



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF #14-0057-S8 ✓

1 message

Steven Palma <ventura101@rocketmail.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 8:11 AM ✓

Reply-To: Steven Palma <ventura101@rocketmail.com>

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>

Cc: Councilmember Paul Krekorian <paul.krekorian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "shawn.kuk@lacity.org" <shawn.kuk@lacity.org>, "clare.eberle@lacity.org" <clare.eberle@lacity.org>, "councilmember.englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "hanna.lee@lacity.org" <hanna.lee@lacity.org>, "doug.tripp@lacity.org" <doug.tripp@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "gerald.gubatan@lacity.org" <gerald.gubatan@lacity.org>, "sergio.infanzon@lacity.org" <sergio.infanzon@lacity.org>

Dear Sirs,

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

As a member of the Studio City Resident's Association, I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Steven Palma
Studio City, CA



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Save Coldwater Canyon!

1 message

Raymond Danon <raymonddanon@hotmail.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 8:28 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Cc: "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "Shawn.Kuk@lacity.org" <shawn.kuk@lacity.org>, "Clare.Eberle@lacity.org" <clare.eberle@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org" <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "Doug.tripp@lacity.org" <doug.tripp@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "Sergio.Infanzon@lacity.org" <sergio.infanzon@lacity.org>

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: **CF #14-0057-S8**

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Raymond Danon

Raymond Danon
EPILOG
3800 Goodland Av.
Studio City, CA 91604
Tel: 818-985-0968
alt: 818-266-9728
e-mail: raymonddanon@hotmail.com



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Opposition to CF #14-0057-S8 - Proposed Repeal of Prohibition on Second Dwelling Units in Hillside Areas

1 message

Byron J Gross <byronjgross@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 12:53 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, Shawn.Kuk@lacity.org, Clare.Eberle@lacity.org, Mitch Englander - <Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org>, Hannah.Lee@lacity.org, - Chief Legislative Deputy <Doug.tripp@lacity.org>, Gil Cedillo - <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, - Senior Planning Deputy <Gerald.Gubatan@lacity.org>, - Planning Deputy <Sergio.Infanzon@lacity.org>, councilmembe.ryu@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: CF #14-0057-S8

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

I disagree with the repeal of this provision which will hurt hillside neighborhoods like the one I live in and I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Byron J. Gross

2277 Betty Lane

Beverly Hills, CA 90210

byronjgross@gmail.com

home: 310-246-9652

cell: 310-508-9105



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

OPPOSE actions to repeal subsection 12.24W and 12.24.44W

1 message

Christine OBrien <obriencmills@icloud.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 6:39 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: julia.duncan@lacity.org

OPPOSE repeal subsections 12.24 W and .44.

PLUM Legislative assistant:

Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org &

Jose Huizar, Chair: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

As a long time voter and property owner of a single family, hillside home, I am asking you to OPPOSE the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. We are particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I join the Hollywoodland Homeowners Association and our umbrella association, the Hillside Federation and urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee. There needs to be a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

I understand there are approximately 500 second unit approvals that currently are in limbo. An "issued in error revocable permit notice" should be initiated to these property owners. The city should refund all fees and compensate any expenses incurred by the applicants during this process.

Sincerely,

Christine Mills O'Brien



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Opposition to Repeal of Second Dwelling Unit Ordinance

1 message

Mary Mallory <marymallory0@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 8:50 AM

To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org, councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org

Cc: shawn.kuk@lacity.org, clare.eberle@lacity.org, Karo Torossian <karo.torossian@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Huizar, Englander, Cedillo, and Krekorian:

Please take time to study and consider the proposed repeal of LA Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 rather than rushing to judgment on these ordinances, which would drastically affect traffic, land use, and livability of single-family neighborhoods to the detriment of homeowners. I urge that you oppose this proposed action, which benefits no one but deep pocket developers, rich homeowners, and greedy individuals. Neighborhoods and their residents deserve ample opportunity to voice their input and concerns regarding these proposals. All options should be considered rather than a "one size fits all" approach.

Our Hillside Areas with their narrow streets need tougher restrictions on McMansions and development, not a green light to clog both roads and hills with blight. One size does not fit all. What might work in Bel Air will not work in El Sereno, the Hollywood Hills, or La Crescenta. We need actual tough and enforceable standards on McMansions long before we need repeal of these ordinances.

I urge you to take time to consider the matter, just as you are with issues of homelessness, pocket zoning, and the like.

Sincerely,

Mary Mallory



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Second Dwelling Unit Ordinance

1 message

Stacy A. Herkert <sherkert@sbcglobal.net>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 9:20 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>

Dear Councilman Huizar:

The Federation of Hillside and Canyon Associations, Inc., recently voted to oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. We are particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

The Hillside Federation urges you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Stacy A. Herkert



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

Granny Unit Ordinance

1 message

Rick Stribling <rstrub@sbcglobal.net>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 9:28 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, councilmember.huizar@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

The Federation of Hillside and Canyon Associations, Inc., recently voted to oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. We are particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

The Hillside Federation urges you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Rick Stribling



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF #14-0057-S8

1 message

denisemaiman@roadrunner.com <denisemaiman@roadrunner.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 10:22 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: Chaircouncilmember.huizar@lacity.org, Shawn.Kuk@lacity.org, Doug.tripp@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, Sergio.Infanzon@lacity.org, Gerald.Gubatan@lacity.org, Clare.Eberle@lacity.org, Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, Hannah.lee@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: CF #14-0057-S8

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Denise Maiman



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF #14-0057-S8

1 message

Gaye Barnes <barnes.gaye@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 10:31 AM

