STRUMWASSER & WOOCHER LLP

Attorneys at Law 10940 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90024

FREDRIC D. WOOCHER MICHAEL J. STRUMWASSER GREGORY G. LUKE † BRYCE A. GEE BEVERLY GROSSMAN PALMER DALE K. LARSON JENNA L. MIARA†‡ TELEPHONE: (310) 576-1233 FACSIMILE: (310) 319-0156 <u>WWW.STRUMWOOCH.COM</u>

† Also admitted to practice in New York and Massachusetts ‡ Also admitted to practice in Illinois

September 15, 2016

Los Angeles City Council 200 North Spring Street, Room 350 Los Angeles, California 90012

> Re: September 20, 2016 City Council meeting CF 14-0057-S8, Code Amendment regarding Second Dwelling Units

Dear Honorable Councilmembers,

I write on behalf of Los Angeles Neighbors in Action (LANA) regarding the Council's September 13, 2016 vote to adopt Motion 12B, relating to grandfathering of second dwelling unit applications. That ordinance will be presented for a second reading on September 20, 2016. LANA urges the Council to reject the approach presented in Motion 12B.

This letter explains how *Motion 12B violates the Superior Court's judgment and the resultant injunction* in the LANA litigation, which will lead to further litigation and uncertainty, exposing those second unit builders who rely upon this exemption to future potential challenge. As the express purpose of the grandfathering action is to create certainty for these builders, the Council's adoption of Motion 12B is inadvisable.

Moreover, in spite of Councilmember O'Farrell's assertions that granting builders until September 30 to submit applications would create only a small window of opportunity only for those who are relatively far along in the process, LANA's review of second dwelling unit permits approved by the Department of Building and Safety between 2003 and 2014 reveals that many such permits are simply hand-drawn sketches that could be quickly prepared and submitted, allowing far more people to take advantage of the limited time window created by Motion 12B than has been acknowledged by Council. Councilmembers should be presented with accurate and detailed information about the requirements for a second dwelling unit permit before concluding that very few builders would be able to act quickly to take advantage of the September 30 deadline.

MOTION 12B VIOLATES THE SUPERIOR COURT'S JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION IN LANA V. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Motion 12B permits the City to engage in conduct that is expressly forbidden by the Superior Court's injunction in the LANA litigation: reliance upon ZA Memorandum120 to issue new permits for second dwelling units. The Superior Court concluded that ZA 120 had been unlawfully relied upon by the Department of Building and Safety because it was issued in

September 15, 2016 Page 2

reliance on an improper legal advice concerning the validity of the City's existing second unit ordinances, Los Angeles Municipal Code 12.24 W 43 and W 44. In response to the judgment, the City has ceased issuing any permits for second dwelling units, including for applicants who submitted their plans prior to the Court's decision in reliance upon the illegal ZA 120. As a result, the Council's response to the Court's judgment has addressed the plight of these "stranded" builders who had relied upon ZA 120 but were now unable to obtain a building permit.

The Council's proposed Motion 12B addresses the "grandfathering" of these stranded builders. The Motion provides that "Any second dwelling unit constructed or currently under construction pursuant to an issued building permit, or proposed per plans sufficient for a complete plan check accepted by the Department of Building and Safety on or before September 30, 2016, shall be considered lawful to the extent such second dwelling unit is constructed, under construction or proposed in accordance with the June 23, 2003 Internal-Departmental Correspondence issued by the City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning and Department of Building and Safety, or the May 6, 2010, Zoning Administrator Memorandum 120 issued by the Office of Zoning Administration." Motion 12B departed from the prior versions of the "grandfathering" provisions by expanding the class of builders entitled to rely upon the grandfathering provision from those who had already begun construction or who had submitted plans to a new class of builders who have not yet submitted plans, and who have exhibited no past reliance upon the invalidated ZA 120. The grandfathering provision in Motion 12B would permit the Department of Building and Safety to issue new permits expressly to projects that satisfy the standards of ZA 120. This practice is directly prohibited by the Superior Court's injunction.

