			✓	
e. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Other public facilities?			✓	
XV. RECREATION				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?		✓		
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				✓
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?			✓	

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?		✓	
c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			✓
d.	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?			✓
e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?		✓	
f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?			✓

XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?		✓	
b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?		✓	
c.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?		✓	
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	✓		
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?		✓	
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	✓		
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?		✓	

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		✓	
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?		✓	
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		✓	

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083, 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080, 21083.05, 21095, Pub. Resources Code; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, liquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as **ENV-2009-2489-MND-REC2** and the associated case(s), **VTT-70870-SL, ZA-2013-1420-CDP, DIR-2011-588-DB-SPP-MELLO**. Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) will not:

- Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

For City information, addresses and phone numbers: visit the City's website at <http://www.lacity.org> ; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) cityplanning.lacity.org/ or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - <http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/> Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - <http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm> or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

PREPARED BY:	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
JOEY VASQUEZ	City Planning Associate	(213) 978-1487	05/22/2013

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

I. AESTHETICS			
a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS DEVELOPED WITH A TWO-STORY APARTMENT BUILDING. THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON A SCENIC VISTA.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY SCENIC RESOURCES OR ANY LOCALLY-RECOGNIZED DESIRABLE FEATURES WITHIN A SCENIC HIGHWAY. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS TO THE CHARACTER AND AESTHETICS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD MAY RESULT FROM THE PROJECT.	I-10
d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE PROJECT WILL RESULT IN INCREASED ILLUMINATION IN THE AREA.	I-120
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES			
a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE AND DOES NOT CONTAIN FARMLAND OF ANY TYPE. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE AND DOES NOT CONTAIN FARMLAND OF ANY TYPE. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE AND DOES NOT CONTAIN FARMLAND OF ANY TYPE. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
d.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN THE CONVERSION OF FARMLAND TO NON-AGRICULTURAL USE.	
e.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN THE CONVERSION OF FARMLAND TO NON-AGRICULTURAL USE.	
III. AIR QUALITY			
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PROJECT WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH OR OBSTRUCT IMPLEMENTATION OF EITHER PLAN.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL NOT INCREASE THE EXISTING BASIN-WIDE AIR QUALITY VIOLATIONS.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT NOT RESULT IN A CULMATIVELY CONSIDERABLE NET INCREASE OF ANY CRITERIA POLLUTANT.	
d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	SHORT-TERM AIR QUALITY IMPACTS MAY OCCUR DURING THE DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION PHASE OF THE PROJECT.	III-10
e.	NO IMPACT	NO OBJECTIONABLE ODORS ARE EXPECTED TO RESULT FROM THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS FULLY DEVELOPED WITH AN APARTMENT BUILDING. NO SENSITIVE SPECIES ARE EXPECTED TO BE LOCATED ON THE SITE. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN RIPARIAN HABITAT OR SENSITIVE NATURAL COMMUNITIES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN WETLANDS. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
d.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE IS DEVELOPED WITH AN APARTMENT BUILDING. THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN WILDLIFE CORRIDORS OR NURSERY SITES. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
e.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	EACH TREE EXCEEDING 12-INCHES IN DIAMETER WILL NEED TO BE REPLACED ON A 1:1 RATIO TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.	IV-70
f.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH ANY HABITAT CONSERVATION PLANS.	

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY HISTORIC RESOURCES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED IN AN AREA OF KNOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED IN AN AREA OF KNOWN PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
d.	NO IMPACT	NO HUMAN REMAINS ARE ANTICIPATED TO BE LOCATED AT THE PROJECT SITE. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE SITE IS LOCATED APPROXIMATELY THREE MILES FROM THE NEAREST FAULT.	VI-10
b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO STRONG SEISMIC SHAKING. HOWEVER, THIS IMPACT WILL BE REDUCED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL BY FOLLOWING THE UNIFORM BUILDING CODE STANDARDS DURING CONSTRUCTION.	VI-10
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE SITE IS LOCATED IN AN AREA THAT IS SUSCEPTIBLE TO LIQUEFACTION. THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY GRADING DIVISION WILL REQUIRE A SOILS STUDY AND REQUIRE THE APPLICANT TO COMPLY WITH MITIGATION MEASURES TO REDUCE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.	VI-70
d.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED IN LANDSLIDE AREA.	
e.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE PROJECT MAY RESULT IN SOIL EROSION DURING CONSTRUCTION.	VI-20
f.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE SITE IS LOCATED IN A LIQUEFACTION AREA.	VI-70
g.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT DOES NOT CONTAIN EXPANSIVE SOILS. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
h.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT DOES NOT REQUIRE THE USE OF SEPTIC TANKS OR ALTERNATIVE WASTE WATER DISPOSAL SYSTEMS.	