To: rcouncilmember.huizar@lacity.org

Cc: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org, Shawn.Kuk@lacity.org, Clare.Eberle@lacity.org, Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, Hannag.lee@lacity.org, Doug.tripp@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, Gerald.Gubatan@lacity.org, Sergio.Infanzon@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: CF #14-0057-S8

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Gaye Barnes



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

RE: CF #14-0057-S8

1 message

Vi Leja <vi@bhdrl.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 10:51 AM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org" <Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org>

Dear Councilman Huizar:

Please please please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

And I have good reason to be worried. I live within 2 blocks of the Harvard Westlake School which is attempting to force a multi-story parking structure upon the surrounding neighborhoods with no consideration of its impact during its construction and use thereafter. The School is waging an extensive PR campaign to sell the lie that residents and businesses around them welcome their project. In addition, with their well-financed legal advisors and lobbyists, they are doing everything in their power to undermine and knock out the current codes to facilitate the building of their parking structure. The sad reality is even though the residents and businesses around them do not want this project, as a group we don't have the means to offset the school's organized campaign on these multiple fronts. We must rely on the protection of our current codes. Without them special interests will bulldoze and build whatever and wherever they want without any regard to the consequences. These kind of changes are not transitory. They will forever and negatively impact the quality of life in the southern San Fernando valley.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Vi Leja

Office of Jacob A. Bloom

Bloom Hergott Diemer Rosenthal LaViolette

Feldman Schenkman & Goodman, LLP

150 S. Rodeo Drive, Third Floor

Beverly Hills, California 90212

Direct phone: (310) 859-6880

Direct fax: (310) 860-6880



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF #14-0057-S8

1 message

Babbie Green <larklink@roadrunner.com>
To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 11:05 AM

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: **CF #14-0057-S8**

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Babbie Green
4548 Sunnyslope Ave.
Sherman Oaks 91423



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF #14-0057-S8

3 messages

Sabrina Parke <sabrinafaire@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 10:27 AM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, Shawn.Kuk@lacity.org, Clare.Eberle@lacity.org, Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, hannah.lee@lacity.org, Doug.tripp@lacity.org, Gerald.Gubatan@lacity.org, Sergio.Infanzon@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: CF #14-0057-S8

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Sabrina Parke

Andrew Lasken <andrew.lasken@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 12:03 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: CF #14-0057-S8

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a

thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Andrew Lasken
Studio City, CA

karen@thinktheta.com <karen@thinktheta.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 12:23 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, Shawn.Kuk@lacity.org, Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: **CF #14-0057-S8**

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Karen Abrams
Resident of Studio City
4038 Van Noord Ave.
Studio City, CA 91604

Theta Healing with Karen Abrams
2001 Barrington Ave.
Suite 111
Los Angeles, CA 90025
www.thinktheta.com
310-738-3858



Sharon Dickinson <sharon.dickinson@lacity.org>

CF#14-0057-S8

3 messages

Jill Katharine Thraves <tichmac@earthlink.net>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 2:39 PM

To: "Sharon.Dickinson" <lacity.org>

Cc: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, shawn.kuk@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, doug.tripp@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, gerald.gubatan@lacity.org, councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org, sergio.infanzon@lacity.org

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Jill Thraves
Studio City

Laurie Cohn <lmarbe@sbcglobal.net>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 3:56 PM

To: Sharon.Dickinson@lacity.org

Cc: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, Hannah.lee@lacity.org, Doug.tripp@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, Gerald.Gubatan@lacity.org, Sergio.Infanzon@lacity.org, councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org

Dear Councilman Huizar:

RE: CF #14-0057-S8

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44 and to instead retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in Hillside Areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input.

The repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances would result in the state's default standards for second dwelling units applying in every neighborhood in the City. This "one size fits all" approach is the wrong land use policy for a City with so many different neighborhoods and will have a negative and lasting impact on our single-family neighborhoods. A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I urge you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhoods.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurie Cohn

4227 Bellaire Ave

Studio City, CA 91604

818-985-7788 h

Susan Jacobs <susanj719@icloud.com>

Tue, Jun 7, 2016 at 4:12 PM

To: huizar@lacity.org, Shawn.Kuk@lacity.org, Clare.Eberle@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, Gerald.Gubatan@lacity.org, Sergio.Infanzon@lacity.org, Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, Hannah.lee@lacity.org, Doug.tripp@lacity.org, sharon.dickinson@lacity.org

June 7, 2016

Dear Councilman Huizar et al:

RE: **CF #14-0057-S8**

Please oppose the City's proposed repeal of Los Angeles Municipal Code Sections 12.24.W.43 and 12.24.W.44. Instead, I urge you to retain the protections embedded within those code sections, particularly the prohibition on second dwelling units in hillside areas and on substandard streets. I am particularly concerned that the City Council is rushing the proposed repeal without giving our City's neighborhoods and residents an adequate opportunity to provide their input. What's the hurry? There has been NO community outreach on this matter.

LA homeowners seek out R1 areas to buy their homes because they trust they will be able to remain in single-family residential neighborhoods -- we have invested many years and countless dollars in our homes and neighborhoods. If the existing ordinance is repealed, everyone could build a 1,200-square-foot second dwelling on their property, **even in R-1 in the hillsides where it is currently prohibited**. This would be the end of R-1 zones, as all single family dwelling parcels would effectively become 2-dwelling parcels.

A major policy decision such as the repeal of the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances should be considered only after a thorough study of the potential neighborhood impacts and the options available to the City.

I implore you to delay any action by the PLUM Committee until it has received a full analysis of the options that the City has to comply with state law, the policy implications of repealing the Second Dwelling Unit ordinances, and the potential negative impacts to our neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Susan Jacobs
3950 Van Noord Ave.
Studio City, CA 91604

Susan Jacobs
susanj719@icloud.com