The Superior Court's judgment, entered April 4, 2016, provides expressly that the City is "enjoined and restrained from issuing <u>any further building permits</u> for second dwelling units under invalid ZA 120." (Emphasis added.) The Court also issued declaratory relief providing that "the May 2010 Memorandum issued by Chief Zoning Administrator Michael LoGrande ("ZA 120") regarding second dwelling units and the City's ensuring practices in processing and approving second unit permits thereunder are invalid." Motion 12B *requires* the City to issue new building permits for new applications "in accordance with" the unlawful ZA 120. This is a direct violation of the Court's injunction, setting the City up for potentially serious legal consequences.

The City Council should be aware that this ordinance will not end the uncertainty for builders. New permittees could find their building permits challenged by any surrounding neighbors because of the City's improper reliance upon the invalid ZA 120 to issue the permit. As the purpose of grandfathering is to *end* the uncertainty caused by the litigation, the Council should immediately reconsider its course of action, which will only *prolong* it.

MOTION 12B'S WINDOW COULD PERMIT MANY OF THE RELATIVELY SIMPLE SECOND UNIT BUILDING PERMITS TO BE FILED

During the September 13, 2016 first reading of the ordinance included in Motion 12B, Councilmember Koretz objected to the creation of a window for the submission of new application, noting that such windows frequently create a rush of applicants seeking the more September 15, 2016 Page 3

lenient standard that will be foreclosed after the window is shut. Councilmember O'Farrell and staff from the Department of Building and Safety asserted that the class of persons who would file applications during the window would likely be "very small," because only those who were poised to submit applications in the past and "ready to go forward now" could take advantage of it. Councilmembers Krekorian, Ryu, and Blumenfield all relied upon this factual premise that the September 30 window would allow only a handful of new builders to submit applications. Councilmember Ryu specifically noted his understanding that a "plan" would be required, more than just a "piece of paper." The City Attorney assured Councilmember Ryu that plans would need to be sufficient for "plan check."

Attached as Exhibit 1 are 10 second unit applications approved by the Department of Building and Safety between 2003 and 2014. These 10 applications demonstrate that the submissions that are sufficient for a "plan check" are not always substantial. These 10 permits include crude, hand-drawn second unit "plans," some made without even the apparent benefit of a ruler, showing only the skeletal outline of the proposed construction, along with the dimensions and setbacks. The first application in Exhibit 1 demonstrates the type of bare bones plan that the City has approved, showing simple dimensions and distances – the plan looks like it could have been drawn up in a matter of hours. Although many other applications include greater detail and more professional design, the attached plot plans show that even the more simply drawn applications have been found to pass muster for the issuance of a second dwelling unit permit. The Council should not approve Motion 12B on the assumption that the preparation of a second dwelling unit plan creates a bar that will discourage those who have not already invested in the process, because as the plans in Exhibit 1 demonstrate, the City issues permits to plan that appear very quickly and crudely developed. The number of builders who may apply for these permits may be much greater than the "handful" suggested by Councilmember O'Farrell.

The original purpose of the grandfathering ordinance was to assist the "stranded" property owners who, prior to the Superior Court's rulings, had undertaken second unit construction or applied for second unit permits, because such owners had relied upon the City's unlawful administration of ZA 120. The City Council acknowledged that surrounding neighborhoods and properties may experience substantial negative impacts from construction of the grandfathered second units that exceed the City's adopted standards, but determined that those impacts are outweighed by the hardship of the "stranded" property owners who had been denied the ability to construct through no fault of their own. Motion 12B proposes to grandfather in an open-ended class of builders who have no reliance interest – anyone who can get an application prepared by September 30 will qualify, regardless of any prior reliance or hardship – and it is the neighboring communities who will pay the price. The Council should limit those who can benefit from grandfathering to those who legitimately face a hardship, that is not of their own making, so as to secure the protections of the City's adopted municipal code standards for as many of the City's residents as possible.