VII. GREEN HOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	COMPLIANCE WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES GREEN BUILDING CODE WILL REDUCE ANY POTENTIAL IMPACT TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	COMPLIANCE WITH THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES GREEN BUILDING CODE WILL REDUCE ANY POTENTIAL IMPACT TO LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.	

VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	NO IMPACT	NO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ARE PROPOSED TO BE ROUTINELY TRANSPORTED, USED, OR DISPOSED AS PART OF THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	
----	-----------	---	--

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE BUILDING LOCATED ON THE SITE MAY CONTAIN ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIALS (ACM). AN ACM SURVEY AND REMOVAL OF ANY ACM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE DEMOLITION PERMIT TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.	VIII-10
c.	NO IMPACT	NO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ARE PROPOSED TO BE USED WITH THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
d.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED ON A HAZARDOUS-MATERIALS LIST. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
e.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN AN AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN. THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WOULD NOT RESULT IN A SAFETY HAZARD FOR PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE AREA.	
f.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED NEAR A PRIVATE AIRSTRIP. THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WOULD NOT RESULT IN A SAFETY HAZARD FOR PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE AREA.	
g.	NO IMPACT	THE RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WOULD NOT INTERFERE WITH ANY EMERGENCY RESPONSE OR EVACUATION PLANS. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
h.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN AN AREA OF WILDLAND FIRES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	

IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE IS NOT PROJECTED TO VIOLATE ANY WATER QUALITY OR WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL COMPLY WITH LOS ANGELES MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 64.70.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL COMPLY WITH LOS ANGELES MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 64.70.	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL COMPLY WITH LOS ANGELES MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 64.70.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL COMPLY WITH LOS ANGELES MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 64.70.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures	
f.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	STORMWATER POLLUTION MAY OCCUR DURING THE DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION PHASE OF THE PROJECT.	IX-20
g.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED IN A 100-YEAR FLOOD ZONE. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT.	
h.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED IN A 100-YEAR FLOOD ZONE. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT.	
i.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL NOT EXPOSE PEOPLE OR STRUCTURES TO POTENTIAL FLOODING DUE TO FAILURE OF A LEVEE OR DAM.	
j.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN AN INUNDATION ZONE FOR TSUNAMIS.	IX-120
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING			
a.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT DIVIDE AN ESTABLISHED COMMUNITY.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS WITHIN THE VENICE COASTAL ZONE SPECIFIC PLAN. THE PROJECT WILL REQUIRE PROJECT PERMIT COMPLIANCE AND A COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH ANY APPLICABLE CONSERVATION OR NATURAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION PLANS DUE TO ITS LOCATION IN A DEVELOPED, URBAN AREA.	
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES			
a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN A KNOWN AREA OF MINERAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT IS EXPECTED TO RESULT.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN A KNOWN AREA OF MINERAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT IS EXPECTED TO RESULT.	
XII. NOISE			
a.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	DURING CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE APPLICANT WILL BE REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE CITY'S NOISE ORDINANCE AND THE ATTACHED CONSTRUCTION NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES TO REDUCE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.	XII-20

