

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Bel Air-Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council

Name: Robin Greenberg

Phone Number: [\(310\) 968-0605](tel:3109680605)

Email: rgreenberg@babnc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(24) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 06/22/2016

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 07/07/2016

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 14-0057-S8

Agenda Date: 06/22/2016

Item Number: 14.C.1

Summary: BABCNC asks that PLUM not repeal City SDU Ordinance without adequate outreach to City's neighborhoods, extend time of City Code for community discussion & delay action until a thorough study of potential impacts to neighborhoods & options available to the City are considered. Please see attached.



Building A Better Community

Bel Air-Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council
PO Box 252007 Los Angeles, CA 90025
Office 310-479-6247
www.babcnc.org

June 6, 2016

sharon.dickinson@lacity.org
councilmember.huizar@lacity.org
councilmember.blumenfeld@lacity.org
councilmember.englander@lacity.org
councilmember.englander@lacity.org
councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org
shawn.kuk@lacity.org
clare.eberle@lacity.org
hannah.lee@lacity.org
doug.tripp@lacity.org
gerald.gubatan@lacity.org
sergio.infanzon@lacity.org
julia.duncan@lacity.org
faisal.alserri@lacity.org

RE: CF #14-0057-S8 Proposed ordinance repealing Section 12.24W43 and 12.24W44 of Chapter 1 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code for the purpose of complying with State Law AB 1866 on Second Dwelling Units and grandfathering Second Dwelling Units permitted since June 23, 2003

Dear Councilmembers Huizar, Koretz, Ryu, the entire Planning & Land Use Committee & City Council:

I am writing as President of this NC, which has not had the time to take a vote on this matter, due to the City's fast-track scheduling of this item, but can say with all certainty that the concern of this community is to protect its hillsides from even further development and destruction. We are asking that you slow down and give us as time to review this proposal as there will be severe adverse impacts to this community if it goes through as proposed.

Based upon a cursory review of the records, it is clear that this neighborhood council will want the Planning Department to continue with the June 23, 2003 Interdepartmental Correspondence Memo instructions, on page 2 of 2, which state that second dwelling units are not to be located in a hillside area, in an Equine-keeping district, along a scenic highway designated in the General Plan. However, there is much more that

OFFICERS

President
Robin Greenberg
Vice President
Nickie Miner
Treasurer
Lonnie Mayfield
Secretary
Robert Ringler

COMMITTEES

Executive
Bylaws, Rules and Elections
Planning and Land Use
Outreach and Education
Public Safety/Disaster Preparedness
Traffic Committee

STAKEHOLDER GROUPS

Bel-Air Crest Master Association
Bel Air Ridge Association
Benedict Canyon Association
Casiano Estates Association
Laurel Canyon Association
Holmby Hills Homeowners Association
Residents of Beverly Glen
Roscomare Valley Association
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS
Bel Air District

Bel Air Glen District
Beverly Park Estates District
Franklin-Coldwater District
North of Sunset District

OTHER

At-Large Members
Faith-Based Institutions
Public & Private Schools
Custodians of Open Space
Commercial Areas

needs to be addressed, including but not limited to the May 6, 2010 ZA Memorandum 120 (ZA Memo 120), which replaced the 2003 Internal-Departmental Correspondence, and its attendant legal problems, as noted by John Givens in one of the few public comment letters in this supplemental Council File.

To be sure, the Bel Air Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council is against the “one size fits all” approach and urges the city to slow down and do a thorough study of the options to the city.


We are particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City’s neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

(The speed and way in which this item has been scheduled prohibits neighborhood councils, such as the BABCNC, from evaluating and providing input through a community impact statement in a timely manner. From first receipt of the package on this on April 28, 2016, after our April meeting, to the item being heard in early May, neck to neck with our PLUM meeting, this NC has just had no time to comment on it. A look at the City Council file shows that there have been no CISs submitted in this 8th supplemental Council File, due to lack of time!)

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state’s default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This “one size fits all” approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

We urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,



Robin Greenberg,
President, BABCNC

rgreenberg@babnc.org