Very truly yours,

He & Aal

Beverly Grossman Palmer

September 15, 2016 Page 4

cc: Chief Assistant City Attorney David Michaelson Assistant City Attorney Terry Kaufman Macias Deputy City Attorney Steven Blau Director of Department of City Planning Vince Bertoni

EXHIBIT 1

8063 N Łloyd Ave		Permit #: Plan Check #: B13VN01845 Event Code:	13010 - 20000 - 0050 Printed: 09/05/13 12:04	
Bldg-New GREEN - MANDATORY	City of Los Angeles - Department of B	building and Safety	Issued on; 09/05/2013	
1 or 2 Family Dwelling	APPLICATION FOR BUILT	DING PERMIT	Last Status: Issued	
Regular Plan Check				
Plan Check	AND CERTIFICATE OF O		Status Date: 09/05/2013	
LITRAGT BLOCK LOUD TR.1212 82	<u>489</u> 9	<u>COUNTY MAP REFA</u> M B 18-126/127	ZARCELIRE(PINO) 1898157 138 2304 - 022 - 020	
2. TARCELINFORMATION Area Planning Commission North Valley LADBS Branch Office MA Council District - 2 Cartified Neighborhood Council - North-Hollywood West Community Plan Area - Sun Valley - La Tuna Canyon	Census Tract - 1220.00 District Map - 1899157 Energy Zone - 9 Lot Cut Date - 12/29/1950 Near Source Zone Distance - 3.8	Thomas Brother,	s Map Grid - 532-D2	
zones(s); (T)RS-1				
ZJ - ZI-2374 LOS ANGELES STATE ENTER CDBG - SE YC - YD-18885 BMO - Yes ORD - 0RD - 175018 CPC - CPC - 19019 N S.CHECKLIST ITEMS Special Inspect - Structural Observation Std. Work Descr. Scismic Gas Shut Off Valve Combine HVAC - Wrk, per 91.107.2.1.1.1				
6. PROPERTY OWNER, TENANT, APPLICANT INFORMATION		For Cashier's Use Only	W/O #: 310005	
Tenani: IV Applicani: (Relationship: Engineer) RAFFI ABKARIAN - 18763 VINTAGE ST, - (818) 359-0959 LEXISTING USE	OPOSED USE		×.	
(01 L PESCRIPTION OF WORK NEW DETACHED 2ND DWELLING WITH AN ATTACHED PA ZA MEMO 100 (1993 STITIRE SPRINKLERED. THE REQUIR 2nd DWELLING IS PROVIDED IN THE GARAGE.		VN 0010 203015406 BUILDING PERMIT-F ELECTRICAL PERMIT HIG/REF PMT RES		
		PLUMBING PERMIT R	ES \$323.08	
SFD/DETACHED GARAGE:1 OF 3: TV	-\$ 189,000	BUILDING PLAN CHE		
16 APPLICATION PROCESSING INFORMATION		BUILDING PLAN CHE PLAN MAINTENANCE	CK \$0.00 \$24.85	
BLDG, PC By: Vahe Sarkissian OK for Cashier: Nargiss Majrooh	DAS PC By Coord. OK:	SI RESIDENTIAL	\$18.30	
		ONE STOP SURCH	\$41.87	
signature.	Date: 09/05/2013	SYSTEMS DEVT FEE	\$125.61	
23. PROJECT VALUATION Fload Fee Period Permit Valuation: \$183,000 PC Val	lustion	CITY PLANNING SUR MISCELLANEOUS		
	luation:	PLANNING GEN PLAN	\$10.00 MAINT SURCH \$63.37	
Sewer Cap ID: Total E	Bond(s) Due:	SCHOOL DEV RES	\$4,666.00	
LE ATTACHMENTS		DWELLING UNIT	\$200.00	
Owner-Builder Declaration Plot Plan		RES DEVT TAX	\$300.00	
For inspection requests, call toll-free (888) LA4BUILD (524-2845 (213) 482-0000 or request inspections via www.ladbs.org. To spe 311 or (866) 4LACITY (452-2489). Outside LA County, call (213	tak to a Call Center agent, call	CA BLOG STD COMMIS BUILDING PLAN CHEW	CK \$0.00	
		31 Permit #: 13010200 Receipt #: 020306 Building Card #: 7	00000587 3004	