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
b. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	EXCESSIVE GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION MAY OCCUR DURING CONSTRUCTION.	XII-20
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS ANTICIPATED TO RESULT IN A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN THE AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS.	
d. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE PROJECT MAY RESULT IN A TEMPORARY INCREASE IN AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS DURING CONSTRUCTION.	XII-20
e. NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN AN AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
f. NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF A PRIVATE AIRSTRIP. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING		
a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL RESULT IN A NET INCREASE OF TWELVE DWELLING UNITS.	
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL RESULT IN THE DEMOLITION OF THREE DWELLINGS; HOWEVER, A NET INCREASE OF TWELVE DWELLING UNITS WILL RESULT.	
c. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE TENANTS MUST BE PAID RELOCATION ASSISTANCE TO REDUCE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.	XIII-20, XIII-30
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES		
a. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS LOCATED WITHIN A FIRE SERVICE AREA.	
b. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT DOES NOT MEET A THRESHOLD OF 75 OR MORE RESIDENTIAL UNITS TO REQUIRE REVIEW BY LAPD.	
c. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	SCHOOL FEES SHALL BE PAID TO OFF SET ANY FUTURE IMPACTS TO SCHOOLS.	XIV-60
d. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	NO IMPACTS ARE ANTICIPATED IN MATTERS RELATING TO PARKS. ONLY RESIDENTIAL USE IS PLANNED.	
e. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT DOES NOT INCLUDE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES NOR WILL IT REQUIRE THE CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION OF SUCH.	
XV. RECREATION		

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures	
a.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE INCREASED USE OF PARKS BY THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WILL BE MITIGATED BY THE PAYMENT OF QUIMBY FEES.	XV-10
b.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT DOES NOT INCLUDE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES NOR WILL IT REQUIRE THE CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION OF SUCH.	
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC			
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN TRAFFIC IN RELATION TO THE EXISTING TRAFFIC LOAD AND CAPACITY OF THE STREET SYSTEM.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE IN THE LEVEL OF SERVICE ON THE LOCAL STREETS.	
c.	NO IMPACT	NO CHANGE IN AIR TRAFFIC PATTERNS WILL RESULT FROM THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	
d.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY HAZARDOUS DESIGN FEATURES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	BOTH LADOT AND LAFD WILL REVIEW THE PROJECT'S EMERGENCY ACCESS TO ENSURE THAT POTENTIAL IMPACTS ARE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.	
f.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH ANY ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION POLICIES.	
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS			
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT'S 15 RESIDENTIAL UNITS SHOULD NOT EXCEED THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE LOS ANGELES REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE CONSTRUCTION OF 15 DWELLING UNITS WILL NOT REQUIRE THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW WATER OR WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES OR THE EXPANSION OF EXISTING FACILITIES.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT SHOULD NOT REQUIRE THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW STORMWATER DRAINAGE FACILITIES.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures	
d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE PROPOSED PROJECT MAY RESULT IN THE NEED FOR ADDITIONAL WATER SUPPLIES, HOWEVER, EACH IMPACT CAN BE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL WITH THE INCORPORATION OF THE ATTACHED MITIGATION MEASURES.	XVII-10, XVII-20, XVII-40
e.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	ANY INCREASE IN WASTEWATER, IF THERE IS ANY, CAN BE ACCOMODATED BY THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PROVIDER.	
f.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	THE PROJECT WILL HAVE A CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON THE CITY'S WASTE DISPOSAL CAPACITY.	XVII-90, XVII-100
g.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT AS MITIGATED WILL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL STATUES AND REGULATIONS RELATED TO SOLID WASTE.	
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE			
a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO NEGATIVELY AFFECT THESE CATEGORIES WITH THE APPLICATION OF THE ABOVE REFERENCED MITIGATION MEASURES.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL RESULT IN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, HOWEVER, EACH IMPACT CAN BE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL WITH THE INCORPORATION OF THE ATTACHED MITIGATION MEASURES. AS SUCH, THE CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN ANY CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.	
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF MITIGATION MEASURES, THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE ANY SIGNIFICANT DIRECT OR INDIRECT IMPACTS TO HUMAN BEINGS.	

Office: Van Nuys
 Applicant Copy
 Application Invoice No: 14535



LA Department of Building and Safety
 VN 0013 201023725 12/27/2013 2:56:20 PM

NOTICE: The staff of the Planning I
 your application, re

Receipt #: 0201090620 \$5,704.85
 Total: \$5,704.85
 Amex \$5,704.85

and impartial consideration to
 represent you.

Applicant: KELNEL GARDENS, LLC
 Representative:
 Project Address: 522 S. VENICE BL

Card No: *****1032
 Authorization: 502704

NOTES: Appeal by Applicant (VTT 7
 appeals to the refereced cases with

Planning Commission granting
 EC2). See invoices attached.