(P) Floor (P) Heigh	TURE INVENTORY (Note: Numeric measurement data	in the formal "number / number" implies "the	age in sumeric value / total resulting an meric val	e') 1.	3010 - 20000 - 005
	r Area (ZC): +1436 Sqft / 1436 Sqft	(P) Parking Req'd for Bldg (Ar	ato+Bicycle): +1 Stalls / I Sta		
	ht (ZC): +14 Feet / 14 Feet	(P) Provided Standard for Bldg	: +1 Stalls / 1 Stalls		
	th: +65 Feel / 65 Feel Sential Floor Area: +1187 Sqft / 1187 Sqft	(P) Type V-B Construction (P) Floor Construction - Conce	ete Slah on Grade		
	es: +1 Stories / 1 Stories	(P) Foundation - Continuous F			
,	h: +28.5 Feet / 28.5 Feet	(P) Wall Construction - Wood	Stud		
·	lling Unit: +) Units / 1 Units				
	A-13D Fire Sprinklers Thru-out d (Phywood, OSB, etc.)Shearwall				
	cc. Group: +1721 Sqft / 1721 Sqft	•			
				In the event that any box (i.a. 1-16) is	filled to capacity, it is
	CATION COMMENTS: ed Seistrie Cas Shue-Off Valve may be required. ** ***MJ	AX RFA=8735 sf ACTUAL RFA=1	735 + 11993 = 2914.5 sf	possible that additional information ha electronically and could not be printed restrictions. Nevertheless the informat that required by section 19825 of the 1 Code of the State of California.	s been captured due to space on printed exceeds
_	ING RELOCATED FROM: LACTOR, ARCHITECT & ENGINEER NAME A	DDRISS		CLASS LICENSER	THONEY
AB		8763 VINTAGE STREET,	NORTHRIDGE, CA 91324		(MERET
	PERMIT EXPIRATION/REFUNDS: This permit exp	ires two years after the date of the permit i	surger, This permit will also appire if no con-	struction work is performed for a continu	NULLS
	period of 100 days (Sec. 98.0602 LAMC). Claims for re LAMC), The permittee may be entitled to reimbursemen	fund of fees paid must be filed within one	star from the date of explosion for permits gr	unted by LADBS (Sec. 22.12 & 22.13	
		11. OWNER	BUILDER DECLARATION		
ł	I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that I am en				
	city or county which requires a permit to construct, alter that he or she is licensed pursuant to the provisions of th or she is exempt therefrom and the basis for the alleged bunched whiles (\$100 V).	e Contractora License Law (Chapter 9 (co.	amencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of	the Business and Professions Cade) or	that he
	hundred dollars (\$500).):				
	() 1, as the owner of the property, or my employees with <u>Professions Code</u> ; The Contractors License Law doe				
	own employees, provided that such improvements as				
	will have the burden of proving that be or she did no	t build or improve for the purpose of sale).			
	DR				
	(3) I, as the owner of the property, an exclusively contri- sloes not apply to an owner of property who builds of				·
	aces for apply to its owner of property with datas of	Indiana antiera and and and and an	the project with a bolic available of the	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	
			MIL MATAVILLA CANY		
	I hereby affirm, under penaity of perjury, one of the follo () I have and will maintain a certificate of consent to se this permit is issued.		vided for by Section 3700 of the Labor Code,	for the performance of the work for which	à
	 I have and will maintain workers' compensation frau compensation insurance carrier and policy number at 		abor Code, for the performance of the work fo	r which this permit is issued. My worke	5
	Carrier:		Policy Number:		
	(2) I certify that in the performance of the work for whice California, and agree that if I abould become subject				
	WARNING: FAILURE TO SECURE WORKERS' COM CTYLL FINES UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND D 3706 OF THE LABOR CODE, INTEREST, AND ATTO	OLLARS (\$100,000), IN ADDITION TO			
		IN ASTERIA REMOVALIPECTAR	TION/ARAD MAZARN MARNING		
96-23	notification of asbestos ramoval is either not applicable or) 36 and the notification form at <u>www.yognd.gov.</u> Lead safe 17 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health See	18. ASEET JA REMOVALUE LASE 18. Soon submitted to the AQAD of ETA at construction process at an enquired when do	perfection, 19427.3 or the right and Safety ing mpairs that dissue a solut in pre-1978 built	fings due to the presence of lead per sect	ion
96-23	36 and the notification form at www.pgmd.gov. Lead safe	<u>18. ASEET, J. REMOVALUET. I.S.</u> 14. been submitted to the AQED of EFA at cooptruction process of the required when do	per section, 19827.3 or the ricalth and Safety ing repairs that dissue 5 which in pre-1978 built the State of California at (800) 197-3323 or y	fings due to the presence of lead per sect	ion
96-23: 3d 671	36 and the notification form at <u>when pand any</u> . Lead safe (7 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health See 1 have read this application INCLUDING THE ABOVE	IF. ASTEST LV: REMOVALIPECTAR tas been submitted to the AQED of THAT construction professor are an equivalent Ment de vrices for LA County at (800) 324-3323 or 24. FINAL DE DECLARATIONS and state that the above	perfection 19827.3 or six ricedon and Sefery ing require that down a voist in pre-1973 built the State of Californie at (800) 207-3523 or y <u>CLARATION</u> a information INCLUDING THE ABOYE (ings due to the presence of lead per sect איזי, dia ca gov/childland ECLARATIONS is correct. I agree to	ion
96-2J nd 671 fy that	36 and the notification form at <u>www.pgmd.gov</u> . Lead safe 17 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health San	19. ASTEST DE DEMOVALIPECTURE sa been submitted to de AVAED or TEM at construction process, est es required when de vrices for LA County at (800) 524-5323 or 28. FINAL DE DECLARATIONS and sale dat the abov alding construction, and hereby submitter	por section 19827.3 or she readin and Safary ing repairs that dosus 2 point in pre-1973 built the State of Californie at (1909) 207-3223 or y <u>CLARATION</u> e information INCLUDING THE ABOYE I epresentatives of this city to smort upon the ab	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dbs.cs.gov/childlend ECLARATIONS is correct. Lagres to overmentioned property for inspection	
96-23 od 671 fy that y with ses. I r ny app	36 and the notification form at <u>wever pand any</u> . Lead safe (7 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health Sec t have read this application INCLUDING THE ABOVE all any and coursy ordinances and sate laws relating to be realize that this permit is an application for inspection and di- plicable law. Furthermore, neither the City of Los Angeles 1	IF. ASTEST LV: REMOVALIPECTAX tas been submitted to the AV/RD or TFA at construction prices.or are enquired When de vices for LA County at (800) 324-3323 or 24.71NALDE DECLARATIONS and state that the above aiding construction, and hereby subhorize a hall it does not approve or subhorize the all the woo- on any board approve or subhorize the sub- tist, or employed.	per section, 19827.3 or sec relation and Seflary ing mentions that datuse 3 wint in pre-1873 built the State of California at (800) 297-3523 or y <u>CLARATION</u> e information INCLUDING THE ABOVE 6 presentatives of this city to state upon the ab k specified herein, and it does not authorize o yes thereof, make any warrany, not shall be a	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dpt.cs.scov/childlend. ECLARATIONS is correct. I agree to ove-mentioned property for inspection rpermit say violations or failure to compl seponsible for the performance or results	y
96-23 sd 671 fy that y with ses. I r ny app ork dec ionably	36 and the notification form at <u>whyspond goy</u> . Lead safe 7 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health Ser to have read this application INCLUDING THE ABOVE all airy and county ordinances and state laws relating to buy realize that this permit is an application for inspection and d plicable law. Furthermore, neither the City of Los Angeles is scribed herein, nor the condition of the property nor the axis yinterfere with any access or utility easement belonging to plicable.	IN ASTEST DE REMOVALIPECTAN Las been submitted to the AV/PED or TEM at construction process are required when do vrices for LA County at (200) 324-5333 or 28. FINAL DE DECLARATIONS and state that he above aiding construction, and here by subhrits a had it does not approve of subhrits are won- nor any board, department officer, or exploy lughers and located on my property, buils at 1 subers and located on my property, buils at 1	per lection 19427.3 or the ricelin and Safary ing require the solution pre-1073 built the State of California at (800) 197-1973 built be State of California at (800) 197-1973 or y <u>ELARATION</u> a information INCLUDING THE ABOVE 1 personalises of this city to mise upon the ab k specified herein, mol it does not authorize o yes thereof, make penalty of perjury, the the j	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dpa.ca.wov/childlend ECLARATIONS is correct. I agree to ove-mentioned property for inspection spermit any violation or failure to compl exponsible for the performance or resulty moposed work will not destroy or	y