Other with Surcharges (per Ordinan

%	Charged Fee
100%	\$4,754.05
Case Total	\$4,754.05

Item	Charged Fee
*Fees Subject to Surcharges	\$4,754.05
Fees Not Subject to Surcharges	\$0.00
Plan & Land Use Fees Total	\$4,754.05
Expediting Fee	\$0.00
OSS Surcharge (2%)	\$95.08
Development Surcharge (6%)	\$285.24
Operating Surcharge (7%)	\$332.78
General Plan Maintenance Surcharge (5%)	\$237.70
Grand Total	\$5,704.85
Total Invoice	\$5,704.85
Total Overpayment Amount	\$0.00
Total Paid (this amount must equal the sum of all checks)	\$5,704.85

LA Department of Building and Safety
 VN 0013 201023725 12/27/2013 2:56:20 PM

PLAN & LAND USE \$5,704.85
 Sub Total: \$5,704.85

Receipt #: 0201090620

Council District:
 Plan Area:
 Processed by GIRON, RONY on 12/27/2013
 Signature:

Office:

Downtown

Van Nuys

Date 8/4/09



Department of City Planning
Los Angeles

No 278193

RECEIVED
CITY OF LOS ANGELES

AUG 04 2009

City Planning Request

CITY PLANNING
ACCOUNTING & SUPPLIES

NOTICE: The staff of the Planning Department will analyze your request and accord the same full and impartial consideration to your application, regardless of whether or not you obtain the services of anyone to represent you.

This filing fee is required by Chapter 1, Article 9, L.A.M.C.

Applicant <u>LEN JUDAKEN</u>			
Representative <u>GERRY HERNANDEZ</u>			
Project Address <u>522 S. VENICE BLW</u>			
Telephone Number <u>310 395-3481</u>			
Case Number and Description	Task	SubTask	Ordinance Fee
<u>VII-70870</u>		<u>(4.031)</u>	\$ <u>9,035</u> -
<u>ENV-2009-2489 CAF</u>			\$ <u>923</u> -
			\$
			\$
		Sub Total Fees Paid	\$ <u>9,958</u> -
OSS Surcharge - 2%			\$ <u>200</u>
Development Surcharge - 6%			\$ <u>600</u>
Operating Surcharge - 7%			\$ <u>700</u>
Expediting Fee			\$
Bad Check Fee			\$
Miscellaneous/Photocopy			\$
			\$
		TOTAL FEES PAID	\$ <u>11,458</u> -

Cash
 Check # 569777014
 Money Order # _____

Council District 11
Plan Area VENICE

Processed by [Signature]
Print & sign

Office: Downtown
 Applicant Copy
 Invoice No: 2766

City of Los Angeles
 Department of City Planning



City Planning Request

NOTICE: The staff of the Planning Department will analyze your request and accord the same full and impartial consideration to your application, regardless of whether or not you obtain the services of anyone to represent you.

This filing fee is required by Chapter 1, Article 9, L.A.M.C.

Applicant: KALNEL GARDENS, LLC - JUDAKEN, MARK (310-8381816)
Representative: QES, INC. - LIEBERMAN, ERIC (818-9978033)
Project Address: 522 E VENICE BLVD , 90291

NOTES:

Item	Fee	%	Charged Fee
SPECIFIC PLAN EXCEPTION (each) *	\$13,272.00	100%	\$13,272.00
COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT-SFD RESIDENTIAL (no exceptions) *	\$3,124.00	50%	\$1,562.00
ADJUSTMENTS BY ZA (SFD Policy) *	\$2,377.00	25%	\$594.25
PROJECT PERMIT COMPLIANCE OR OTHER DIRECTOR'S DETERMINATION (DIR) CASES-MAJOR, SINGLE FAMILY (New Projects adding more than 200 sq. feet of floor area) *	\$2,131.00	25%	\$532.75
OSS Surcharge 2%			\$319.22
Development Surcharge 6%			\$957.66
Operating Surcharge 7%			\$1,117.27
General Plan Maintenance Surcharge 3%			\$478.83
Case Total			\$18,833.98
(Items with * are subject to surcharges) Case(s) Grand Total			\$18,833.98
Total Credit			\$0.00
Total Invoice			\$18,833.98
Total Overpayment Amount			\$0.00
Total Paid (this amount must equal the sum of all checks)			\$18,833.98

Processed by GUTIERREZ, EMMANUELA on 03/08/2011

Signature:

Council District: 11
 Plan Area: Venice

LA Department of Building and Safety
 LA 05 10 313688 03/08/11 10:19AM

PLAN & LAND USE	\$13,272.
PLAN & LAND USE	\$1,562.
PLAN & LAND USE	\$594.
PLAN & LAND USE	\$532.
ONE STOP CITY PL	\$319.
DEVELOPMT SURCHG	\$957.
OPERATING SURCHG	\$1,117.
GEN PLAN MAINT SURCHARGE	\$478.