96-23 sd 671 fy that y with ses. I r my up; ork de- conably tute ea	36 and the notification form at <u>wever pand any</u> . Lead safe of 7 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health Sec 1 have read this application INCLUDING THE ABOVE all airy and coursy ordinances and state laws relating to be realize that this permut is an application for inspection and at plicable law. Furthermore, neither the City of Los Angeles a scribed herein, nor the condition of the property nor the so y interfere with any access or utility easament belonging to uccomm(a) satisfactory to the holder(s) of the essement will	IN ASTEST DE REMOVALIPECTAN Las been submitted to the AV/PED or TEM at construction process are required when do vrices for LA County at (200) 324-5333 or 28. FINAL DE DECLARATIONS and state that he above aiding construction, and here by subhrits a had it does not approve of subhrits are won- nor any board, department officer, or exploy lughers and located on my property, buils at 1 subers and located on my property, buils at 1	per lection 19427.3 or the ricelin and Safary ing require the solution pre-1073 built the State of California at (800) 197-1973 built be State of California at (800) 197-1973 or y <u>ELARATION</u> a information INCLUDING THE ABOVE 1 personalises of this city to mise upon the ab k specified herein, mol it does not authorize o yes thereof, make penalty of perjury, the the j	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dpa.ca.wov/childlend ECLARATIONS is correct. I agree to ove-mentioned property for inspection spermit any violation or failure to compl exponsible for the performance or resulty moposed work will not destroy or	y
96-23: set 671 fy that iy with set. I r my app ork de- conably hute ea	36 and the notification form at <u>wewprograd gov</u> . Lead safe t 7 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health Sec 1 have read this application INCLUDING THE ABOVE all airy and county ordinances and state laws relating to be realize that this permuit is an application for inspection and phicable law. Furthermore, neither the City of Los Angeles I scribed herein, nor the condition of the property nor the nois y interfere with any access or utility easement belonging to usernm(s) astifactory to the holder(s) of the easement will the globelow, I Certify that:	IB ASTEST LV: REMOVALIPECTAX tas been submitted to the AVARD or TEA at construction process, ers are negativel Mem de vices for LA County at (800) 574-5373 or 28. FINAL DE DECLARATIONS and state that the above aliding construction, and hereby subharize to hal it does not approve or subhorize the work on any board department of first, or erophen I upon which such work is performed. I fur athers and located on my property, but in the be provided (Sec. 91.0106.4.3.4 LAMC).	per section 19827.3 or sec results and Safary ing require the datus of solid in pre-1973 built the State of California at (800) 297-3723 or y <u>CLARATION</u> is information INCLUDING THE ABOVE 6 presentatives of this city to state upon the ab k specified herein, and it does not autorize or yes thereof, make any warranty, nor shall be to thar offirm under penalty of perjusy, the the ja- re event such work does destroy or unreasona	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dpt.cs.scov/childlend. ECLARATIONS is correct. Lagree to ove-mentioned property for inspection repermit any violation or refluent to compl stopposable for the performance or results responsible for the performance or results responsed work will not destroy or oly interfere with such easement, a	y
96-23: nd 671 fy that fy with ses. I r my up; ork de- sonably hute ea I acco Decla	36 and the notification form at <u>wnw.pqmd.gov</u> . Lead safe 17 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health See at have read this application INCLUDING THE ABOVE is all airy and county ordinances and crait laws relating to be prealize that this permit is an application for inspection and phicable law. Furthermore, neither the City of Los Angeles is scribed herein, nor the condition of the property nor the sub- ity interfere with any access or utility exament belonging to usement(a) satisfactory to the holder(s) of the exament will the declarations above namely the Owner-Builder D aration, and	19. ASTEST DE DEMOVALIPECTAN 14. ASTEST DE DEMOVALIPECTAN 14. December 2014 AND ALL	per section 19827.3 or sec results and Safary ing require the datus of solid in pre-1973 built the State of California at (800) 297-3723 or y <u>CLARATION</u> is information INCLUDING THE ABOVE 6 presentatives of this city to state upon the ab k specified herein, and it does not autorize or yes thereof, make any warranty, nor shall be to thar offirm under penalty of perjusy, the the ja- re event such work does destroy or unreasona	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dpt.cs.scov/childlend. ECLARATIONS is correct. Lagree to ove-mentioned property for inspection repermit any violation or refluent to compl stopposable for the performance or results responsible for the performance or results responsed work will not destroy or oly interfere with such easement, a	y
ify that ify that ify with sees. I r may up: work des sonably itute ea Decla This	36 and the notification form at <u>wewpendingery</u> . Lead safe at 7 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health Sec 14 have read this application iNCLUDING THE ABOVE all any ond county ordinances and state laws relating to be realize that this permut is an application for inspection and di plicable law. Furthermore, neither that City of Los Angeles a scribed herein, nor the condition of the property nor the noi y interfere with any access or utility easament belonging to usernet(s) satisfactory to the holder(s) of the easament will reg befow, I Certify that: cpt all the declarations above namely the Owner-Builder D aration, and permit is being obtained with the cousent of the legal owne	IB_ASTEST_LIG_BENGYALIDECLES tas been submitted to the AQIED or THA at construction prices. If we are quirted when do vices for LA County at (200) 324-3323 or 28_FINAL DE DECLARATIONS and state that the above aliding construction, and hereby authorize at hai i does not approve or authorize at which such work is performed. I fur others and located on my property, bui to the provided (Sec. 9),0106.4,3.4 LAMC). eclaration, Workers' Compensation Declara- r of the property.	per lection 19427.3 or the riselin and Safary ing require that datus 5 visit in pre-1973 built the State of California at (800) 297-3523 or y <u>CLARATION</u> is information INCLUDING THE ABOVE I personalities of this city to smeet upon the ab- k specified herein, mid it does not authorize o yes thereof, make any warranty, nor shall be i their affirm under penalty of perjusy, the the p see event such work does destroy or unreasons does, Asbestor Removal Declaration / Lead H	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dtg.cs.wov/childlend. ECLARATIONS is correct. Lagree to ove-mentioned property for inspection rpermit say violations or failure to compl seponsible for the performance or results roposed work will not destroy or oly interfere with such casement, a second Wasning, and Final	y of
ify that by with ses. I r may app work des sonably tute ea I acco Decla This	36 and the notification form at <u>wnw.pqmd.gov</u> . Lead safe 17 of the Labor Code. Information is available at Health See at have read this application INCLUDING THE ABOVE is all airy and county ordinances and crait laws relating to be prealize that this permit is an application for inspection and phicable law. Furthermore, neither the City of Los Angeles is scribed herein, nor the condition of the property nor the sub- ity interfere with any access or utility exament belonging to usement(a) satisfactory to the holder(s) of the exament will ing befow, I Certify that: epi all the declarations above namely the Owner-Builder D aration, and	19. ASTEST DE DEMOVALIPECTAN 14. ASTEST DE DEMOVALIPECTAN 14. December 2014 AND ALL	per lection 19427.3 or the riselin and Safary ing require that datus 5 visit in pre-1973 built the State of California at (800) 297-3523 or y <u>CLARATION</u> is information INCLUDING THE ABOVE I personalities of this city to smeet upon the ab- k specified herein, mid it does not authorize o yes thereof, make any warranty, nor shall be i their affirm under penalty of perjusy, the the p see event such work does destroy or unreasons does, Asbestor Removal Declaration / Lead H	ings due to the presence of lead per sect www.dtg.cs.wov/childlend. ECLARATIONS is correct. Lagree to ove-mentioned property for inspection rpermit say violations or failure to compl seponsible for the performance or results roposed work will not destroy or oly interfere with such casement, a second Wasning, and Final	y



