Total Due: \$18,833.
 Credit Card: \$18,833.

123375

DETERMINATION LETTER
ZA-2013-1420-CDP-1A
VTT-70870-SL-1A
MAILING DATE: 12/20/13
Corrected Letter: 12/31/13

Ingrid Mueller
1050 Doreen Place #3
Venice, CA 90291

Daniel McNay
615 Olive Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Anaik Levy
2334 Clenevi Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Robin Murez
2408 Cloy Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Alison Mills Bean
2209 Ocean Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Robert Mitchell
663 Mildred Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Regan Kibbee
1716 Washington Way
Venice, CA 90291

Mark Judaken
Kalnel Gardens, LLC
2153 West Washington Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90018
Director of Planning
Regional Planning Dept.
County of Los Angeles
320 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Sarah Dennison
839 Marco Place
Venice, CA 90291

Reid Cigolle
530 Grand Boulevard
Venice, CA 90291

Nicolette Marzola
2306 ½ Ocean Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90291

Pamela Harbour
2330 McKinley Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Department of Neighborhood
Empowerment
334-B E. 2nd Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Richard Stangler
2409 Clark Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

City of Los Angeles
Department of Transportation
100 S. Main Street, 10th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Bureau of Engineering
1149 S. Broadway #700
Los Angeles, CA 90015

Caltrans- State of California
Property Development Branch D
120 S. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dept of Building & Safety
Code Enforcement & Signs
3550 Wilshire Blvd., #1800
Los Angeles, CA 90010

Margitha Stuckel
29 26th Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

BJ Cotton-Jefforic
613 Mildred Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Kevin Gallagher
2318 Frey Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Len Judaken
P.O. Box 24288
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Charles Bornstein
2338 Clark Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Scott Copley
682 Olive Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Charmaine Soo
2409 Clark Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Kirsten Andresen
2425 Boone Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Allan Abshec
2029 Century Park East
Suite 26
Los Angeles, CA 90291

Max Bean
2209 Ocean Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Chris King
2336 Ocean Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Alley and Orson Bean
444 Ocean Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Sarah Shoup
2205 Ocean Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

David Ewing
1234 Preston Way
Venice, CA 90291

Jim Murez
804 Main Street
Venice, CA 90291

Allan Abshez
2029 Century Park East #27
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Alley Mills Bean
2209 Ocean Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Allan Abshez
2029 Century Park East
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Robin Rudsill
3003 Ocean Front Walk
Venice, CA 90291

Challis MacPherson
738 Howard Street
Venice, CA 90292

Noel Weiss
13700 Marina Pointe Dr. #922
Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

N. Wright
2341 Clark Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Dawn Hollier
1756 Washington Way
Venice, CA 90291

Anne Mullins
2417 Cloy Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Jay Cole
457 Carrol Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Darryl Dufay
2602 Grand Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Jeff Zucker
2329 Wilson Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Michael Kantor
430 Linnie Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Jody Uttal
456 Sherman Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Martha Margowsky
2425 Bryan Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Danna Sigal
2345 Wilson Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Jennifer Feikin
2405 Wilson Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Susan Painter
226 Sherman Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Alan Pick
422 Howland Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Mullie Harrison
413 Linnie Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Patrick Harbinson
440 Linnie Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Ralph & Bonnie Felix
2604 Grand Canal
Venice, CA 90291

Anna Lee
2348 Wilson Avenue
Venice, CA 90291

Eric Liebermann
QES, Inc.
14549 Archwood Street, Ste. 308
Van Nuys, CA 91405

Councilmember Mike Bonin
Eleventh Council District
City Hall, Room 415
Mail Stop #218

Linda Clarke
City Hall, Room 763
Mail Stop #395

GIS/Fae Tsukamoto
City Hall, Room 825
Mail Stop #395

Jim Tokunaga
Zoning Administrator
City Hall, Room 763
Mail Stop #395

Attn: Bernyce Hollins
City Administrative Officer
200 N. Main Street, 15th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Mail Stop #130